

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Kaveri Niththyananthar [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 21 June 2017 22:39  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** Enirayetan, Oluwaseyi  
**Subject:** 2017/2166/P  
**Attachments:** IMG\_1936.JPG; IMG\_1938.JPG; IMG\_1941.JPG; IMG\_1942.JPG

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing with regards to the planning permission request by 8D Buckland Crescent with regards to a conservatory.

I have the following concerns:

1. The lease states clearly states "The tenant may not erect or allow to be erected any greenhouse shed or other building whatsoever" under point 16 of the Fourth Schedule of The Rules and Regulations.

As such on the 24th February 2014, the house declined attempts by the new owners to approve the existence of conservatory, among other things. This has been accepted by the tenant whose service charge, based on square footage, does not include the current conservatory. As such the conservatory should not be considered "existing" nor approved by the existing or previous Landlord. The most recent lease dated 2007 also clearly chose not to include the conservatory within the estate, despite said conservatory supposedly already being built.

2. I reiterate my concerns stated on May 2nd to Ms Enirayetan, Oluwaseyi with regards to means of escape, which would most likely and unnecessarily inhibit ladder access for fire authorities.

3. If planning permission is granted, this could invalidate or cause extra cost to the building insurance, in particular but not limited to points 1 and 2 raised.

4. The tenant plans to use the area as a dining room. Whilst a dining table is placed within the area - the area is not used. Previous it was rarely used, and on those rare occasions as a relaxation room. There are concerns that this would create noise pollution, disturb the quiet tranquility of that area in particular for those that have bedrooms on the back of the property where gardens exist. The nature of the gardens mean that there is already an amplifying aspect; most notable with winds that can sound more dramatic and powerful.

5. The photos attached shows existing light pollution from flat D and with the trees taken away without permission from the Landlord, this is likely to further light pollution, in particular at night. Photos show the no-to-limited lighting by other flats whose gardens are within the area. There is a real likelihood neighbourly relations would disintegrate.

6. If planning permission is granted then there is concern that the tenant would go ahead with disregard to the rules and regulations of the lease.

7. There is discrepancy with two different certificates being files - both certificate a and b have been filed with regards to ownership.

8. There is discrepancy with notification placed outside the house and online, which says 14th of June, whereas the online application provides more time. Have neighbours, whose gardens back the property also been informed with such notices?









I therefore object the the conservatory.

Yours Faithfully,  
Kaveri Nithyananthan  
Flat A, 8 Buckland Crescent