| | | | | | Printed on: | 27/07/2017 | 09:10:02 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|------------|----------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: | | |
| 2017/3745/P | Marianne Nix Griffiths | 9 heath villas | 26/07/2017 10:12:40 | OBJ | Dear Sirs, | | |
| | | | | | I would like to object to this misleading application. The wording of the documents is misleading and emphasises the re-instatement and avoids mentioning in all but one place that I could find, that there is no intention to re-instate the pillars adjacent to the pavement. This 'non reinstatement' means that it will be possible to use the entrance as a place to leave a car which at the moment is not possible. Leaving a car in the entrance will impact on the facility of parking on the road in front of the entrance - which is currently a parking space. None of the other houses in the row has the facility to park in a similar area close to the buildings. It is not in keeping with the conservation area. | | ı |