

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4021/P	Simi Shah	32b Laurier Rd	28/09/2017 10:16:30	INT	<p>I had previously posted comments in August 2017 but they appear not to have posted on the site.</p> <p>In theory, I am in support of plans to improve the site of this building, both in structure height and the presentation of the garden. I think the double entrance plan and the improvements to the garden and bricks are very welcome. I appreciate what others have said about the height but for me the height being increased to match the rest of the street is welcome. I think the new grey colour is the best match to my building. Of course we all wish there was a way to be more in keeping with the Victorian style but I would still choose the improved modern style over the current eyesore.</p> <p>I have two concerns:</p> <p>As the owner and occupier of 32b I am concerned about how close the new structure will come to our second floor bedroom. The plans include copious amounts of information on sunlight analysis which I appreciate but it's not just the sunlight which admittedly is only a small reduction. The bigger issue is the fact that the new building will now come within only a metre of our bedroom window and this is unacceptable. It will totally change the outlook of this room and I don't feel their need for a third bathroom outweighs my current outlook. It would go from a view of trees, the top of St Mary's Brookfield and the edifice of 32 to just a brick wall. I think the plans need to keep within the current outline of the building on the side that faces us.</p> <p>Secondly while my children have now graduated from the nursery I am concerned by the comments that this might affect the children's outside space and I would hope there could be some resolution to that and that approval should be pending that resolution.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4021/P	Mrs sarah kiernan	23 Laurier Road Camden NW5 1SH NW5 1SH NW5 1SH	25/09/2017 19:20:48	COMMNT	<p>I feel the proposed building is still too massive and too bulky for the site. It is much higher than the neighbouring buildings on York Rise - St Mary's Church Hall - and the next building which is LA flats. The building is so big it blocks light from the neighbouring house on Laurier Road, and from the nursery in the Church Hall. We need to think not only of current residents but the future of the area and the impact this large bulk will have on the corner of this cross roads. I do not find the 1930's style at all ugly as is being said in the media. It is completely inoffensive.</p> <p>The new proposal blocks one of the side windows in 33 Laurier Road which diminishes the views from the streets.</p> <p>The architect states he is designing a house for his family of 4, but it seems to be more of a speculative investment to sell on, as surely no family needs three bathrooms.</p> <p>We must be careful not to set a precedent. Several flat roofed buildings might be keen to build on further floors if this permission is granted. This will be bad for housing density, the skyline, and the feel of the conservation area. We already have a large zinc roofed property on York Rise and we should question if we really need or want another.</p> <p>The views from 23, and 25 Laurier Road will be severely impacted.</p> <p>There is another planning application in at the moment for another floor to be added to a house on Boscastle Road. This is a much more sympathetic design for the area, as the new building is tucked behind the parapet and is masked by this. Could this be suggested to the architect of the 32 Laurier Road proposal as his plan is totally at odds with the feel of the area, as well as being bulky and massive and out of scale with the original building and the location at the cross roads of Laurier Road and York Rise</p> <p>This plan needs to be drastically amended so it is much more modest, and much lower in height, and bulk. The design needs to be modified so the materials are sympathetic to the area.</p> <p>The building should not butt up so close to 33 Laurier.</p> <p>Thank you</p>