

OBJECTION RE MOST RECENT APPLICATION RE NUMBER 53
REFERENCE 2017 /3425/P

1- This new application gives Camden an opportunity to remedy its previous mistakes with this application -eventually common sense prevailed re the Water House application -the same is needed now with this application

2- I live immediately opposite the property - a mere few metres away

3- the application should be refused for the following reasons

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR A PROJECT THAT REPRESENTS OVERDEVELOPMENT WITH THE CONSEQUENTIAL UNACCEPTABLE CONSTRUCTION IMPACT

THE NEW DWELLING WILL BE 3 TIMES LARGER THAN THE EXISTING BUILDING

THE FOOTPRINT WILL BE 2 TIMES LARGER

IT REPRESENTS A 300 PER CENT QUANTUM INCREASE FROM THE EXISTING BUILDING

THE APPLICATION IS FOR THE EQUIVALENT OF 11 3 BEDROOM HOUSES ON A SINGLE PLOT ON THE VERY EDGE OF HAMPSTEAD HEATH IN PRIVATE OPEN SPACE

ANY BUILDING SHOULD BE MOVED BACK INTO THE SITE TO AVOID BULK ON WHAT IS THE EQUIVALENT OF A RURAL COUNTRY LANE

THE DEVELOPMENT WILL REMOVE A 20 METRE VIEWING WINDOW TO HAMPSTEAD HEATH FROM FITZROY PARK

THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PLAN IS COMPLETELY FLAWED

WHY NOT INSIST THE APPLICANTS PROVE WHAT THEY CAN BUILD AND HOW BEFORE CONSIDERING ANY FURTHER APPLICATIONS

AN ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY OF THE AREA BETWEEN 53 AND MERTON LANE SHOULD BE DONE-THIS IS A BRITISH STANDARDS REQUIREMENT

THE ACTUAL ROADWAY THAT IS FITZROY PARK IS SIMPLY NOT ADEQUATE FOR THE VOLUME OF TRAFFIC THAT THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL REQUIRE

15 000 TONNES HAVE TO BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE - THE EQUIVALENT OF 40 JUMBO JETS-THIS IS MORE THAN DOUBLE THE IMPACT OF ANY PREVIOUS DEVELOPMENT IN FITZROY PARK

YOU AS OUR COUNCIL HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE THE ENGINEERING CAPACITY OF THE ROAD

YOU DO NOT NEED TO BE AN ENGINEER TO REALISE THE ROAD SIMPLY CANNOT BEAR THIS DEVELOPMENT-EYES WILL SUFFICE

THIS IS A VERY SENSITIVE AREA FROM AN ECO PERSPECTIVE-THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL CAUSE UNNECESSARY WATER CONTAMINATION

WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE WHEEL TURNING ACCESS/SWEPT PATH ANALYSIS REQUIRED HAS BEEN PROVEN TO WORK -AS WE ARE OPPOSITE THE SITE THIS IS OF CONSIDERABLE CONCERN TO US

EVEN IF IT WORKS ONE LORRY VISIT WILL REQUIRE 48 MOVEMENTS TAKING 13 MINUTES TEN TIMES A DAY FOR 25 WEEKS AND 5 TIMES A DAY FOR 41 WEEKS

IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT THE CMP COMES FIRST BEFORE ANY APPLICATION CAN BE CONSIDERED -WHAT POSSIBLE REASON CAN CAMDEN HAVE FOR NOT INSISTING ON THAT ?

THE LOADING PLATFORM PLAN IS SIMPLY FLAWED -WHAT POSSIBLE REASON CAN CAMDEN HAVE FOR NOT SIMPLY SAYING THESE ISSUES MUST BE RESOLVED BEFORE WE WILL CONSIDER YOUR APPLICATION

THERE ARE TO BE 2 CRANES - I DONT KNOW HOW CAMDEN CAN BE LOOKING AFTER THE SAFETY OF PEDESTRIANS AND RESIDENTS WITHOUT CAREFUL EXAMINATION OF THE POSITIONING OF THOSE CRANES AND INTENDED MANOEUVRES

THERE SEEMS TO BE SCANT REGARD FOR THE HEALTH OF TREES ON A SITE AND IN AN AREA WHERE TREES ARE AN ESSENTIAL CONTRIBUTOR TO THE PLEASANT AND UNIQUE ENVIRONMENT

WHY IS CAMDEN SO KEEN TO HAVE THIS OVER DEVELOPMENT?

Kennedy
Ashridge
Fitzroy Park
London n6 6hx

26/7/17