
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 July 2017 12:13
To: McClue, Jonathan
Cc: Planning
Subject: 100 Avenue Road microclimate mitigation application no.2017/3838/P

Dear Mr McClue

I would like to register my surprise at the way that this application has been allowed to proceed. there are many obvious grounds for this to be thrown out including:

(1) Fire safety and emergency access:

The proposed planters in the narrow lane between the proposed development and the Hampstead Theatre would be a major and insurmountable obstacle to emergency vehicle access, both for the tower itself and for the Green Space.

In light of the closing of Belsize fire station, increased attendance times, and the recent horrific tragedies, fire safety must be considered a priority.

(2) Inadequacy of the proposed provision:

RWDI accept that, as a result of the development, the site adjacent to the Hampstead Theatre would have winds so strong they could "impede walking" at certain times in the year. They cannot give a clear assurance that the mitigation measures will be any more than 'likely' to offer suitable conditions.

As a person of **limited mobility** I would greatly inconvenienced by strong winds - and I need to attend the leisure centre for remedial treatment exercises.

I understand that the proposal does not meet the requirement to provide mitigation to pedestrians for the following reasons:

a) The trees being proposed do not match the brief given in RWDI's letter. I.e. The proposed trees do not have 'dense branches' during the Winter, they take up to 20 years to reach maturity and some are highly susceptible to disease.

b) RDWI's report concludes that despite the mitigation, 'it is likely that strong winds in excess of Beaufort Force 6 may occur for more than one hour per year...' We do not accept RDWI's assertion that winds of this magnitude would 'not cause significant nuisance to pedestrians on thoroughfares'. Beaufort force 6 is defined as 'strong breeze' of approx. 49 kmph where pedestrians 'would have difficulty opening umbrellas'.

(3) Inappropriateness of proposed provision.

The planning committee must ensure that in complying with microclimate conditions, developers choose appropriate trees. None of the trees or plantings detailed in the detailed plan are suitable because either they take too long to reach maturity, they require different conditions, are susceptible to disease or they

would create their own danger with fallen fruits. Some of the suggested low level plantings are highly toxic which is unsuitable for an area used by so many children and animals. This is simply common sense!

(4) Mitigation at other sites not detailed:

The proposed mitigation does not deal with the areas of high wind which the tower would cause outside Swiss Cottage tube station on Eton Ave, at key locations in the Swiss Cottage Farmer's market, at the proposed cut-through between Avenue Road and the Green Space and at the start of the proposed CS11 cycle lane beside College Crescent.

These are all issues which should have been addressed earlier. Please take my objections into consideration and not just acquiesce to developers requests without taking note of the shoddy planning and possible danger and inconvenience.

Many thanks

Alison Holmes