159 161 163 and 165 Camden High Street

Address: London

NW1 7JY

Application Number:

2006/0776/P

Officer: Thomas Smith

Ward:

Camden Town with Primrose Hill

14/02/2006 Date Received:

Proposal: Demolition of existing 3 and 4 storey buildings and the redevelopment of the site through the erection of a five-storey plus basement level building, with retail (Class A1) at basement and ground floor levels, and 14 self-contained flats above (Class C3)

Drawing Numbers: Location Plan; P02; P03; P04; P05; P06; P07; P08; P09A; P10A;

P20A; P21A; P22A; P23A; P24A; P25A; P26A; P27A; P28A; P29A; P30A

RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY: Grant planning permission subject to conditions and a Section 106 Legal Agreement

Related Application

2006/0777/C

Number:

Proposal: Demolition of existing part three part four-storey group of four

Drawing Numbers: Location Plan; P02; 03; 04; 05; 06; 07; 08

RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY: Grant Conservation Area Consent

Applicant:

S Patel

c/o agent

Agent:

Neale & Norden Ltd

34 Osnaburgh Street

London **NW13ND**

ANALYSIS INFORMATION

Land Use D	etails:	***	원생이 하다 그 사람 봤음했다.
	Use · Class	Use Description	Floorspace
Existing	A1 Shop B1a Bus	iness – Office	582m² 705m² Total 1287m²
Proposed	A1 Shop C3 Dwel	ling House .	715m² 960 m² Total 1675m² Increase of 388m²

Residential Use Details:										
·		No. of Habitable Rooms per Unit								
	Residential Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9+
Existing	Flat/Maisonette									
Proposed	Flat/Maisonette		2	11	1					

Parking Details:				
	Parking Spaces (General)	Parking Spaces (Disabled)		
Existing	0	0		
Proposed	0	0		

OFFICERS' REPORT

Reason for Referral to Committee:

1. SITE

- 1.1 This application relates to part 3 / part 4-storey group of 3 buildings located on the western side of Camden High Street on the junction with Underhill Passage to south. The properties are in retail (Class A1) use at ground floor level with offices (Class B1) above.
- 1.2 The site is located within a major shopping centre, the Camden Town Conservation Area and is on a strategic (GLA) road.
- 1.3 The eastern side of Camden High Street (opposite the site) is characterised by typically consistent building lines, first floor setbacks, parapet lines and building heights. Whilst it is acknowledged that there are some variations in building height on this side of Camden High Street, there are fairly consistent groupings of buildings of similar heights.
- 1.4 Whilst the western side of Camden High Street is not as consistent with regards to the aforementioned characteristics, the overall area is nonetheless typified by narrow plot widths, sheer frontages, appropriately scaled ground floor openings, a strong sense of verticality and a generally limited materials palette, comprising mainly of red or stock brick, with some rendered facades.
- 1.5 The Camden Town Conservation Area Statement (section 4.15) states that, "the typology of the original buildings continues to underlie the character of both Camden High Street and Parkway even though alterations and extensions have introduced, over time, some diversity to the original homogeneity of design".
- 1.6 Whilst the Camden Town Conservation Area Statement acknowledges that "at the northern end of Camden High Street on the west side of the street, between Parkway and Delancy Street, the character is rather varied with some two storey

buildings introducing an interesting variation to the general scale of the CA. There is no consistency in their appearance and their value resides in their appearance rather than any architectural merit".

2. THE PROPOSAL

Original

- 2.1 The application proposes to demolish the existing building and redevelop the site with a five-storey plus basement level building with the top level set back from the main elevation to form an 'attic' storey.
- 2.2 The ground floor and basement levels would be used as a retail unit (Class A1) and the upper floors would comprise 14 flats (Class C3). The residential mix would be 2 x 1-bed, 10 x 2-bed and 2 x 3-bed units.
- 2.3 The Camden High Street elevation would be rendered with a central vertical 'spine' which would be recessed and clad in red corten steel panels.
- 2.4 The 'attic' storey would be steel framed with a large proportion of glazing and would be set back from the main elevation by 1.5m and 3.3m and would be set in from the main side elevations by 2m to reduce its prominence.
- 2.5 Access to the retail unit would be from Camden High Street while the residential units, cycle storage area and refuse area would all be accessed from Underhill Passage.

Revisions

- 2.6 Following officers advice, a number of amendments have been made to the Camden High Street elevation including setting the central 'spine' of the building back and using brick rather than render for this element to give the overall composition a more vertical emphasis.
- 2.7 Coping has been added to strengthen the definition of the parapet and the framing of the 'attic' storey has been reduced in thickness to reduce the prominence of this element.
- 2.8 Amendments to the shopfronts include the introduction of stallrisers, thicker framing and a reduction in the depth of the fascias to improve the proportions and overall appearance of this unit.

3. RELEVANT HISTORY

3.1 Planning application 2005/4266/P and associated conservation area consent application 2005/4267/C for a similar scheme were withdrawn in January 2006 following concerns raised by officers about the proposed design. This scheme included a variety of projections, overhangs and set back elements and was not considered to be appropriate for its context. The current proposal seeks to overcome these concerns.

CONSULTATIONS 4.

Statutory Consultees

4.1 The Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor has raised concerns about the communal entrance to the residential dwellings being located in Underhill Passage. This area of Camden Town is the hottest spot for crime in the Borough and the proposed configuration could potentially increase the chance of criminal victimisation for future residents and visitors. He has requested that the proposal be amended so that the residential entrance fronts Camden High Street. Response: See paras 6.15-6.16

Conservation Area Advisory Committee

4.2 Camden Town CAAC have objected to the proposal with their main concern being that the existing four-plot width has been turned into a three-plot width resulting in a horizontal emphasis which is discordant with the essentially vertical high street. Concerns are also raised about the 'flat' design of the elevations and that the roofscape lacks interest. Response: See paras 6.9-6.14

Adjoining Occupiers

Number of Letters Sent	32
Number of responses	0
Received	
Number in Support	0
Number of Objections	0

5. **POLICIES**

Set out below are the UDP policies that the proposals have primarily been 5.1 assessed against, together with officers' view as to whether or not each policy listed has been complied with. However it should be noted that recommendations are based on assessment of the proposals against the development plan taken as a whole together with other material considerations.

Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000

RE2	Residential amenity and environment	Complies
RE6	Planning obligations	Complies
EN1	General environmental protection and improvement	Complies
EN13	Design of new development	Complies
EN14	Setting of new development	Complies
EN15	Landscaping	Complies
EN19	Amenity for occupiers and neighbours	Complies
EN20	Community safety	Complies
EN28	Shopfronts	Complies
EN31	Character and appearance of conservation areas	Complies
EN32	Demolition of unlisted buildings in conservation areas	Complies

EN53	Provision of public open space in new developments	Complies			
	On-street parking controls	Complies			
	Car-free housing developments	Complies			
	Residential parking standards	Complies			
TR18	Parking at residential conversions	Complies			
TR19	Road safety	Complies			
TR20	Traffic management	Complies			
TR21	Pedestrians	Complies			
TR22	Cycling	Complies			
HG8	Increasing the amount of residential accommodation	Complies			
HG11	Affordable housing (Alt. No.2)	Complies			
HG12	Visual privacy and overlooking	Complies			
HG13	Provision of amenity space	Complies			
	Mobility and wheelchair housing	Complies			
	Housing mix in schemes for new residential development	Complies			
	Retention of employment uses	Complies			
	Location of new provision	Complies			
	Major centres	Complies			
SH7	, ,, ,	Complies			
	Visual privacy and overlooking standards	Complies			
DS10	Cycle parking standards	Complies			
Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit Draft 2004					
Replacement Sintally Development Flan Revised Deposit Dialt 2004					
SD2	Planning obligations	Complies			
SD6	Amenity for occupiers and neighbours	Complies			

SD2	Planning obligations	Complies
SD6	Amenity for occupiers and neighbours	Complies
SD9	Resources and energy	Complies
H1	New housing	Complies
H2	Affordable housing	Complies
H7	Lifetime homes and wheelchair housing	Complies
H8	Mix of units	Complies
B1	General design principles	Complies
B7	Conservation areas	Complies
N4	Providing public open space	Complies
E2	Retention of business uses	Complies
R1	Location of new retail and entertainment uses	Complies
R7	Protection of shopping frontages and local shops	Complies
R8	Upper floors and shopfronts	Complies
Nnew	Biodiversity	Complies
T3	Pedestrians and cycling	Complies
T7	Off-street parking, city car clubs and city bike schemes	Complies
T8	Car free housing and car capped housing	Complies
T9	Impact of parking	Complies
T12	Works affecting highways	Complies

Supplementary Planning Guidance: Development 2002

2.3	Internal arrangements	Complies
3.10	Works to public highways	Complies

Draft Supplementary Planning Document: Provision of public open space

6. ASSESSMENT

- 6.1 The principal considerations material to the determination of this application are summarised as follows:
 - · Principle of use
 - Affordable housing
 - Mix of units
 - Demolition of the existing building
 - Design
 - Community Safety
 - Residential amenity
 - Transport
 - Disabled access
 - Open space
 - Energy
 - Biodiversity
 - Education

Principle of use

- The existing office space is not suitable for flexible use as there is no potential for off-road loading, access is awkward, there are no lifts, and the floors are subdivided into small spaces. There is an oversupply of Class B1 office space in Camden Town and its loss would not be contrary to adopted policy EC3 or emerging policy E2.
- 6.3 Adopted policies SH3 and SH4 and emerging policy R1 support the expansion of the retail space in major centres and therefore the proposed increase in retail (Class A1) floorspace at ground and basement levels is appropriate.
- 6.4 Emerging policy R8 supports residential uses in town centres above ground floor level. Housing is the priority use within the adopted and emerging UDPs and the proposed residential use on the upper floors is therefore welcomed.

Affordable housing

6.5 This scheme involves 14 dwellings in much less than 1,500 sq m which is below the affordable housing thresholds. The division of the units is not contrived, and the division between the residential and the retail space is logical. Consequently, this scheme is not required to contribute to affordable housing.

Mix of units

6.6 The application proposes 2 x 1-bed, 10 x 2-bed and 2 x 3-bed units although one of the 3-bed units does not include a living room. Although, a higher number of larger units would be preferred, the location and lack of private amenity space make the

- flats less suitable for families. In this instance the proposed mix is therefore considered to be acceptable.
- 6.7 The units are all reasonably sized, meeting the internal space standards contained within SPG. Natural lighting is good and overall the units provide an acceptable standard of accommodation.

Demolition of the existing building

6.8 The existing buildings (No.s 159 & 163 -165) appear post war and very utilitarian. Number 161 dates from an earlier period, but has been much altered in the 20th century. The properties are not listed as making a positive contribution to the conservation area. On this basis, demolition and redevelopment are considered to be acceptable in principle, subject to an acceptable replacement scheme which is of equal or greater benefit in accordance with adopted policy EN32.

Design

- 6.9 The existing height is between 10m and 10.8m for the 3 storey buildings and the 4 storey building is approximately 14.1m high. The proposed development would be 13.5m to the top of the main elevation and the overall height would be 15.7m although the set back 'attic' storey serves to reduce the bulk and mass of the proposal.
- 6.10 Furthermore, the introduction of coping to the top of the main elevation and the reduction in the thickness of framing to the 'attic' storey have strengthened the parapet and reduced the prominence of this top level.
- 6.11 The proposal is broadly similar in height and scale to the neighbouring building on the opposite side of Underhill Passage and would then provide a step down to the adjoining 3 storey building at 167 Camden High Street. This relationship would be similar to the existing relationship between the 3 and 4 storey buildings which are proposed to be demolished.
- 6.12 The amended scheme improves the distinction between the 3 vertical elements on the front elevation by setting back the central spine and amending the materials used on this element. This has the effect of improving the vertical rhythm of the proposal so that it more clearly relates to Camden High Street. The windows to the front elevation have been recessed by 100mm give the proposal more depth and visual interest which helps to address the concerns raised by the Camden Town CAAC about the proposal appearing too flat.
- 6.13 Originally, the shopfronts had full height glazing and would not have respected the general character and appearance of other shopfronts in the street. The amended design to incorporate stallrisers and thicker frames has overcome this concern and is now considered to be acceptable. A condition is attached requiring details of the shopfront and the other entrances on Underhill Passage to be agreed.
- 6.14 The traditional materials which predominate on this part of Camden High Street are red brickwork with some white render. Given that a contemporary scheme is

proposed, the use of traditional materials would appear as a pastiche and would not be the most appropriate design solution. However, it is important that the materials still respect the character and appearance of Camden High Street and the wider conservation area. A number of amendments have been made to the materials palette and the main elevations now comprise a cream render with red corten steel panel cladding on the central 'spine'. The windows would then be articulated with aluminium frames which would relate to the set back steel framed 'attic' storey. It is considered that the proposed materials would enable the proposal to be comfortably assimilated into its immediate environment without compromising its contemporary feel.

Community Safety

- 6.15 The proposal seeks to improve to appearance of Underhill Passage and to upgrade it with safety measures including additional lighting, CCTV and entry phone panel access control. Details of these measures could be controlled by condition. The applicant contends that natural surveillance would be improved and that the proposal would discourage criminal or anti-social activity.
- 6.16 Furthermore, provision of the residential access on the Camden High Street frontage would reduce the amount of shopfront and on balance, the proposal is considered to be acceptable in this regard.

Residential amenity

- 6.17 The proposed building would be approximately 0.7m deeper than the existing building to the rear and would be a storey taller on the boundary with 167 Camden High Street. The application property is located to the south of number 167 and the proposal would have some impact on sunlight and daylight into the rear windows to this property. However, number 167 is entirely in commercial use and therefore limited protection can be afforded to these rear windows.
- 6.18 There are flats in the upper floors of 157 Camden High Street adjacent to the south on the opposite side of Underhill Passage. However, given the relationship, orientation and distance between the application property and the rear windows to the flats at number 157, it is not considered that the additional height and depth would have any significantly detrimental impact on light into or outlook from these windows.
- 6.19 Timber louvres are proposed to the side elevation facing Underhill Passage and these would be fixed shut to avoid overlooking to the side windows on the upper floors at 157 Camden High Street. Similarly, all the side windows facing Underhill Passage to proposed flat numbers 4, 8 and 12 would be obscure glazed and these elements are conditioned as such.
- 6.20 The nearest facing windows to the rear of the site are approximately 40m away and which is far in excess of the minimum 18m required by policy DS5.

Transport

- 6.21 The application proposes no off-street parking and could potentially result in a significant increase in parking congestion in the locality to the detriment of highway and pedestrian safety. However, the site has good public transport links and this is an appropriate scheme for car-free housing whereby residents of the development would not be eligible for residents parking permits. The applicant has agreed to enter into a Section 106 Agreement for car-free housing to overcome this concern..
- 6.22 A construction management plan is required through a Section 106 Agreement to ensure that all construction scheduling is agreed and approved by TfL and the Council's Highways Team as necessary to ensure the safety and efficiency of vehicular and pedestrian movements in the vicinity of the site.
- 6.23 A servicing management plan is required through a Section 106 Agreement requiring all deliveries to the site to be made via Underhill Street rather than Camden High Street given the limited amount of loading space and the disruption to pedestrians that would otherwise be caused.
- 6.24 Highway works will be required to repair and widen the footway along Underhill
 Passage. The cost of these works should be paid by the applicant and secured by legal agreement.
- 6.25 Further highway works will be required to make good the area of footway directly in front of the scheme on Camden High Street. TfL prefer to enter into contract directly with applicants rather than seek Section 106 contributions through the local planning authority. Therefore, a condition is attached requiring the applicant to enter into a contract with TfL to address this matter.
- 6.26 A cycle parking area indicating accommodation for 14 cycles is proposed at ground floor level accessed from Underhill Passage which is acceptable. A condition is attached requiring details to be submitted and that the area is retained for cycle parking.

Disabled access

6.27 The proposal would meet lifetime homes standards in all respects other than the provision of a disabled parking bay which cannot be provided in this instance. Level access and a lift is provided and the proposal is considered to be acceptable in access terms.

Open space

- 6.28 Adopted policies HG13 and EN54 state that the Council will seek the provision of accessible garden space and public open space as part of new developments. Emerging policy N4 requires the provision of 9sqm of open space per person and this policy carries some weight.
- 6.29 There is limited private amenity space for some of the units in the form of rear balconies and roof terraces and adequate open space cannot be accommodated on site. In this instance, a financial contribution would be appropriate towards improvement to, and maintenance of nearby existing open space.

6.30 Officers are still considering where any such contribution should be most appropriately directed and then a reasonable level of contribution can be negotiated and reported to Committee.

Energy

- 6.31 The applicant has investigated the potential for incorporating various renewable energy sources into the scheme to achieve the 10% energy generation requirement set out in emerging policy SD9.
- 6.32 The applicant has shown that this requirement can be met by incorporating a solar water heating system on the roof and could be further supplemented by photovoltaic panels. Further investigation into the most suitable solution is being carried out by the applicant and a condition is attached requiring details of any renewable sources to be submitted for approval.

Biodiversity

6.33 The scheme provides terraces that could accommodate planting boxes and measures such as nest spaces and green or brown roofs could also be used. The applicant is prepared to incorporate these measures as appropriate and a condition is attached requiring details to be submitted.

Education

6.34 As more than 5 units are proposed an educational contribution which would equate to £55,824 is required in accordance with SPG based on 2005/2006 figures.

7. CONCLUSION

- 7.1 The existing buildings do not make a making a positive contribution to the conservation area and conservation area consent for demolition of these buildings is recommended for approval.
- 7.2 The loss of existing office floorspace is justified and the proposal is considered to contribute towards improving the vitality of the town centre and meeting housing needs within the borough. It is considered to be acceptable in all respects and is recommended for approval subject to conditions and a legal agreement to cover the following matters:
 - Car-free housing for all 14 of the residential units so occupiers of those units will not be eligible for residents parking permits in the locality;
 - A construction management plan ensure the safety and efficiency of vehicular and pedestrian movements in the vicinity of the site;
 - A servicing management plan to require deliveries to be made via Underhill Street;
 - Highway works to repair and widen the footway along Underhill Passage;
 - Education contributions:

- 7.3 If the application is not determined within the 13 week timeframe then the application should be refused for the following reasons:
 - The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement for carcapped housing, would be likely to contribute to parking stress and congestion in the surrounding area to the detriment of highway and pedestrian safety contrary to policies TR4 (Cumulative impact of proposals), TR17 (Residential parking standards) and RE6 (Planning obligations) of the London Borough of Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000 and policies SD2 (Planning obligations), T1 (Sustainable transport), T3 (Pedestrians and cycling), T7 (Off-street parking, city car clubs and city bike schemes), T8 (Carfree housing and car capped housing), T9 (Impact of parking) of the Revised Deposit Draft as amended by the Proposed Modifications agreed by the Council's Executive on 11th January 2006.
 - The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement securing a construction management plan and a servicing management plan, would be detrimental to highway and pedestrian safety, contrary to policies RE6 (Planning obligations), TR19 (Road safety), TR20 (Traffic management) and TR21 (Pedestrians) of the London Borough of Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000, Section 3.10 (Works to public highway) of the London Borough of Camden Supplementary Planning Guidance 2002 and policies SD2 (Planning obligations), T3 (Pedestrians and cycling) and T12 (Works affecting highways) of the Revised Deposit Draft as amended by the Proposed Modifications agreed by the Council's Executive on 11th January 2006.
 - The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement securing highway improvements, would be detrimental to highway and pedestrian safety, contrary to policies RE6 (Planning obligations), TR19 (Road safety), TR20 (Traffic management) and TR21 (Pedestrians) of the London Borough of Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000, Section 3.10 (Works to public highway) of the London Borough of Camden Supplementary Planning Guidance 2002 and policies SD2 (Planning obligations), T3 (Pedestrians and cycling) and T12 (Works affecting highways) of the Revised Deposit Draft as amended by the Proposed Modifications agreed by the Council's Executive on 11th January 2006.
 - The proposed development, in the absence of a legal agreement for securing educational contributions, would be likely to result in an unacceptable increase in pressure and demand on the Borough's education provision contrary to policy RE6 (Planning obligations) of the London Borough of Camden Unitary Development Plan 2000, Section 3.13 (Educational contributions from residential developments) of the London Borough of Camden Supplementary Planning Guidance 2002 and policy SD2 (Planning obligations) of the Revised Deposit Draft as amended by the Proposed Modifications agreed by the Council's Executive on 11th January 2006.

8. LEGAL COMMENTS

8.1 Members are referred to the note from the Legal Division at the start of the Agenda.

POLICY OBSERVATION ON PLANNING APPLICATION

Date Prepared 23 February 2006

To: DC Case officer Tom Smith

From: FPP Rob Farnsworth Tel: 5964

Application Ref 2006/0766/P

Site address / location 159 - 165 Camden High Street

Demolition of existing buildings and the redevelopment of the Description of the proposal

> site through the erection of a five-storey plus basement level building, with retail (Class A1) at basement and ground floor

levels, and 14 self-contained flats above (Class C3).

SUMMARY

No land use policy objection to the principle of the mix of uses or the overall residential content, subject to addressing sustainability objectives, emerging policies SD9C, H7, N4, Nnew and C3, and compliance with all other UDP policies. If a justification of the dwelling mix has not now been submitted, then if the scheme is amended for further resubmission, the applicant should be asked to consider the inclusion of a greater proportion of family dwellings with 3 or more bedrooms.

BACKGROUND

Comments were submitted on an earlier (withdrawn) application 2005/4266/P in November 2005. This observation only addresses changes in circumstances between November 2005 and the current resubmission.

UNCHANGED POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

EC3 – Retention of employment sites

Replacement E2 – Retention of existing business uses

As previously stated, the business space is office space that is not suitable for flexible use. There is no potential for off-road loading, access is awkward, there are no lifts, and the floors are subdivided into small spaces. Consequently, it is not considered necessary to retain business use.

SH3/SH4 – Location of new provision/ Major Centres

Replacement R1 - Location of new retail and entertainment uses.

These policies support the expansion of the retail space in this location.

SH7 – Primary Shopping Frontages in Major and District Centres

Replacement R7 – Protection of shopping frontages and local shops

Replacement R8 – Upper floors and shopfronts

Policy R8 supports residential uses in Town Centres above ground floor level. Even if the upper floors are ancillary to the retail use, it is not considered necessary to retain this as it does not contribute to character, function, vitality and viability, and the emerging plan supports residential use.

HG8 – Increasing the amount of residential accommodation

Replacement H1 - New housing

Provision of additional residential on this site is welcomed.

HG11 – Affordable housing

Replacement H2 – Affordable housing

SPG adopted June 2005 - Affordable Housing and Housing in Mixed Use Areas

This scheme involves 14 dwellings in much less than 1,500 sq m. The division of the units is not contrived, and the division between the residential and the retail space is logical. Consequently, this scheme is not required to contribute to affordable housing.

HG16 – Housing mix in schemes for new residential development Replacement H8 – Mix of units

Policies HG16 and H2 seek family housing with 3 or more bedrooms. A total of 14 units were previously proposed with a mix of 2x1-, 10x2- and 2x3-bedroom dwellings. The previous observation stated that if the scheme is to be amended for resubmission, the applicant should be asked to reconsider the potential for inclusion of dwellings with 3 or more bedrooms. The creation of 3 bedroom units with access to the first floor terrace would be particularly welcome. The resubmission on which comments is now sought has a mix of units unchanged from the previous proposal. However, failure to provide a greater number of family units would not justify a refusal.

Other matters

Subject to Transport Policy comments, UDP policies TR16, TR17, T8 and T9 are likely to justify car free housing. As more than 5 units are proposed educational contributions are required. 10X£3,910+2X£8,362=£55,824 (this is the 2005/2006 figure).

ADDITIONAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Replacement SD9C - Use of energy and resources

This replacement UDP policy was considered to have little weight at the time of the previous submission. It expected applicants to demonstrate the energy demand of their proposals and to demonstrate, where feasible, how they would generate a proportion on-site from renewable sources. The proportion expected was at least 10% of demand.

The Council has received and responded to the Inspector's Report on the Replacement UDP, and this process gives significant weight to the objectives of this policy for applications submitted after 11 January 2006. The Inspector recommended that the Council should change the expectation to a requirement, and this change has limited weight until public comments are reported to the Council on 5 April 2006. Nevertheless, the policy now needs to be addressed. Guidance is given in the London Renewables toolkit "Integrating renewable energy into new developments.

HG14 - Mobility and wheelchair housing

Replacement H7 - Lifetime homes and wheelchair housing

All new dwellings should be designed to lifetime homes standard. Generally 10% of homes should be designed as wheelchair housing or easily adaptable, but that may be inappropriate in this location due to the lack of potential to site a dedicated parking bay close to the entrance. It should be noted that the Council has now received and responded to the Inspector's Report on the Replacement UDP, and that policy H7 now has greater weight for applications submitted after 11 January 2006.

HG13 - Provision of amenity space

EN53 - Provision of public open space in new developments

Replacement N4 - Providing public open space

Replacement C3 - New leisure uses

Adopted policies HG13 and EN54 state that the Council will seek the provision of accessible garden space and public open space as part of new developments.

Emerging policy N4 requires the provision of 9sqm of open space per person. This replacement UDP policy was considered to have little weight at the time of the previous submission. The Council has received and responded to the Inspector's Report on the Replacement UDP, and this process gives some weight to the objectives of policy N4 for applications submitted after 11 January 2006, however the detailed wording has limited weight until public comments are reported to the Council on 5 April 2006.

The consultation draft SPD " Provision of Public Open Space" (October 2004) has very limited weight, but does give guidance on how to convert development size into the number of occupiers, and in turn to calculate open space requirements and costs.

In this instance the site is in fairly close proximity to a private open space at St. Martin's Garden, although access is across a very busy road. There is limited opportunity to provide open space on site and as such a contribution should possibly be sought instead - for the provision elsewhere of amenity open space, formal recreation area and play space (unless provided on site) plus maintenance costs - or for public access to, and additional use of, existing open space.

Emerging policy C3B states that the Council will require proposed developments that are likely to result in increased demand for play facilities to provide facilities that are safe, secure and accessible, and that meet a variety of needs. Dependent on the number of family units, a contribution may be sufficient, in this instance.

Replacement Nnew - Biodiversity

Emerging policy Nnew expects schemes to have considered conserving and enhancing biodiversity, including the creation of wildlife habitats. These measures include the use of green and brown roofs, the provision of nest spaces and boxes that meet the need of specific species and by planting species. The proposal may have potential to incorporate several of these measures, especially on terraces and roofs.

Other matters

The development as a whole could be considered to trigger the expectation of a BREEAM sustainability assessment, or at least of an EcoHomes assessment for the housing element of 10- or more dwellings (SPG para 1.3.15). Requests for BREEAM assessment now have the backing of Replacement UDP para 1.64.

CONCLUSION

No land-use policy objection, but:

- a) in the event of a resubmission, the applicant should be asked to consider introduction of additional 3 or more bedroom units, particularly on the first floor at the rear, unless this amendment has already been shown to be impracticable;
- b) accessibility (lifetime and wheelchair homes) issues need to be addressed;
- c) sustainability issues need to be considered, in particular the potential for generating renewable energy on-site should be addressed, with a target of 10% of the site's energy needs to be met on-site; and
- d) potential contributions to play space, open space and biodiversity need to be considered.

	Mansonal	# · 		
Signed off by	BI 07~	<u>Date</u>	23/02/2006	