

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/7150/P	Guiti Fouladi	76 Marlborough Mansions Cannon Hill London nw6 1jt	21/07/2017 20:23:29	OBJLETTE R	<p>I strongly object to the Application (2016/7150/P) on the following grounds:</p> <p>1- It is factually incorrect: the form submitted by BAM estates states a) there are no hedges or trees on the proposed development site(section 15) and b) it is not in an area at risk of flooding. Both these are untrue.</p> <p>2-The proposed wall is oversized the pillars are too high they darken the road reducing lights to basement flats. I feel very strongly about this as my flat is a basement flat and as a consequent it will lose a lot of its market value.</p> <p>3-Hedge removal also removes pollution protection</p> <p>4- The Applicant's own Tree Protection Methodolgy Report does not suggest that there are any problems with the existing hedges</p> <p>5-Behind the railings already constructed prior to planning permission being applied for, the hedges have not grown back as claimed.</p> <p>6-The proposal involves the destruction of mature hedges</p> <p>7- The beauty of the roads will be affected, in contravention of the Council's own guidance ( see West End Green Conservation Area appraisal and Management Strategy 28.2.2011)</p> <p>8-Non Street level views of the gardens and hedges, eg from residents windows have not been taken into account.</p> <p>9-The council has planted more trees on these roads to help reduce flood risk, so taking out meters and metres of hedges will increase the risk.</p> <p>10-Council consultation has been very limited, placing notices on just a few lamp posts is inadequate and elderly and disabled residents are unlikely to see them.</p> <p>11-Online consultation is not accessible to many older and disabled residents</p> <p>I trust you will take these significant objections into account and refuse planning permission</p>