
From: Tim Salmon [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 July 2017 10:39
To: McClue, Jonathan
Cc: Planning
Subject: 27/07/2017 logged MD 100 Avenue Rd, NW3 3HF

Dear Mr McClue,

In view of the recent Grenfell Tower tragedy, a disaster clearly exacerbated by the existence of only one stairwell, any attempt by Essential Living to make alterations to the original plan, especially in the tower part of the building, that might make evacuation in the event of fire or any other emergency more difficult must be a matter of serious concern and this clearly applies to the proposed changes to hallway space as well as the way in which windows are to open.

They have been granted planning permission – God knows why (although, in practice, I am pretty sure he knows only too well why, as we do too) – on the basis of the designs originally submitted. They have no right to tinker with the appearance of the building as if such changes had no impact on the surrounding area and its residents. Changes to the glazing and proper provision for its cleaning, altering the positioning of the balconies...these are not immaterial changes.

And the social discrimination implied in the introduction of “poor doors” to the cheaper sections of the building (only included in the first place, of course, in order to gain planning permission), aside from constituting a material change, is totally unacceptable in these times and quite contrary to the Council’s advertised position in many other areas of policy.

Yours sincerely,

Tim Salmon
[REDACTED]