

From: James Copley:
Sent: Sun, 6 Aug 2017 20:35
Subject: Planning Application 2017/3535/P [Flat 4, 25 Pilgrims Lane]

Dear Mr Young

Re: Flat 4, 25 Pilgrims Lane NW3 1SX – Planning Application 2017/3535/P

I write as a follow up to a conversation that I had with one of the duty planning officers concerning the above.

The freehold of 25 Pilgrims Lane is held by myself and my sister, Kate Allenger. The first point of principle is that neither myself or my sister were notified about this prior to the lessee's submission as stated within his planning application.

The railings are part of the building and do not form part of the lessee's demise. Whilst I have historically indicated to the lessee that I would consider his proposals and that subject to my approval (which would need to consider the overall appearances on the building, fixings to the building fabric, constraints on use and maintenance obligations) and then planning approval, then I would be prepared to instruct solicitors to grant a deed of variation.

The lessee has made no attempt to consult with me or my sister or provide us with any details for comment or consideration. Having only seen the proposed details on the Camden Planning website (having seen the planning notice fixed to a tree outside the house) we are not happy with the appearance of the railings and strongly feel that they are out of keeping with the appearance of the building, bearing in mind the new railings would be so prominent. Accordingly we appose this application.

We would prefer to see a style of railing more reflective of Victorian architecture perhaps similar to the ironwork handrails elsewhere in Pilgrims Lane.

Irrespective of Camden's decision we would not grant landlord consent to undertake these works as currently proposed.

I would be grateful if you would acknowledge receipt of this email. I am currently away on holiday but would be happy to discuss this further should you wish to call me.

Regards,

James Copley
51 Windermere Road
London N10 2RD