



From: desyllas@lycos.com [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 09 July 2017 13:04
To: Marfleet, Patrick [REDACTED]
Cc: williamsilver [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application 2017/2838/17 and 2017/6369/17

Dear Patrick Marfleet,

I emailed you regarding this application on 10th May, i.e. two months ago.

In that email I requested that you obtain from the applicants a clear, three dimensional representation showing what the proposed attic extension will look like. I pointed out that it is very difficult to picture this unusual design from the information given, even for someone practised in reading architectural drawings.

Since this is clearly a controversial application (29 supporting comments, 28 objectors and 3 sitting on the fence, including Historic England at 09.07.17 on the Camden Planning website) and its acceptability hinges on a decision as to whether the proposed attic storey damages or enhances the listed building, isn't better information showing what the attic storey would look like essential? Even if you and the Camden conservation officer on this case are confident that you understand this scheme well enough to judge its acceptability, I doubt if all of the members of the planning committee will be in that position without the assistance of further information.

Please confirm whether or not you have requested the information I am asking from the applicants and if not, please explain why not. This information can only help all who have to make a decision regarding this application as well as those like me, who have an interest in the outcome.

sincerely, Justin De Syllas