

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3674/P	Ingrid Berry	18 FAIRFAX PLACE LONDON NW6 4EH NW6 4EH NW6 4EH	20/09/2017 14:42:42	COMMNT	I strongly object to the proposal to install 6 antennae so close to Northbridge House school, which my young son attends. The Stewart report clearly states that antennae should not be placed near schools because of the harmful effects to children. The subject premises is almost next door to North Bridge House !! Please refuse this application.I can't believe it has even been proposed !!
2017/3674/P	Arthur Goossens	3-5 Gloucester avenue	20/09/2017 11:46:42	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to the installation of 6 antennas because it is potentially dangerous for health, and because the location looks pointless given the current internet coverage. There are many school and nurseries in the area, which means that hundreds of small kids spend their day very close to strong radio signals emissions. According to the application documents, schools should be consulted before such installation. Although the documents state that letters have been sent to the school, they did not give any answer. Given the importance of the project to the safety of kids, I believe all schools and nurseries should give a written published approval before it starts. In addition, even though a report concluded that the impact of long term exposure to radio signals is not proven, I believe that most precautions should be taken with respect to this very new technology. Recent tragic events have proven that health and safety of London inhabitants should not be put in peril for economic reasons by their own council. I sincerely hope that Parkside court owning the building will not bias the final decisions.</p> <p>As for the technical aspect of the project, the coverage map clearly shows that Parkside court is already in a well-covered area. Therefore, the nearby areas would marginally benefit from the new antennas. The areas for which signal is less dense (North West Primrose hill) hardly benefit from these antennas.</p> <p>In conclusion, I believe that council should revise this project as soon as possible in the best interest of the little kids that come to school there as well as all the people living in the area.</p>
2017/3674/P	Jessica Mynhardt	15b Gloucester Avenue London NW1 7AU	20/09/2017 17:01:43	OBJ	<p>I am very concerned about the potential health risk and object to this planning application. I object on the grounds of the following:</p> <p>The government sponsored "Stewart Report" clearly advises telecoms antennas should not be placed in such a location. The report admits the possible harmful effects of exposure to low level electromagnetic fields and para 6.68 recommends a precautionary approach when siting this type of equipment and clearly states that it SHOULD NOT BE NEAR SCHOOLS, NEAR THE HOMES OF CHILDREN OR OTHER VULNERABLE PEOPLE.</p> <p>The property is in a densely populated residential area.</p> <p>The school and area will already be massively impacted by HS2.</p> <p>The antennae are unnecessary: covering in the area is already good.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3674/P	Richard Simpson for Primrose Hill CAAC	12A Manley Street NW1 8LT NW1 8LT NW1 8LT	21/09/2017 11:50:28	OBJ	<p>ADVICE from Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee 12A Manley Street, London NW1 8LT</p> <p>6 September 2017</p> <p>Parkside Court Gloucester Avenue NW1 7AT 2017/3674/P</p> <p>Strong objection.</p> <p>1. We are strongly opposed to the location of telecoms masts of the kind proposed in such close proximity to a large local school, North Bridge House: school buildings are less than 50m from the proposed installation.</p> <p>2. The existing building is prominent in views within the conservation area – for example, from Gloucester Crescent and Oval Road. The proposed additions at roof level, located close to the front elevation, are bulky and obtrusive, and add to the over-dominance of the building within the area. The additions are seriously harmful to the character and appearance of the conservation area. They neither preserve nor enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>Richard Simpson FSA Chair</p>
2017/3674/P	Hill	6 Gloucester Gate Mews London NW1 4AD	21/09/2017 09:05:46	INT	<p>This type of antennas and box works is said to create harmful radiation and may effect young and older residents in the area. including 2 or 3 schools. Have other sites been considered?</p>
2017/3674/P	Judy Cunnington	Garden Flat 9 Gloucester Avenue NW1 7AU	20/09/2017 18:09:59	OBJEMAI L	<p>I object strongly to erecting antennae near a school (North Bridge House) and in a built up area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3674/P	Joanna Eley	100 Parkway NW1 7AN NW1 7AN NW1 7AN	21/09/2017 14:45:05	OBJ	<p>The location of telecoms antennae on Parkside Court should not be given permission. The building is owned by Camden and Camden seeks to give itself permission. In view of potential adverse health effects this type of installation is most inappropriate. It is near a school and a densely populated area. This area is due to suffer further health damage from HS2 works and this proposal should be refused for the sake of the community. Out elected councillors must put the health and well being of their constituents first.</p> <p>It would be more appropriate for Camden to ensure that all Telecoms providers provide the super fast broad band and proper telecoms reception that are not available in all buildings in this area. The quality and consistency of coverage is less good than it should be in central London and this may be why the antennas have been requested.</p> <p>Please ensure that this application is refused.</p>
2017/3674/P	Ivan Urbinati	8 Darwin Court	21/09/2017 18:09:26	OBJ	The government sponsored 'Stewart Report' clearly advises that telecoms antennas should not be placed in this type of location. The report admits the possible harmful effects of exposure to low level electromagnetic fields and para 6.68 recommends a precautionary approach when siting this type of equipment and clearly states that it should not be near schools, near the homes of children or other vulnerable people and the 6 antennas would be placed right next to North Bridge House School (7-13 old pupils)
2017/3674/P	Judy Cunnington	Garden Flat 9 Gloucester Avenue NW1 7AU	20/09/2017 18:09:55	OBJEMAI L	I object strongly to erecting antennae near a school (North Bridge House) and in a built up area.