

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3847/P	Dominique Amans	Flat 21 The Henson 30 Oval Road London NW1 7DE	08/08/2017 21:37:03	COMMNT	<p>My interest in the application is by virtue of owning an apartment in the Henson building which is adjacent to the proposed development on the south side near the Interchange Building.</p> <p>There are a number of concerns with the proposals:</p> <p>Building Height</p> <p>The heights of the proposed buildings are not contiguous with the local context and character of the area. The Henson is 6 stories high, which is typical of the maximum height of buildings in the area. The proposals are for a number of buildings which significantly exceed this: A (14 floors), C (10 floors), E1 (11 floors), F (9 floors).</p> <p>We are in agreement with the Design Review Panel of 02/12/2016, as shown on Page 40 of the Camden Goods Yard Planning Statement submitted with the planning application: "Panel suggest a shoulder height of 5 to 7 storeys, rising to 9 stories in one of two key locations would be more appropriate in this location."</p> <p>Applying this to the proposed development, we submit that the heights of the buildings should be amended as follows:</p> <p>E1: 11 to 6 floors. This building is in close proximity to the Henson which is 6 floors, and also the Interchange building.</p> <p>C: 10 to 6/7 floors. 10 floors towers above the Interchange building.</p> <p>F: 9 to 8 floors. As this is more central in the new village and is set back, a higher building may be possible without detriment to the surrounding area.</p> <p>A: 14 to 9 floors. As this is the most set back building, this could accommodate the highest building as recommended by the Design Review Panel of 9 floors. This is also the maximum height of building in the new Hawley Wharf development.</p> <p>Impact of Building Works</p> <p>As this is a major project, the building works will take an extended number of years which will adversely affect the local community. Hence the utmost effort should be made to reduce the impact on local residents in terms of noise, pollution, and traffic. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - staging the building works: the plan envisions the petrol station being converted in the first stage to a temporary supermarket. Hence it makes sense to stage the works so that building commences in the north side of the development, and gradually works its way down so that the time during which major works are conducted adjacent to the buildings on the south side are limited; - erection of large hoardings to limit the impact of noise and pollution. There are many people who work from home, and also disabled people in the building, so solely limiting building works to the working day will not suffice in this instance, and hence extra measures are needed; - guarantee that Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard will not be used for site access during development. <p>Post development traffic</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>The traffic impact on Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard is a concern. Since the site is essentially a car free zone, the impacts of concern are from taxi's, and van and motorbike deliveries.</p> <p>- Taxis: even though the plan contains taxi pick up points on Stephenson Street on the north side of the development, in practice residents and office workers in the southern buildings will prefer to be picked up from the Gilbey's Yard access point as it is a shorter walk for them. This will cause congestion in this small area. Measures to stop this being the case should be stipulated in the plan. Reducing the building height of E1 to 6 floors as described in the building heights section, which is nearest Gilbey's Yard entrance, will help in this regard.</p> <p>- Van deliveries: since the concierge desk is located near the Gilbey's Yard entrance, drivers may be tempted to temporary park there, and walk the short distance to the concierge deal in building E1, rather than drive all the way round and enter via Stephenson Street. Moving the concierge from E1 to, for example, building A, seems sensible and will reduce this considerably.</p> <p>- Motorbike deliveries: motorbikes will no doubt try to enter through the Gilbey's Yard entrance, especially as the concierge is located in building E1 which is near the Gilbey's Yard entrance. Again, moving the concierge to building A, will reduce this considerably. Further measures to dissuade motorbikes from entering through this pedestrian/cycle zone should be stipulated, which could turn into an accident hotspot.</p>

2017/3847/P	James Ayton	Flat 43 Gilbey House 38 Jamestown Road Camden NW1 7BY	08/08/2017 15:33:31	OBJ	<p>I am sorry, but this is a completely ridiculous proposal on so many levels.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Morrisons supermarket plays an important role for the community. Where will residents shop whilst all this is going on? 2. It will create a big dark blot on the skyline. There are enough tall buildings in the square mile. Are we turning Camden into another City of London staved of sunlight? 3. Camden and the Stables area is busy enough without flooding the area with more housing and people. I am not sure the system will cope with this massive increase. Have you thought this through properly? 4. The petrol station area is not that large. I don't see how it is possible to create any green spaces there. With the main development size, I defy anything green to survive anyway since it will see no sunlight from the South with the 14 storey building in the way. <p>It seems to me that this is a profit grab, to see how many apartments and offices one can cram into all spare space available.</p> <p>I really implore you to decline this ridiculous application.</p>
-------------	-------------	--	---------------------	-----	---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3847/P	Paul Farmer	Flat 27 The Henson building Oval Road	08/08/2017 20:33:34	COMMNT	<p>My interest in the application is by virtue of owning an apartment in the Henson building which is adjacent to the proposed development on the south side near the Interchange Building.</p> <p>There are a number of concerns with the proposals:</p> <p>Building Height The heights of the proposed buildings are not contiguous with the local context and character of the area. The Henson is 6 stories high, which is typical of the maximum height of buildings in the area. The proposals are for a number of buildings which significantly exceed this: A (14 floors), C (10 floors), E1 (11 floors), F (9 floors).</p> <p>We are in agreement with the Design Review Panel of 02/12/2016, as shown on Page 40 of the Camden Goods Yard Planning Statement submitted with the planning application: "Panel suggest a shoulder height of 5 to 7 storeys, rising to 9 stories in one of two key locations would be more appropriate in this location."</p> <p>Applying this to the proposed development, we submit that the heights of the buildings should be amended as follows: E1: 11 to 6 floors. This building is in close proximity to the Henson which is 6 floors, and also the Interchange building. C: 10 to 6/7 floors. 10 floors towers above the Interchange building. F: 9 to 8 floors. As this is more central in the new village and is set back, a higher building may be possible without detriment to the surrounding area. A: 14 to 9 floors. As this is the most set back building, this could accommodate the highest building as recommended by the Design Review Panel of 9 floors. This is also the maximum height of building in the new Hawley Wharf development.</p> <p>Impact of Building Works As this is a major project, the building works will take an extended number of years which will adversely affect the local community. Hence the utmost effort should be made to reduce the impact on local residents in terms of noise, pollution, and traffic. For example: - staging the building works: the plan envisions the petrol station being converted in the first stage to a temporary supermarket. Hence it makes sense to stage the works so that building commences in the north side of the development, and gradually works its way down so that the time during which major works are conducted adjacent to the buildings on the south side are limited; - erection of large hoardings to limit the impact of noise and pollution. There are many people who work from home, and also disabled people in the building, so solely limiting building works to the working day will not suffice in this instance, and hence extra measures are needed; - guarantee that Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard will not be used for site access during development.</p>

Post development traffic

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>The traffic impact on Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard is a concern. Since the site is essentially a car free zone, the impacts of concern are from taxi's, and van and motorbike deliveries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Taxis: even though the plan contains taxi pick up points on Stephenson Street on the north side of the development, in practice residents and office workers in the southern buildings will prefer to be picked up from the Gilbey's Yard access point as it is a shorter walk for them. This will cause congestion in this small area. Measures to stop this being the case should be stipulated in the plan. Reducing the building height of E1 to 6 floors as described in the building heights section, which is nearest Gilbey's Yard entrance, will help in this regard.- Van deliveries: since the concierge desk is located near the Gilbey's Yard entrance, drivers may be tempted to temporary park there, and walk the short distance to the concierge deal in building E1, rather than drive all the way round and enter via Stephenson Street. Moving the concierge from E1 to, for example, building A, seems sensible and will reduce this considerably.- Motorbike deliveries: motorbikes will no doubt try to enter through the Gilbey's Yard entrance, especially as the concierge is located in building E1 which is near the Gilbey's Yard entrance. Again, moving the concierge to building A, will reduce this considerably. Further measures to dissuade motorbikes from en

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3847/P	Michael Nathan	Flat 32 The Henson 30 Oval Road London	09/08/2017 15:24:39	OBJ	<p>My interest in the application is by virtue of owning an apartment in the Henson building which is adjacent to the proposed development on the south side near the Interchange Building.</p> <p>There are a number of concerns with the proposals:</p> <p>Building Height</p> <p>The heights of the proposed buildings are not contiguous with the local context and character of the area. The Henson is 6 stories high, which is typical of the maximum height of buildings in the area. The proposals are for a number of buildings which significantly exceed this: A (14 floors), C (10 floors), E1 (11 floors), F (9 floors).</p> <p>We are in agreement with the Design Review Panel of 02/12/2016, as shown on Page 40 of the Camden Goods Yard Planning Statement submitted with the planning application: "Panel suggest a shoulder height of 5 to 7 storeys, rising to 9 stories in one of two key locations would be more appropriate in this location."</p> <p>Applying this to the proposed development, we submit that the heights of the buildings should be amended as follows:</p> <p>E1: 11 to 6 floors. This building is in close proximity to the Henson which is 6 floors, and also the Interchange building.</p> <p>C: 10 to 6/7 floors. 10 floors towers above the Interchange building.</p> <p>F: 9 to 8 floors. As this is more central in the new village and is set back, a higher building may be possible without detriment to the surrounding area.</p> <p>A: 14 to 9 floors. As this is the most set back building, this could accommodate the highest building as recommended by the Design Review Panel of 9 floors. This is also the maximum height of building in the new Hawley Wharf development.</p> <p>Impact of Building Works</p> <p>As this is a major project, the building works will take an extended number of years which will adversely affect the local community. Hence the utmost effort should be made to reduce the impact on local residents in terms of noise, pollution, and traffic. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - staging the building works: the plan envisions the petrol station being converted in the first stage to a temporary supermarket. Hence it makes sense to stage the works so that building commences in the north side of the development, and gradually works its way down so that the time during which major works are conducted adjacent to the buildings on the south side are limited; - erection of large hoardings to limit the impact of noise and pollution. There are many people who work from home, and also disabled people in the building, so solely limiting building works to the working day will not suffice in this instance, and hence extra measures are needed; - guarantee that Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard will not be used for site access during development. <p>Post development traffic</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>The traffic impact on Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard is a concern. Since the site is essentially a car free zone, the impacts of concern are from taxi's, and van and motorbike deliveries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Taxis: even though the plan contains taxi pick up points on Stephenson Street on the north side of the development, in practice residents and office workers in the southern buildings will prefer to be picked up from the Gilbey's Yard access point as it is a shorter walk for them. This will cause congestion in this small area. Measures to stop this being the case should be stipulated in the plan. Reducing the building height of E1 to 6 floors as described in the building heights section, which is nearest Gilbey's Yard entrance, will help in this regard.- Van deliveries: since the concierge desk is located near the Gilbey's Yard entrance, drivers may be tempted to temporary park there, and walk the short distance to the concierge deal in building E1, rather than drive all the way round and enter via Stephenson Street. Moving the concierge from E1 to, for example, building A, seems sensible and will reduce this considerably.- Motorbike deliveries: motorbikes will no doubt try to enter through the Gilbey's Yard entrance, especially as the concierge is located in building E1 which is near the Gilbey's Yard entrance. Again, moving the concierge to building A, will reduce this considerably. Further measures to dissuade motorbikes from entering through this pedestrian/cycle zone should be stipulated, which could turn into an accident hotspot.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3847/P	Miss vera bohl	30 oval road Flat 26 nw1 7de nw1 7de	08/08/2017 19:17:11	OBJLETTE R	<p>My interest in the application is by virtue of owning an apartment in the Henson building which is adjacent to the proposed development on the south side near the Interchange Building.</p> <p>There are a number of concerns with the proposals:</p> <p>Building Height</p> <p>The heights of the proposed buildings are not contiguous with the local context and character of the area. The Henson is 6 stories high, which is typical of the maximum height of buildings in the area. The proposals are for a number of buildings which significantly exceed this: A (14 floors), C (10 floors), E1 (11 floors), F (9 floors).</p> <p>We are in agreement with the Design Review Panel of 02/12/2016, as shown on Page 40 of the Camden Goods Yard Planning Statement submitted with the planning application: "Panel suggest a shoulder height of 5 to 7 storeys, rising to 9 stories in one of two key locations would be more appropriate in this location."</p> <p>Applying this to the proposed development, we submit that the heights of the buildings should be amended as follows:</p> <p>E1: 11 to 6 floors. This building is in close proximity to the Henson which is 6 floors, and also the Interchange building.</p> <p>C: 10 to 6/7 floors. 10 floors towers above the Interchange building.</p> <p>F: 9 to 8 floors. As this is more central in the new village and is set back, a higher building may be possible without detriment to the surrounding area.</p> <p>A: 14 to 9 floors. As this is the most set back building, this could accommodate the highest building as recommended by the Design Review Panel of 9 floors. This is also the maximum height of building in the new Hawley Wharf development.</p> <p>Impact of Building Works</p> <p>As this is a major project, the building works will take an extended number of years which will adversely affect the local community. Hence the utmost effort should be made to reduce the impact on local residents in terms of noise, pollution, and traffic. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - staging the building works: the plan envisions the petrol station being converted in the first stage to a temporary supermarket. Hence it makes sense to stage the works so that building commences in the north side of the development, and gradually works its way down so that the time during which major works are conducted adjacent to the buildings on the south side are limited; - erection of large hoardings to limit the impact of noise and pollution. There are many people who work from home, and also disabled people in the building, so solely limiting building works to the working day will not suffice in this instance, and hence extra measures are needed; - guarantee that Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard will not be used for site access during development. <p>Post development traffic</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>The traffic impact on Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard is a concern. Since the site is essentially a car free zone, the impacts of concern are from taxi's, and van and motorbike deliveries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Taxis: even though the plan contains taxi pick up points on Stephenson Street on the north side of the development, in practice residents and office workers in the southern buildings will prefer to be picked up from the Gilbey's Yard access point as it is a shorter walk for them. This will cause congestion in this small area. Measures to stop this being the case should be stipulated in the plan. Reducing the building height of E1 to 6 floors as described in the building heights section, which is nearest Gilbey's Yard entrance, will help in this regard. - Van deliveries: since the concierge desk is located near the Gilbey's Yard entrance, drivers may be tempted to temporary park there, and walk the short distance to the concierge deal in building E1, rather than drive all the way round and enter via Stephenson Street. Moving the concierge from E1 to, for example, building A, seems sensible and will reduce this considerably. - Motorbike deliveries: motorbikes will no doubt try to enter through the Gilbey's Yard entrance, especially as the concierge is located in building E1 which is near the Gilbey's Yard entrance. Again, moving the concierge to building A, will reduce this considerably. Further measures to dissuade motorbikes from entering through this pedestrian/cycle zone should be stipulated, which could turn into an accident hotspot.t
2017/3847/P	Simon Pitkeathley	37 Camden High Street (entrance in Symes Mews) NW1 7JE	09/08/2017 17:40:25	COMNOT	Our abiding concern is about construction management and availability of workspace, especially affordable workspace. There is a risk that with HS2, the new entrance to Camden Town underground and this scheme that the roads network will be under intolerable stress which we expect the council, TfL and HS2 to produce a coordinated management plan for.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/3847/P	Heidi Paxton	Apartment 44 & 45 30 Oval Road London NW1 7DE	09/08/2017 11:22:48	OBJEMAIL	<p>My interest in the application is by virtue of owning an apartment in the Henson building which is adjacent to the proposed development on the south side near the Interchange Building.</p> <p>There are a number of concerns with the proposals:</p> <p>Building Height</p> <p>The heights of the proposed buildings are not contiguous with the local context and character of the area. The Henson is 6 stories high, which is typical of the maximum height of buildings in the area. The proposals are for a number of buildings which significantly exceed this: A (14 floors), C (10 floors), E1 (11 floors), F (9 floors).</p> <p>We are in agreement with the Design Review Panel of 02/12/2016, as shown on Page 40 of the Camden Goods Yard Planning Statement submitted with the planning application: "Panel suggest a shoulder height of 5 to 7 storeys, rising to 9 stories in one of two key locations would be more appropriate in this location."</p> <p>Applying this to the proposed development, we submit that the heights of the buildings should be amended as follows:</p> <p>E1: 11 to 6 floors. This building is in close proximity to the Henson which is 6 floors, and also the Interchange building.</p> <p>C: 10 to 6/7 floors. 10 floors towers above the Interchange building.</p> <p>F: 9 to 8 floors. As this is more central in the new village and is set back, a higher building may be possible without detriment to the surrounding area.</p> <p>A: 14 to 9 floors. As this is the most set back building, this could accommodate the highest building as recommended by the Design Review Panel of 9 floors. This is also the maximum height of building in the new Hawley Wharf development.</p> <p>Impact of Building Works</p> <p>As this is a major project, the building works will take an extended number of years which will adversely affect the local community. Hence the utmost effort should be made to reduce the impact on local residents in terms of noise, pollution, and traffic. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - staging the building works: the plan envisions the petrol station being converted in the first stage to a temporary supermarket. Hence it makes sense to stage the works so that building commences in the north side of the development, and gradually works its way down so that the time during which major works are conducted adjacent to the buildings on the south side are limited; - erection of large hoardings to limit the impact of noise and pollution. There are many people who work from home, and also disabled people in the building, so solely limiting building works to the working day will not suffice in this instance, and hence extra measures are needed; - guarantee that Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard will not be used for site access during development. <p>Post development traffic</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>The traffic impact on Oval Road/Gilbey's Yard is a concern. Since the site is essentially a car free zone, the impacts of concern are from taxi's, and van and motorbike deliveries.</p> <p>- Taxis: even though the plan contains taxi pick up points on Stephenson Street on the north side of the development, in practice residents and office workers in the southern buildings will prefer to be picked up from the Gilbey's Yard access point as it is a shorter walk for them. This will cause congestion in this small area. Measures to stop this being the case should be stipulated in the plan. Reducing the building height of E1 to 6 floors as described in the building heights section, which is nearest Gilbey's Yard entrance, will help in this regard.</p> <p>- Van deliveries: since the concierge desk is located near the Gilbey's Yard entrance, drivers may be tempted to temporary park there, and walk the short distance to the concierge deal in building E1, rather than drive all the way round and enter via Stephenson Street. Moving the concierge from E1 to, for example, building A, seems sensible and will reduce this considerably.</p> <p>- Motorbike deliveries: motorbikes will no doubt try to enter through the Gilbey's Yard entrance, especially as the concierge is located in building E1 which is near the Gilbey's Yard entrance. Again, moving the concierge to building A, will reduce this considerably. Further measures to dissuade motorbikes from entering through this pedestrian/cycle zone should be stipulated, which could turn into an accident hotspot.</p>
2017/3847/P	Irris Ivesha	The Henson Flat 46 30 Oval Road London NW1 7 DE	08/08/2017 20:17:17	COMMEM PER	<p>We feel very strongly the new plan would alter the environment and the village feeling we enjoy here. Aesthetic LY high rise buildings would be like sore thumbs sticking out quite ugly with all buildings around are quite low with a village feeling in Camden and in Primrose Hill. We strongly oppose this plan, not to mention the dust, noise and inconvenience we the neighbours would suffer.</p>