

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4241/P	Cherry Norton and Marc Falconer	1 Antrim Road	29/08/2017 16:51:31	OBJ	<p>We, from 1 Antrim Road, would like to object to the proposed full width rear extension put forward by Kingscliffe, the other half of our semi-detached pair. We agree with previous advice received from Camden Council planning officers that a full width rear extension would not only “breakdown the relationship between the pair of dwellings” but would also “serve to dominate the rear elevation to the detriment of the character and appearance of the positive contributor and wider conservation area contrary to policies CS14, DP24 and DP25,” as stated in the attached letter from Camden Council planning department dated 2013.</p> <p>Both our properties are in the Belsize Conservation zone and we object to the proposed full width rear extension on three grounds: 1) it would break-up the historically important symmetry of our two houses; 2) it would be a bulky and intrusive addition to the rear of both our properties and 3) it would reduce the light in our main family rooms and have a detrimental effect on our terrace.</p> <p>The proposed extension is detrimental to our main family living spaces namely the dining room, kitchen and terrace as it would not only double the height of the existing party wall dividing our gardens from 6ft to 12ft high, but also extend it outwards from 2.4 metres to 4 metres. This not only intrusive visually but will also remove light from all rooms at the rear of our property and turn our terrace area, the only non-overlooked private outdoor space on our property, into a 4 metre, 12ft high alleyway and wind tunnel.</p> <p>We have just finished a renovation (August 2017), where we abided by the planning directions given to us by Camden Council. These planning directions focused heavily on maintaining the historic symmetry between our two houses and stated that a full width rear extension was unacceptable in design terms, requiring us to keep the terrace. We are therefore surprised by the contradictory pre-planning advice that Kingscliffe have received from their pre-planning submission which appears to indicate that a full width extension is acceptable as this will, in our view, now be extremely detrimental to the character and purpose of our original terrace (we were required to keep by Camden council in 2013) and the rear elevation of both our houses.</p> <p>As far as we are aware Camden Council’s planning policies have not significantly changed since 2013 nor have Camden’s criteria for conservation zones and so cannot see how Kingcliffe’s proposed full width extension can be given, with reference to due diligence and fairness, planning permission in it’s current form.</p>

---

Cherry Norton and Marc Falconer, 1 Antrim Road, London, NW3 4XS