

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4036/P	Lady Valerie Solti	51 Elsworthy Road NW3	28/07/2017 00:56:34	OBJ	I am most concerned that any change to the communal parts and windows should have full approval from the fire service. I am also concerned that the entrances to the affordable housing appear to have been downgraded in favour of the retail elements
2017/4036/P	Francoise Findlay Chairman Elsworthy Residents Association . member of BCAAC	1 Lower Merton Rise NW33RA	28/07/2017 00:51:53	OBJ	In view of the recent Grenfell Tower tragedy any changes to the tower design particularly need to be closely examined by the fire service and gain their approval. This affects every detail of the building but particularly the communal spaces and the windows. Any alteration to the design of the affordable element of the building that downgrades it is to be resisted. It should be indistinguishable from the other entrances and certainly not compromised in favour of the retail development.
2017/4036/P	Diarmuid O'Hegarty	48 Canfield Gardens London NW6 3EB	27/07/2017 20:57:27	COMNOT	I wish to object to the following application: 100 AVENUE ROAD, SWISS COTTAGE, NW3 3HF APPLICATION REF: 2017/4036/P S96A NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT APPLICATION The details included in this application clearly constitute material amendments to the existing planning permission and should be rejected. 1. Changes to the entrance arrangements, particularly the 'poor doors' arrangement for the affordable units, the internal layouts, changes to the elevational treatment and to the glazing detail are not within the spirit of the existing planning permission. They do not, as the developer's allege in their cover letter, offer 'an improvement'. They change fundamental elements of the internal and external design. They impact differently upon adjoining occupiers and the surrounding environment. Most importantly they raise important safety concerns which have not been addressed in this application. 2. Changes to the internal floor-plans appear to use 'excess' hallways to increase unit size. This results in a dangerous reduction in hallway space, particularly within the tower. It appears that London Fire Brigade have not been consulted on this change. ?Of?? particular concern? is that in a tower designed with only one stairwell, reducing the hall space still further increases the problems of an evacuation. This is a material change. 3. Changes to the glazing, the 'means of opening windows' and the 'positioning of the balconies'. Without assurances from London Fire Brigade on the new window opening arrangements and their impact on fire safety in a tower designed with only one stairwell, we have concerns that these changes could impact on fire safety. This is a material change. 4. 'Changes to the affordable entrance doors'. These changes are material in that they introduce 'poor doors' to this part of the development. In the proposed changes, the developers say the entrance to the affordable units will be altered simply to provide, 'more attractive retail un

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4036/P	Diarmuid O'Hegarty	48 Canfield Gardens NW6 3EB NW6 3EB	27/07/2017 20:54:58	COMNOT	<p>I wish to object to the following application:</p> <p>100 AVENUE ROAD, SWISS COTTAGE, NW3 3HF APPLICATION REF: 2017/4036/P S96A NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT APPLICATION</p> <p>The details included in this application clearly constitute material amendments to the existing planning permission and should be rejected.</p> <p>1. Changes to the entrance arrangements, particularly the 'poor doors' arrangement for the affordable units, the internal layouts, changes to the elevational treatment and to the glazing detail are not within the spirit of the existing planning permission. They do not, as the developer's allege in their cover letter, offer 'an improvement'. They change fundamental elements of the internal and external design. They impact differently upon adjoining occupiers and the surrounding environment. Most importantly they raise important safety concerns which have not been addressed in this application.</p> <p>2. Changes to the internal floor-plans appear to use 'excess' hallways to increase unit size. This results in a dangerous reduction in hallway space, particularly within the tower. It appears that London Fire Brigade have not been consulted on this change. 'Of?? particular concern? is that in a tower designed with only one stairwell, reducing the hall space still further increases the problems of an evacuation. This is a material change.</p> <p>3. Changes to the glazing, the 'means of opening windows' and the 'positioning of the balconies'. Without assurances from London Fire Brigade on the new window opening arrangements and their impact on fire safety in a tower designed with only one stairwell, we have concerns that these changes could impact on fire safety. This is a material change.</p> <p>4. 'Changes to the affordable entrance doors'. These changes are material in that they introduce 'poor doors' to this part of the development. In the proposed changes, the developers say the entrance to the affordable units will be altered simply to provide, 'more attractive retail un</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4036/P	Diarmuid O'Hegarty	48 Canfield Gardens NW6 3EB NW6 3EB	27/07/2017 20:54:05	COMNOT	<p>I wish to object to the following application:</p> <p>100 AVENUE ROAD, SWISS COTTAGE, NW3 3HF APPLICATION REF: 2017/4036/P S96A NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT APPLICATION</p> <p>The details included in this application clearly constitute material amendments to the existing planning permission and should be rejected.</p> <p>1. Changes to the entrance arrangements, particularly the 'poor doors' arrangement for the affordable units, the internal layouts, changes to the elevational treatment and to the glazing detail are not within the spirit of the existing planning permission. They do not, as the developer's allege in their cover letter, offer 'an improvement'. They change fundamental elements of the internal and external design. They impact differently upon adjoining occupiers and the surrounding environment. Most importantly they raise important safety concerns which have not been addressed in this application.</p> <p>2. Changes to the internal floor-plans appear to use 'excess' hallways to increase unit size. This results in a dangerous reduction in hallway space, particularly within the tower. It appears that London Fire Brigade have not been consulted on this change. 'Of?? particular concern? is that in a tower designed with only one stairwell, reducing the hall space still further increases the problems of an evacuation. This is a material change.</p> <p>3. Changes to the glazing, the 'means of opening windows' and the 'positioning of the balconies'. Without assurances from London Fire Brigade on the new window opening arrangements and their impact on fire safety in a tower designed with only one stairwell, we have concerns that these changes could impact on fire safety. This is a material change.</p> <p>4. 'Changes to the affordable entrance doors'. These changes are material in that they introduce 'poor doors' to this part of the development. In the proposed changes, the developers say the entrance to the affordable units will be altered simply to provide, 'more attractive retail un</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/4036/P	Diarmuid O'Hegarty	48 Canfield Gardens NW6 3EB NW6 3EB	27/07/2017 20:53:12	COMMNT	<p>I wish to object to the following application:</p> <p>100 AVENUE ROAD, SWISS COTTAGE, NW3 3HF APPLICATION REF: 2017/4036/P ? S96A NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT APPLICATION</p> <p>The details included in this application clearly constitute material amendments to the existing planning permission and should be rejected.</p> <p>1. Changes to the entrance arrangements, particularly the 'poor doors' arrangement for the affordable units, the internal layouts, changes to the elevational treatment and to the glazing detail are not within the spirit of the existing planning permission. They do not, as the developer's allege in their cover letter, offer 'an improvement'. They change fundamental elements of the internal and external design. They impact differently upon adjoining occupiers and the surrounding environment. Most importantly they raise important safety concerns which have not been addressed in this application.</p> <p>2. Changes to the internal floor-plans appear to use 'excess' hallways to increase unit size. This results in a dangerous reduction in hallway space, particularly within the tower. It appears that London Fire Brigade have not been consulted on this change. ?Of?? particular concern? is that in a tower designed with only one stairwell, reducing the hall space still further increases the problems of an evacuation. This is a material change.</p> <p>3. Changes to the glazing, the 'means of opening windows' and the 'positioning of the balconies'. Without assurances from London Fire Brigade on the new window opening arrangements and their impact on fire safety in a tower designed with only one stairwell, we have concerns that these changes could impact on fire safety. This is a material change.</p> <p>4. 'Changes to the affordable entrance doors'. These changes are material in that they introduce 'poor doors' to this part of the development. In the proposed changes, the developers say the entrance to the affordable units will be altered simply to provide, 'more attractive retail u</p>