Gentet, Matthias

 From:
 Eileen Willmott ←

 Sent:
 29 August 2017 19:01

To: Planning

Subject: Eileen W re: Dartmouth Park tree applications

Dear Tree officers and planners,

List 437

2017/4230/T 49 Chetwynd Road

No objection

2017/4373/T 43 Dartmouth Park Hill

I delivered a note asking to view the tree, but didn't get a reply.

I visited No 41 many years ago and I think the tree was a long way from the house and therefore not likely to cause it damage.

The application does not give a reason for its felling; there is no mention of disease. I think No 43 may be council owned; (No 41 was when I visited it).

I do not know if the applicant is a leaseholder or not; if so, I understand that he is responsible for any tree work.

Rather than fell it, if not diseased or causing damage, could a TPO be served in order to preserve its amenity value?

List 439

2017/4598/T 29 Boscastle Road (see also 2017/3830/T)

No objection to the work on the medlar, or mountain ash.

2017/4588/T 4 Holly Village, Swains Lane

This large mature sycamore currently overhangs the garage at No 38 Brookfield Park. The owner has employed an arboriculturalist, Simon Pryce, who has advocated the shortening of certain branches. Although, when viewed from Brookfield Park, the branches do not as yet touch the house roof gable end, I have no objection to the work being carried out. It will prevent any possible damage to the house and garage in the future.

Many thanks,

Eileen Willmott