

Gentet, Matthias

From: Henry, Kate
Sent: 28 July 2017 11:05
To: Planning
Subject: FW: From No 13 Regent's Park Terrace re 14 Regent's Park Terrace- 2017/0166/P and 2017/0339/L

Hi,

Please log as objection to the above.

Thanks,

Kate

Kate Henry
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2521

-----Original Message-----

From: Anna Maxwell [mailto: 

Sent: 27 July 2017 20:58

To: Henry, Kate <Kate.Henry@camden.gov.uk>

Subject: From No 13 Regent's Park Terrace re 14 Regent's Park Terrace- 2017/0166/P and 2017/0339/L

Dear Kate,

I am writing to you, having been given your details by David Shasha of 15, Regent's Park Terrace NW1 7ED. I and my husband John are the owners of 13, Regent's Park Terrace. I apologise for not speaking to you first, but I tried your number today with no success, and I understand we have only until the end of the week to register any concerns.

Like David, we have only just received the proposed plans for the building works at No 14. We are temporarily spending some of the year abroad for work, and have not had any previous communication from the Goldbergs on any details of the plans before last week.

We too are mainly concerned about the proposed first floor extension, and its implications for our light and views, given it will be directly to the left of our own balcony. It seems to us to protrude in a way that will negatively affect our light, and also potentially loom over our lower ground floor and garden, creating shade and a sense of being closed in.

And even if there have been earlier precedents, I would question whether many of these are recent enough to have undergone more modern and rigorous planning approval; and I agree with David that there are fewer brick built extensions that parallel this proposal than is suggested in the Planning and Design Access Statement.

We are also concerned about the proposed wine cellar, as this could impact our party wall. It would also - I think - be the first basement excavation in the street. This terrace of Grade 2 listed houses with relatively shallow Georgian foundations does not seem to us an appropriate candidate for this kind of work, and we would worry about the precedent set, and believe many neighbours would share this concern.

We are of course very happy to meet you at any point along with David to discuss any of this further; and also to visit our house to understand all the possible implications. We would be grateful for a delay that allows such analysis of impact on neighbours to be carried out.

Thank you very much for all your time and help, and we hope you can take all this into consideration when responding to the application.

Kind regards,

Anna Maxwell

