Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction

Section A (Site Summary) – to be completed by Case Officer

Camden Case Reference:	2017/4902/P	Site Address:	93 Redington Road, London, NW3 7RR		
Case officer contact details:	Evelyn.jones@camden.gov.uk	Date of audit request:	08/09/2017		
Statutory consultation end date:		06/10/2017	06/10/2017		
Reason for Audit:	on for Audit: Planning application / Basement Extension				
Proposal description: Extension to the existing basement, the addition of a skylight in the rear garden and the replacement of the existing UPVC windows with timber windows.					
Relevant planning background N/A					
Do the basement proposals involve a listed building or does the site neighbour any listed buildings?		No			
Is the site in an area of relevant constraints? (check site constraints in M3/Magic GIS)		Slope stability	Y		
		Surface Water f	Flow Y		
		Subterranean (groundwater)	flow		
Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance fall the Terms of Reference ¹		Υ			
No/Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?		Yes			

¹ Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Development Control Committee (DCC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Culture and Environment to DCC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at DCC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)

Items provided for Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)1 Yes/ Name of BIA document/appendix in which Item provided No/ information is contained. NA^2 Yes RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation 1 Description of proposed development. Report Yes Plan showing boundary of development RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation 2 Report including any land required temporarily during construction. Plans, maps and or photographs to show Yes RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation Report 3 location of basement relative to surrounding structures. Plans, maps and or photographs to show Yes RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation topography of surrounding area with any Report 4 nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014) Plans and sections to show foundation No 5 details of adjacent structures. Plans and sections to show layout and Yes RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation 6 Report dimensions of proposed basement. 93 Redington Road - Structural method Programme for enabling works, construction Yes 7 Statement to accompany BIA and restoration. Identification of potential risks to land Yes RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation Report stability (including surrounding structures 8 and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding. Assessment of impact of potential risks on Yes 93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA 9 neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater. Yes 93 Redington Road - Structural method Identification of significant adverse impacts. 10 Statement to accompany BIA 11 Evidence of consultation with neighbours. Yes

12	Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including - Desktop study - exploratory hole records - results from monitoring the local groundwater regime - confirmation of baseline conditions - factual site investigation report	Yes	RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation Report
13	Ground Movement Assessment (GMA).	Yes	RSA Geotechnics: Ground Investigation Report
14	Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of affected area.	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
15	Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts.	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
16	Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing basement, floor and roof plans, sections (all views), sequence of construction and temporary works.	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
17	Proposals for monitoring during construction.	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
18	Confirmatory and reasoned statement identifying likely damage to nearby properties according to Burland Scale	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
19	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects.	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
20	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that there will be no adverse effects on drainage or run-off and no damage to the water environment (by reference to ground investigation, BIA and	Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA

	CSN effe	1), including consideration of cumulative cts.		
21	Identification of areas that require further investigation.		Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
22	Non-technical summary for each stage of BIA.		Yes	93 Redington Road - Structural method Statement to accompany BIA
Addi	tiona	BIA components (added during Audit)		
ltem prov		Yes/No/NA ²		Comment

Notes:

¹ NB DP27 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

² Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Section C : Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)

Date	Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	Date estimate for initial report	Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)
Date	Category and cost -	This will depend on date of completion of section D but some indication is required	If possible please ALSO provide estimate for possible additional fees required to review consultation responses received to date.
12/09/2017	Cat B - £3,045	Approximately 4 weeks from instruction	 Additional fees may be required for site attendance reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation reviewing third part consultation comment attending DCC

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require details to be updated in section E, with justification provided by the auditor.

These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.