

2016/6699/r

Karin Fernald

2 Daleham Mews London NW3 5DB

20.07.2017

To Development Management,

Camden Town Hall,

Judd St.

WC1H 9JE

Dear Managers,

Re 100 Avenue Road

Having read the proposals for microclimate mitigation submitted by Essential Living, I would like to make the following points:

a) It has been accepted by Essential Living that the proposed development will result in winds up to 7 on the Beaufort scale, which are likely to impede walking on occasion, i.e. people will fall down. Lively and furious pedestrians of all ages will suffer accidents and sue Camden, at expense to the Council; not to mention bad publicity.

b) The planters, trees and granite slabs proposed as windbreaks will obviously impede emergency vehicle access, **including fire engines**. Surely Camden should, at the very least, least seek a view from the London Fire Brigade?

As well as being a fire hazard, the proposed trees suggested in mitigation will take years to reach maturity and are in any case deciduous, thus minimizing their use as a wind break in the winter.

c) The proposed development is also likely to cause strong winds in other much-used areas; outside the tube station; at the nearby Farmers' Market; and at the proposed cut-through between Avenue Road and the green area. Not to mention the start of the proposed CSII bicycle lane, (which will also be affected by serious air pollution, as will the rest of us).

For immediate profit, Camden may be creating a nightmare for itself in the near future.

Why not consider the various implications of Kensington, and think again?

Sincerely,

Karin Fernald

