

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0969/P	Konstanze Rietsch	Flat 16 Gardnor Mansions	03/08/2017 07:46:10	COMMNT	As local resident (one of my children attending the almost adjacent Fitzjohn's primary school) I am writing to strongly object to this proposal. We walk down Fitzjohn's Avenue every day, and this would extremely unsightly and mar the street scene in the local area.
2017/0969/P	Ronny Feiereisen	FITZJOHNS AVENUE 72 Nw35ls Nw35ls	03/08/2017 02:27:16	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>As proposed in the application the applicants would like to erect 4 antennas and 6 cabinets on faces of chimney on eastern corner, 2 antennas and 3 cabinets on faces of plant room on the Western corner, 4 equipment cabinets on roof of northeast side plus associated 1.1m high handrail walkway across roof, and one meter cabinet on ground at southwest elevation.</p> <p>This proposal is totally out of character with its surrounding. Fitzjohn's Avenue is a residential area that is subjected to many regulatory and design rules to maintain the feel and look of its surrounding. Any proposal of this magnitude would be more suitable for commercial developments but certainly not to be added to the centre of Hampstead.</p> <p>On that basis we vehemently object to the proposal.</p>
2017/0969/P	Jessica Learmond-Criqui	14A Redington Road London NW3 7RG	03/08/2017 00:49:52	OBJNOT	Hampstead is a conservation area. The height and bulk of this equipment detracts from the character and heritage of the area. The streets are characterised by buildings which are devoid of visual clutter. The height and size of the masts and their equipment, together with the railing will irreversibly damage the street scene. As the equipment and masts are on the roof, there is no scope for landscaping or screening to hide the impact of this bulk on the surrounding area. No consultation with St Anthony's, Devonshire House, Northbridge House and Fitzjohns Primary which are in close proximity to this development has taken place pre-application. This is contrary to the Code of Best Practice on Mobile Network Development in England. The application should therefore be refused on the grounds of its adverse impact on a conservation area and on the grounds of its failure to comply with the Code of Best Practice.
2017/0969/P	stephanie gray	flat 8 53 rosslyn hill	03/08/2017 01:46:29	NOBJ	I am very much in support of the application.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0969/P	Noralyn Pitts	88 Cumbrian Gardens NW2 1EL	03/08/2017 07:58:48	COMMNT	<p>1) Hampstead is a conservation area and such structures are not in strict compliance with the I guidelines as adapted by the local authority. This is specifically as regards design. A telecom mast will violate the guideline of 'inappropriate bulk, massing and / or height (page 60 Hampstead Conservation Area Statement of the London Borough of Camden https://www.camden.gov.uk/ccm/content/environment/planning-and-built-environment/two/planning-policy/supplementary-planning-documents/conservation-area-appraisal-and-management-strategies/hampstead/) .</p> <p>2) The proposed mast is very close to 4 different primary schools</p> <p>3) Research on the impact of radiation from such equipment on small developing children and the implications for their future health is insufficiently comforting. Studies to date have not had the benefit of longevity. Therefore, long term health consequences are not known.</p> <p>4) Sample sizes in studies to date have been regarded as too small to be conclusive</p> <p>5) There is some evidence that people with neurological problems suffer side effects from extended exposure to electromagnetic fields. As a school with several autistic children we find this implication concerning.</p> <p>6) There are appropriate spots locally that provide sufficient height but will not impact a large group of small school children.</p> <p>It is also of note that the application has been logged just as most of the other local schools closed for the summer. Having a deadline of 28/7/17 for objections to the application is inappropriate as it deprives these affected parties from having their say. It is quite a coincidence that the original application and notification form Waldon Communications was sent just as the schools closed for Christmas break. One might think that this is a purposeful strategy to avoid proper consultation with affected neighbours of the proposed project.</p>
