

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/7150/P	Mrs. Sharafi-Mohebbi	1-9 BM	11/07/2017 14:56:21	OBJ	I STRONGLY OBJECT every single bit of this application. I support every objection comment given by other residents and reiterate that in summary of those points, it would destroy everything about BAM- aesthetically and for residents, especially the lower ground floor residents. Its a travesty to suggest the application.
2016/7150/P	Mrs. Sharafi-Mohebbi	1-9 BM	11/07/2017 14:56:35	OBJ	I STRONGLY OBJECT every single bit of this application. I support every objection comment given by other residents and reiterate that in summary of those points, it would destroy everything about BAM- aesthetically and for residents, especially the lower ground floor residents. Its a travesty to suggest such an application.
2016/7150/P	Sabrina Blakstad	44 Marlborough Mansions Cannon Hill NW6 1JS	12/07/2017 08:39:40	COMMNT	<p>I strongly object to the Application (2016/7150/P) on the following grounds:</p> <p>It is factually incorrect: the form submitted by BAM estate states a) there are no hedges or trees on the proposed development site (section 15) and b) it is not in an area at risk of flooding (section12). Both these are untrue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed wall is oversized, the pillars are too high, they darken the road, reducing light to basement flats. • Hedge removal also removes pollution protection • The Applicant's own Tree Protection Methodology Report does not suggest that there are any problems with the existing hedges. • Behind the railings already constructed prior to planning permission being applied for, the hedges have not grown back as claimed. • The proposal involves the destruction of mature hedges. • The beauty of the roads will be affected, in contravention of the Council's own guidance (see West End Green Conservation Area appraisal and Management Strategy 28.2.2011). • Non-street level views of the gardens and hedges, e.g. from residents' windows, have not been taken into account. • The Council has planted more trees on these roads to help reduce flood risk, so taking out metres and metres of hedges will increase the risk. • Council consultation has been very limited; placing notices on just a few lamp posts is inadequate and elderly and disabled residents are unlikely to see them. <p>Online consultation is not accessible to many older and disabled residents.</p> <p>I trust you will take these significant objections into account and refuse planning permission.</p>
2016/7150/P	Mrs. Sharafi-Mohebbi	1-9 BM	11/07/2017 14:56:01	OBJ	I STRONGLY OBJECT every single bit of this application. I support every objection comment given by other residents and reiterate that in summary of those points, it would destroy everything about BAM- aesthetically and for residents, especially the lower ground floor residents. Its a travesty to suggest the application.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2016/7150/P	Marion Malik	23 Buckingham Mansions West End Lane London NW6	11/07/2017 15:49:20	COMMNT	<p>It is factually incorrect: the form submitted by BAM estate states a) there are no hedges or trees on the proposed development site (section 15) and b) it is not in an area at risk of flooding (section 12). Both these are untrue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed wall is oversized, the pillars are too high, they darken the road, reducing light to basement flats. • Hedge removal also removes pollution protection • The Applicant's own Tree Protection Methodology Report does not suggest that there are any problems with the existing hedges. • Behind the railings already constructed prior to planning permission being applied for, the hedges have not grown back as claimed. • The proposal involves the destruction of mature hedges. • The beauty of the roads will be affected, in contravention of the Council's own guidance (see West End Green Conservation Area appraisal and Management Strategy 28.2.2011). • Non-street level views of the gardens and hedges, e.g. from residents' windows, have not been taken into account. • The Council has planted more trees on these roads to help reduce flood risk, so taking out metres and metres of hedges will increase the risk. • Council consultation has been very limited; placing notices on just a few lamp posts is inadequate and elderly and disabled residents are unlikely to see them. <p>Online consultation is not accessible to many older and disabled residents.</p> <p>I trust you will take these significant objections into account and refuse planning permission.</p>