

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Skelli-Yaoz, Tania  
**Sent:** 14 September 2017 11:29  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: 160D Finchley Road  
**Attachments:** Capture.JPG

**Categories:** Blue Category

Objection

Tania Skelli-Yaoz  
Senior Planner

Telephone: 020 7974 6829



**From:** רחן [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 11 September 2017 12:45  
**To:** chandscomb@savills.com  
**Cc:** Skelli-Yaoz, Tania <Tania.Skelli-Yaoz@camden.gov.uk>; yvonne.addy [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** 160D Finchley Road

Dear Mrs. Handscomb

Thank you for your time and efforts

As we discussed over the phone this morning im sending you the information you asked for.

I'm the representative of the current lease holders of flat 160D Finchley road NW3 5HD.

Last Friday the 8th of September 2017 i discovered for the first time that a development and erection of a new floor is planned to be built above 7 to 12 Frognal Court 158 Finchley Road.

We never got a message or a notice before.

I looked at the documents a i was astonished to discover that the flat 160D is "missing" from 3 reports regarding the developments.

1. **Planning statement by Savills** that totally ignore 160D Finchley Road and of course ignore the skylights and attic windows on the roof of the flat (see paragraph 5.36 to the report)

2. **Flower Michellin design and access statement** site location - the report totally ignore the existence of 160D Finchley Road and instead mentioning 162 Finchley Road as the immediate neighbor to the development at 158 Finchley Road.

This ignoring of the property is weird because in the existing drawing of Flower Michellin report you can notice there is a skylight in 160D

3. **Brook Development Daylight and Sunlight assessment** is written in paragraph 1.1.2 "The purpose of this report is to assess the impact that the purpose development may have on the skylight and sunlight of the existing surrounding buildings".

Through all the report there is no mentioning of the impact that can be on the immediate neighbor of the development where there is skylight windows and attic windows.

There is a dispute going on between the lease holders and the head lease holder RFYC about the skylight and the attic windows. In a letter sent to us by Debenham Solicitors on the 30/3/2017 they claim that the skylight windows and the attic windows were opened in late 2015, those claims based on Flower Michellin report from January 2017.

Our surveyor expert Mr. George Palos gave his opinion in his report from August 2017 that the skylight windows probably and high likely were built before the neighbor 158 Finchley Road was there in the same shape as it is today.

In another words our expert estimate that the skylight windows are about 100 years old.

The attic windows and the dormers that was in Flower Michellin report were actually not there. Strangely enough the Flower Michellin report is based on a copy of a floor plan without windows in the attic.

I'll appreciate if you can explain to me how come 160D Finchley Road disappeared from the 3 reports that you gave to the Camden Planning authority. Further more, i would appreciate if you can take care of fixing the reports and to assure that the committee will have the correct reports in front of them when they meet to get decisions.

I know that the time to object to the development plan has already past. I want to emphasize that above objecting the development plan it self, and **not less important**, i'm objecting that Camden committee will get their decisions when **crucially information is missing**.

I'm sorry i'm not sending you the surveyor report but you can get it from RFYC solicitors.

I'm also sending you and aerial picture of the Flat 160D Finchley Road **NW3 5HD** to show you the impact of the existing building on the skylight windows and attic windows (Shadows all over the roof).

Thank you very much

Ron Raz

