Delegated Report		Analysis sheet N/A / attached		Expiry Date:		03/10/20	017		
				Consu Expiry	Iltation Date:				
Officer			Application Nu						
Nick Bell			2017/4773/T						
Application Address			Drawing Numb	ers					
151 King Henry's Road London NW3 3RD									
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Off	icer Si	gnature				
Proposal(s)									
FRONT GARDEN: 1 x S	ycamore - Fell								
Recommendation(s):									
Application Type:	Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area								
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:									
Consultations									
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	15	No. of responses	03	No. of o	objections	03		
i			No. electronic	00					
Summary of consultation responses:	 The Council received 3 objections to the notification which can be summarised as: The roots are likely to be going under my property, I am concerned that removing the tree adversely affect the foundations beneath our home The tree is protected by a TPO Who will be responsible for any property damage caused by the removal of the tree? The owners should have been encouraged to maintain the tree Will the owners be obliged to plant another mature tree? 								
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None received	I							

Site Description
Relevant History
Relevant policies
LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies
Assessment
The tree in question is a mature sycamore tree in the front garden of a residential property situated
within the Elsworthy Conservation Area. The tree is highly visible from the public realm and is
considered to contribute to the character of this part of the conservation area.
The tree has been pollarded historically and now has multiple large upright secondary stems emerging from the top of the main stem at approx. 2.5m above ground level. Beneath the pollard point
there is a large cavity on the main stem with associated advanced decay at approx. 1.5m above
ground level. The extent of the decay and the size of the cavity is such that it is not considered expedient for The Council to serve a tree preservation order (TPO) to protect the tree.
The tree is not subject to a tree preservation order.
The owner of the tree, not The Council, is responsible for the tree and any property damage it may
cause.
If The Council elects not to object to a s.211 tree works notification it does has no power to condition that a replacement tree is planted.
Heave occurs very rarely. Heave potential is not considered to justify The Council serving a TPO to
protect the tree.
The Council does not wish to object to the proposed works.