

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3814/P	A. Nunez	84 Mansfield Road Flat 1	16/08/2017 21:22:02	COMMEN AIL	<p>This comment is in relation to a planning application submitted by Flat 1st Floor 86 Mansfield Road, (planning application number 2017/3814/P). My household would like to object to the application"s proposal on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The adverse impact this will have on me and my family's quality of life, due to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Noise and Disturbance - the proposed application wants to build an extra 3 metres of fixed residence and 1.5 metres of a balcony along a party wall to my property. The proposed extension will undoubtedly increase footfall and traffic in 86 Mansfield Road. The proposed plans have a skylight on the extension thereby suggesting that it will be used as a social part of the property. Therefore the noise and disturbance levels near my rear bedroom will clearly increase to a detrimental level for my family, the neighbours of the applicants. b. Overshadowing and loss of light. The proposed extension will overshadow my existing patio and impose on my bedroom. I have studied the plans and there are no exact measurements for how high the structure will be but the drawings suggest it will be as tall as possible – right up to the top of the ceiling of Flat 1, 86 Mansfield Road. This means the plans propose a tall brick structure that will replace my and my household"s current views of the sky and park views. Clearly I will lose a significant amount of natural light that is currently the main source of light into my patio and rear bedroom. c. Loss of privacy. At present my property is end of terrace and therefore I have no land that people live either side of my property when standing on my balcony. This proposed extension will mean that 86 Mansfield Road, when standing on the proposed balcony, will be able to look into my patio, an open yet private part of my property! d. Loss of view – Mansfield Road is a busy road and the view enjoyed from my balcony is something me and my family hold dear. If this extension is allowed to proceed this view will be significantly impacted, with an adverse impact on the residential amenity and utility of my property. 2. Visual impact of the development <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Mansfield Road Conservation Area. The proposed plans do not fit in with the properties within the Mansfield Road Conservation Area in that the building works, as proposed, would be out of character with the conservation area"s objectives and principles. This extension, if it goes ahead, will be over-bearing, out-of-scale and not aesthetic in terms of appearance compared with all the other properties on Mansfield Road and especially so for the properties in the immediate vicinity when viewed from Estelle Road. b. No precedent. The extension will be the only of its kind if approved. There is no historical precedent of Camden Planning approval for an application of this nature. c. Possible over-development. If this application were granted approval, there would be a real possibility that many other properties would want to build similar extensions and the conservation area would then lose

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>its historical, aesthetic and stylistic value.</p> <p>This will have an adverse impact on the residential amenity of all neighbours.</p> <p>I would have also appreciated having been informed in person of this proposal by the applicant at First Floor flat, 86 Mansfield Road.</p> <p>I would also like to state that I would like to attend the Camden committee meeting related to this planning applications (if one is planned or scheduled).</p>
2017/3814/P	Martin	Flat 1 12 Estelle Road	17/08/2017 07:48:36	OBJ	<p>I share the views of other objectors to this proposal.</p> <p>Specifically with regard to the visual impact of the development:</p> <p>a. Mansfield Road Conservation Area – the proposed plans do not fit in with the properties within the Mansfield Road conservation area i.e. it is out of character. This extension, if it goes ahead, will be over-bearing, out-of-scale and ugly in terms of appearance compared with all the other properties on Mansfield Road and especially so for the properties in the immediate vicinity when viewed from Estelle Road.</p> <p>b. No precedent – The extension will be the only of its kind if approved. There is no precedent for it.</p> <p>c. Possible over-development – if this is granted there is a real possibility that many other properties will want to do a similar extension and the conservation area becomes an eyesore of ugly, over-bearing side extensions above street level which will be imposing and an ugly. This will have an adverse impact on the residential amenity of all neighbours.</p>
2017/3814/P	Mathi woodhouse	7 hoylake Crescent Ickenham Un108jd	15/08/2017 08:01:37	OBJ	<p>I would like to state that I am very much against this decision to extend flat 2 no. 86. I own a flat at number 84, and feel that this extension would compromise the quality of the surrounding flats. We all have terraces that finish at the same point. This extension means it will cast shadows over the surrounding flats and also the overlook flats personal space.</p> <p>Further more the area proposed for extension is very tight and is really going to disrupt views for lower and upper flats permanently.</p> <p>I am strongly against this decision, and will be seeking further action to stop it if permission is granted.</p>