# **Gentet, Matthias**

From: Craig, Tessa

**Sent:** 01 August 2017 16:26

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2017/2587/P

From: Martin Narraway [mailto:m.narraway@yahoo.co.uk]

Sent: 07 July 2017 17:23

To: Craig, Tessa <Tessa.Craig@camden.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2017/2587/P

#### **Dear Tessa**

Checking today I see that no new drawings have been uploaded. I then tried to register the HLE CAAC's comments but found the link / consultation closed. Can you please record the CAAC's comments as;

The drawings submitted with this application are poor and do not provide sufficient information upon which to make a decision.

- a) The Design & Access Statement states the existing dormer is 2709.87 (!) mm width and the proposed extension width is 90cm, total width 361 cm. The drawing showing the Existing Roof Plan & the Proposed Roof Plan gives the dormer as being 2709.87 mm width (Proposed) with no dimension stated for existing. The drawing described as 'Certificate B and Roof Plan existing and Proposed CCE12062017\_0001' shows other dimensions including the total width of the roof terrace as being 691 cm and the proposed dormer ending 90cm from the midpoint of the terrace (345.5cm). How wide is the dormer to be ??
- b) The Rear Elevation shows a small extension to the left (east) of the existing dormer, this appears to be tiled. The D&A states terracotta tiles and refers to images 1, 3 & 4, which do not appear to be attached. It would be preferable that the vertical walls of the dormer are clad with clay tiles matching the roof as proposed in the HLE Conservation Area Appraisal & Management Strategy (page 44, Roof Alterations, point (g))
- c) The D&A refers to a small dome roof light but this is not located on any drawing.
- d) The floor plan doesn't appear to show any increase in width of the existing dormer but is described as the 'Proposed Arrangement For Common & Access Stairs'. I suspect this drawing dates from application 2011/3252/P which was approved but hasn't been updated.

Because the drawings are so poor the CAAC must register an objection.

Best regards

Martin Narraway
Chair HLE CAAC

From: Martin Narraway [mailto:m.narraway@yahoo.co.uk]

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 2:18 PM

To: 'Craig, Tessa'

Subject: RE: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2017/2587/P

#### Dear Tessa

I've been trying to understand this application, the drawings are very poor and contradictory. No proper floor plans have been included (those that are seem to relate to an earlier application & I think don't show the extension to the dormer). Is it possible to demand clearer drawings? Also, have you visited the property, do both the staircases shown on the 'Proposed Stairs Arrangement' drg exist ??

## Many thanks

Martin Narraway Chair HLE CAAC 0774 207 6471

----Original Message----

From: Craig, Tessa [mailto:Tessa.Craig@camden.gov.uk]

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 5:48 PM

To: m.narraway@yahoo.co.uk

Subject: Consultee letter for PlanningApplication Application: 2017/2587/P

Please find attached Consultee letter for PlanningApplication application 2017/2587/P

### Y451337

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e- mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.