

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/0969/P	Hampstead CAAC	c/o Flat 6 4 Ferncroft Avenue NW3 7PH	18/07/2017 23:26:33	OBJ	HCAAC is concerned with the Health & Safety implications of these proposals as well as the visual problem on the main building relative to the CA.. This building does not seem the best location for the proposed masts, being low relative to the immediate environment and taller buildings or those further up the hill. The HC chimney mount is no doubt acceptable but the residential building mount may be questionable and will no doubt be rigorously assessed. HCAAC is disappointed that such a development as the Hyelm site could not have been required to afford any necessary aerial base. We recommend that if possible all tall buildings should be required to afford such with whatever safeguards would be required in radiation-insulation or other protection. HCAAC assumes that Camden will exercise full duty of care ("due diligence") in managing this Henderson Court proposal.
2017/0969/P	John Weston	92 Fitzjohn's Avenue Hampstead London	20/07/2017 22:38:46	OBJ	Notwithstanding the applicants apparent fulfillment of Camden's Health and Safety requirements regarding radiation, as long as there remains any doubt about the safety to health of installations of this sort - which is indisputable - I would have thought it reckless to allow an installation where THREE neighbouring properties are schools, and the building on which the installation is proposed is an old people's home. Needless to say the installation of 6 antennae and 6 cabinets will also do nothing to enhance the beauty of the Conservation area either.