

Since number 25 hillfield road was purchased by Charles Dawson Their has been nothing but distress and distruption caused their has been months of exsesive noise and mess Charles has made no attempt what so ever to protect the neighbouring houses from the dust and debris caused by him gutting the whole house it has caused a huge amount of distress and upset to many neighbours by Charles completely gutting the basement it has now caused number 23 to be infested with rats they have moved from his basement into number 23 this has been extremely horrific and is a health and safety issue The build has Also caused neighbouring house light to be significantly reduced privacy has also been a big issue as they had scaffolding up for months which made many neighbours privacy feel very invaded the mess is horrendous it's not nice to look out on to such a mess this was a lovely home to live in but since number 25 has been purchased many neighbours now feel extremely unhappy in their own homes his extension is also not in keeping with the rest of the properties on this road it is a old Victorian house which he is turning into a modern house it is spoiling the uniform of the road as well as causing a lot of distress







Dear Kristina,

As discussed earlier today, please find my objections detailed below, which were deemed to have been logged by the website, but have not been made public.

I submitted it before the comments deadline (as you can see from the date of the email below).

Please let me know whether mine, my husband' (Dr Ruwan Weerakkody) & my neighbour's (Mrs Miriam Jill Potter) comments have been registered, as we (and maybe others) definitely submitted our continued objections to the extension application next door, before the deadline, and therefore want them surly considered by the council.

Please let me know whether you've been able to retrieve them. If not, I think it needs to be re-opened so we can once again submit them again.

Kind regards, Angela

Dr Angela Pathiraja MBBS MRCS BSc (Hons) MSc DIC NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow (ACF) in General Surgery Imperial College, London Following the recent rejected/withdrawn application in May 2017, I note that a further application has been made by property 25 to erect a single storey rear extension at ground floor level.

This new application in July/August 2017 has proposed a 4m long extension, which I continue to have grave concerns about. As I mentioned in my previous comment about the initial application in May 2017, as the owner of the first floor flat next door, at 27 Hillfield Road, I am extremely concerned that the proposed extension is going to severely affect and negatively impact on the neighbouring properties' residents. I specifically have 3 issues which I would like to raise:

- 1) the proposed height of 3 meters is much higher than the current roof of property 25's ground floor rear, and much higher than both fences dividing properties 27 and 25. The new height of the horizontal roof of the extension will mean that the extension will now encroach on the edge of our bedroom window and cause a huge saftey issue for us (as it would be very easy for burglars to get onto their flat roof, and then break into our first floor bedroom window). Furthermore, as the plans suggest that skylights will be fitted into the roof of this extension, this will then cause significant potential noise and light pollution to neighbouring properties (myself included), as well as my potential lack of privacy between their extension into my rear bedroom window.
- 2) The proposed new length of this extension of 4 meters is unacceptable, in view of the fact that this new suggested length is far beyond the existing building lines of property 25 as well as those of neighbouring properties 23 & 27. (It is in fact very clearly stated in the original application of 2016 that by keeping within the building lines, the original restricted side extension dimensions would be deemed acceptable by the neighbouring properties. Therefore it seems very inconsiderate to now apply for an extension that is known to breach the building lines of all our properties.) As a result, building an extension this long (and high) is going to seriously affect and in fact block the natural light to properties 23 and 27, especially when in our gardens. (Please note that in comparison, none of the adjacent or nearby properties have rear extensions which extend this far back, and no properties on Hillfield Road have had formal extension applications approved which are of this huge size.)
- 3) To make matters worse, as the proposed extension would not only be far longer, but additionally much taller than existing extensions nearby, property 23's resulting large and noticeable new extension will become an "eye-sore" for those in their gardens, as well as all those around in their back rooms or rear bedrooms (ourselves included).

This application appears to be a very inconsiderate proposal that is not thoughtful of either the neighbouring residents' living experiences (as detailed above) or the longstanding character of the properties on Hillfield Road. For these reasons I am making my strong objections to this application.

I am very happy to express my concerns in person. Therefore please contact me personally as required.

Yours faithfully,

Dr Angela Pathiraja - of flat 2, 27 Hillfield Road.

Comments made by Dr Angela Pathiraja of Flat 2, 27 Hillfield Road



Comment Type is Comment Made and Notify of Committee Date

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer

## Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more <u>Click Here</u>.