The Director of Environment Development Control Planning Services London Borough of Camden Town Hall Argyle Street London WC1H 8ND LONDON BORGUIGH OF CAMPEN 11 May 1999

RECEIVED 13 FIAY 1999

RECEIVED 13 FIAY 1999

OBJ

Dear Sir

PS 9904259

You have sent me a letter dated 4 May 1999 acknowledging my comments regarding a planning application in respect of St Paul's Hospital, Endell Street. In fact I sent you some comments regarding a planning application in respect of 23-26 Great James Street. Either there was a misprint in your letter or the paperwork for the two applications has got mixed up. I thought I ought to draw your attention to this.

Yours faithfully

Ian Sideris



COOSTRA

Head\_of\_Development Control &\_\_\_\_\_
Planning Services
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Argyle Street
London WC1H 8ND

\_\_\_ Your ref: LS9905012 \_\_

Our ref: LRS/356/23-24

Direct Dial: 0171-973-3750

16 November 1999

For the attention of Sue Cooke

Dear Ms Cooke

## 23-26 GREAT JAMES STREET, LONDON WC1

I refer to your letter of 18 October enclosing details of an application for listed building consent for a roof extension and new roof dormers to the above.

Nos 23-25 (listed Grade II) were rebuilt in facsimile the 1960s when they were joined laterally to form offices. While No 26 (Grade II\*) appears largely original, the rear elevation has been completely rebuilt, presumably at the same time as 23-25.

The proposed roof extension would be constructed above the existing roof terrace at the rear of No 25, raising the parapet line to the level of Nos 23-24. In historic buildings terms, the impact upon the rear elevation and roofline would be negligible and we would raise no objection in principle to this proposal.

With regard to proposed dormers on the front elevation, please note that there are three existing dormers to Nos 23-24, not four as suggested on the drawings. A fourth dormer (which I assume is proposed) would clutter the roofscape further and should be omitted. The existing dormers should not in any case be seen as a precedent, as they do not mirror the rhythm or the proportion of the windows on the front elevation. We would not object to a new dormer to No 25, provided it is reduced in scale.

Yours sineerely

CC

DELCIA KEATE
Historic Buildings Adviser

North and East London Team

Sadhbh Leonard, Senior Conservation Officer

(005 (OBCT) ETT. 25 OCT 1999

Flat D 23-24 Great James Street London WCIN 3ES Telephone 0171 405 8850 23 October 1999 -

Dear Sirs,

Application No: PS990511/

Related to: LS9105012 23/26 Great James 87, WC1.

I am norting in order to object to the proposed 4th from extension to this property if it means creating a new flat there. Turrently, the existing 4th from flat (flat G) is being renorated and I have no opjection to this whatsoever. The noveling of the notice "extension + alterations to existing 4m from to form one-bedroomed flat" is unclear and I suspect may result in others being nisted into thinking they are being asted about the nork already commenced on the existing fourth floor one-bedroom floot. I would be grateful af you could give consideration to sending out a new notice pointing out that the new flat will be (1 eragine) over our existing and only fire escape over

t may be you feel that this letter is nisquided and there is no need to send out a new letter as any interested earty can come to view the plans or see them on the nterhet. However I advise that most people here work Youday-hiday. I do not have internet access and hus I have to second guess the plans - none having seen cent with the letter. In order for an interested up to consider coming to look at me plans they need o be put an notice that this is something that they may

The flat would obstruct the existing fire escape you will furnish new with a copy of the relevant plans. I undertate to pay your leasonable copying charges to a mereinum of Es. Please note if there were to be a flat over the fire escape, I (and the other flat occupant) would have no means of exit except through our windows should the main entrance be blocked. My escape would involve a drop of two from into a smell entrance patio. Whilst I appreciate a fire is unlikely, it must be given due consideration fiven the nature of the consequences which would floor.

It seems regrettable that this building should be so developed (9 pats are already being added) when instead it nould be of such benefit to all Residents for there to be access to what could be a delightful rooftop furder/patio area.

I must you will keep these points in mind a keep me duly informed,

Flat F 23/2 Great James Street London WC1N 3ES

29/10/99

Dear Sue Cooke,

Thankyou for your letter regarding the planning application for a new flat to be built on the 4th floor of 23/24 Great James Street, WC1N 3ES.

As owner of the flat directly underneath the proposed site I am paticulary concerned about the application. My work, as a freelance designer, involves a major amount of time working from home. My concern is that the proposed building work will cause severe disturbances to not only my working day but also to the general living standards of the flat.

As you may know there is already a considerable amount of building work being done on the premises. The work, converting existing office space into flats, has already caused considerable disruptions in both the noise and mess created and in the often reduced access to the building's public areas. From this experience I am now aware of how there is no escaping the noise, dust and constant comings and goings of the builders. If the application is accepted I feel I will be unable, for the duration of the building work, to live and work in the way I intended when purchasing the flat.

I look forward to hearing from you with news of any developments on the matter,

Yours sincerely,

## **David Gallagher Associates** Chartered Architects

év'isions

LS9905012R1

Studio Crown Reach 149A Grosvenor Road London SW1V 3JY

Tel 020 7834 9474 Fax 020 7592 9420

e-mail dga-arch@dircon.co.uk

24 November 1999 London Borough of Camden Cowed 25/11/90

Planning Service **Environment Department** Camden Town Hall Argyle Street Entrance **Euston Road** London WC1H8ND

Att:

Sue Cook / South Area Team

LCNDOM BOTOWSHOF CAMDEN RECORDS & INFORMATION 25 NOV 1999 RECEIVED

Dear Ms Cook

23/26 GREAT JAMES STREET, WC1 Application for Planning Permission and Listed Building Consent for Proposed 1-Bedroom Flat at 4th Floor

Futher to our application submitted on 8 October 1999, and our phone call today, we now submit a revised front elevation omitting the non-existant dormer window to the existing 4th floor flat, as requested.

We confirm your current update that the application is progressing normally through the statutory period; that the above alteration will not affect the timing; that you will be recommending it for approval; that English Heritage have approved the proposal; that there have been two objections from occupants of flats immediately below the proposal, and that these will require the application to go to committee on the 27 January 2000.

. :

Let us know if you require any further information to complete processing the applications.

Yours sincerely

**David Gallagher RIBA** DGA Architects

Enc 10 copies of revised elevation 9938/05A





Head of Development Control & Planning Services
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall
Argyle Street
London WC1H 8ND

Your ref: LS9905012

Our ref: LRS/356/23-24

Direct Dial: 0171-973-3750

16 November 1999

For the attention of Sue Cooke

Dear Ms Cooke

## 23-26 GREAT JAMES STREET, LONDON WC1

I refer to your letter of 18 October enclosing details of an application for listed building consent for a roof extension and new roof dormers to the above.

Nos 23-25 (listed Grade II) were rebuilt in facsimile the 1960s when they were joined laterally to form offices. While No 26 (Grade II\*) appears largely original, the rear elevation has been completely rebuilt, presumably at the same time as 23-25.

The proposed roof extension would be constructed above the existing roof terrace at the rear of No 25, raising the parapet line to the level of Nos 23-24. In historic buildings terms, the impact upon the rear elevation and roofline would be negligible and we would raise no objection in principle to this proposal.

With regard to proposed dormers on the front elevation, please note that there are three existing dormers to Nos 23-24, not four as suggested on the drawings. A fourth dormer (which I assume is proposed) would clutter the roofscape further and should be omitted. The existing dormers should not in any case be seen as a precedent, as they do not mirror the rhythm or the proportion of the windows on the front elevation. We would not object to a new dormer to No 25, provided it is reduced in scale.

Yours sineerely

СС

DELCIA KEATE Historic Buildings Adviser North and East London Team

Sadhbh Leonard, Senior Conservation Officer

The National Monuments Record is the public archive of English Heritage

FROM SUBJECT CODES DATE 23/24 Great James St. Intended: Env Devcon Sent: 29/10/99 at 2:01 pm Delivered: 29/10/99 at 2:12 pm To: Env Devcon CC: Ref:\_E11hBcY=0.0.0Msk-0B(a)finch-post-11.mail.demon.net From: Vehicle Subject: 23/26 Great James St. Auth by: LS9905012/ Text: P59905011 Attachments [ 1] Priority: Normal Reply Request [ ] View Acknowledge [ ] Codes [ ( 00 S OBJ Objector De Wishes to be voorhed et committeet voorhed et change from Please change from OBCT OBCT To Dan (South)

Website Address: Http://www.camden.gov.uk Email Address: env.devcon@camden.gov.uk



## **Development Control Planning Services**

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS/OBSERVATIONS FROM

London Borough of Camden Town Hall

Bloomsbury Conservation Area Advisory Committe Argyle Street

London WC1H 8ND

23/26 Great James Street, WC1

Tel 020 7278 4444 Fax 020 7974 1975

Ref: LS9905012/ 18th October 1999

Case File:N15/4/A

Extension and alterations to existing 4th floor to form one-bedroom flat, (Plans submitted).

	Comments from CAAC: OBJECT   NO OBJECTION   COMMENT   (Please tick as appropriate)
	The Ake Nokan additional
•	midus keing proposed - but ust
	Storm on the proposed plan. In addition the sugle dorner
	In addition the sugle dorner
	and he correctly detailed
6	and the indital & world he contained
r	the with the widow bolow

Signed:

If you would like  $\hbar$ to dis $\epsilon$ uss the above application in more detail, please telephone Sue Cooke, South Team, on 020 7974 5520.

All comments and returned plans, should be sent within 21 days to: Sue Cooke, Development Control, Planning, Environment Department, Camden Town Hall, Argyle Street, London WC1H 8EQ. pacis/caac