

**Gentet, Matthias**

---

**From:** Renaud Barbier-Emery [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 August 2017 16:40 [REDACTED]  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Comments on planning application 2017/4012/P

Dear Sir or Madam

Re: Planning Application 2017/4012/P (the "Application") by Ms Deutsch (the "Applicant")

My name is Renaud Barbier-Emery. I am a leaseholder of Flat D, 10 Buckland Crescent. I am also a director and the company secretary of 10 Buckland Crescent (Freehold) Limited, which is the Applicant's landlord under a lease dated 29 May 1959 (as amended and supplemented, the "Lease").

The Application alleges at Box 25 (entitled Certificates (Certificate B)) that I (as an "owner" of no.10, suffix D, Buckland Crescent) was given notice of the Application on 13/07/2017. I was never given any such notice. The certificate itself is dated 10/07/2017, before notice is alleged to have been given. Box 25 wrongly certified that service had been effected on me.

The owner of the freehold, 10 Buckland Crescent (Freehold) Limited, is not listed in Box 25 as an intended recipient of any notice. That company is entitled (as I am, as a leaseholder of Flat D, 10 Buckland Crescent) to receive notice of all matters in connection with this application.

The existence of the Application only came to my attention on Saturday 26 August when I saw that a notice of the Application had been posted outside no.12 Buckland Crescent (not outside no.10), calling for comments before 25 August 2017. I note that Camden's website instead invites comments until 31 August 2017.

I object to the Application.

The proposal is for a "Loft conversion involving the installation of 2x rear rooflights. Installation of 2x new side windows". These proposed works would be in breach of the Lease, which prohibits the Applicant from cutting any of the roofs or walls. No consent has been sought from the landlord, 10 Buckland Crescent (Freehold) Limited, in respect of such works. The Applicant is prohibited by the Lease from performing such works and the Application is therefore simply a waste of the Council's time.

In addition it appears from the plans submitted with the Application that the proposed rooflights are not rear but front rooflights. The Application is therefore misleading.

The rights of 10 Buckland Crescent (Freehold) Limited and those of the lessees of Flat D, 10 Buckland Crescent are hereby fully reserved.

Yours sincerely

Renaud Barbier-Emery  
As a leaseholder of Flat D, 10 Buckland Crescent  
And as a director of 10 Buckland Crescent (Freehold) Limited

Comments made by Renaud Barbier-Emery of Flat D, 10 Buckland Crescent

