

From: MANDY FENTON [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 August 2017 11:00
To: Marfleet, Patrick
Subject: Attn: Patrick Marfleet - copy of objections statement ref: 2017/3834/P

Dear Patrick,

Here is a copy of my objections statement submitted online re: 26A planning application ref 2017/3834/P :-

The proposed erection of a single storey roof extension, due to its position, size and design, would cause serious loss of amenity to No. 26. It would significantly impact the outlook from the side facing windows at No. 26 resulting in a harmful sense of enclosure, contrary to Policy CS5 (Managing the impact of growth and development) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and Policy DP26 (Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours) of the London Borough of Camden Local Framework Development Policies. The proposed extension would also cause significant loss of light in the stairwell of No.26 which would severely impact the property. In addition there would be loss of privacy in No.26 if the windows of the proposed extension overlook No.26 stairwell.

The flue for the combi-boiler in No.26 flat D, on the sidewall just above the proposed roof, does not appear to be accounted for in the plan drawings.

The proposed mansard extension would negatively impact the character and appearance of No.26A, the neighbouring buildings and the street scene along Upper Park Road and the proposed extension would fail to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the Parkhill and Upper Park Road Conservation Area, contrary to Policy CS14 (Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and Policies DP24 (securing high quality design) and DP25 (Conserving Camden's heritage) of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development. Various kinds of many different sized upward extensions around the area are not welcomed.

The proposed building works would also potentially be detrimental to health and wellbeing as the majority of residents at No.26 mostly work outside of standard 9am-5pm hours the noise/disruption would severely impact these residents.