
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 July 2017 11:35
To: McClue, Jonathan
Cc: Planning
Subject: 2017/4036/P

Dear Mr McClue,

I am writing to object to the following application:

100 AVENUE ROAD, SWISS COTTAGE, NW3 3HF APPLICATION REF: [2017/4036/P](#) S96A NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENT APPLICATION

It is absolutely obvious, from reading the above application, that significant changes are being proposed – definitely not non-material, and these changes should be rejected.

1- Diminution of ‘excess’ hallways and amendments to internal floor-plans appear to increase unit size.
It beggars belief that, after the Grenfell Tower disaster, suggestions are being made to narrow the hallways in a tower block with only one stairway. Especially after their recent experiences, **what do London Fire Brigade have to say about these material changes** and their likely impact on speedy evacuation? This is material change.

2- Alterations to glazing, means of opening windows and positioning of the balconies.
What has London Fire Brigade to say regarding safety in relation to the new window opening and balcony arrangements in a tower block with only one stairwell. This is a material change.

3- Removal of the rooftop maintenance unit in the tower due to ‘changes in window cleaning strategy’
How will the windows be kept clean without a rooftop maintenance unit? No mention is made of this. This is a material amendment to the permission which was granted.

4- Regarding changes to the entrances, particularly to the affordable flats, internal layouts etc.
It is highly unlikely that proposals made by the developers and promoted as “an improvement” are actually that, and not a cost-slashing amendment on their part with an eye to increasing their profits. They materially change both the interior layouts and design in relation to the façade and glazing treatment and treat adjoining residents and surrounding environment differently. Not considered in this application are very important safety concerns.

5- Changes to the affordable entrance doors.
These changes are material in that they introduce ‘poor doors’ to this part of the development. In the proposed changes, the developers say the entrance to the affordable units will be altered simply to provide, ‘more attractive retail units’. Camden Council should not be permitting developers to change their planning permission in order to introduce ‘poor doors’. Stigmatising any group through housing design is unacceptable. This is a material change.

Please note my rejection of and objection to these proposed changes.

Yours truly

Elizabeth A Fenner
24 and 35 Fairfax Place NW6 4EJ