					P	rinted on:	08/06/2017	09:10:04
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:			
2017/1946/P	Gillian M Cracknell	Flat 5 50 Compayne Gardens London NW6 3RY	07/06/2017 22:51:39	ОВЈ	I do not agree to this going ahead. There has been no discussion with the 4 other freehol detailing the joint financial implications of this new division of our property. Clarity is seve lacking on several fronts, namely whether as before, amendments are going to be permit AFTER we have raised our concerns, giving us no opportunity for adjustments as well.		arity is severely to be permitted	1

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1946/P	Christophe Decaestecker	Flat4 50 Compayne Gardens	07/06/2017 17:25:07	ОВЈ	There is already a prenew planning permiss This is a bit confusing than those included he comments in the previapplication after the dethat application was grafterwards. Does this new plannin How can we make surbefore, meaning after If the plans attached he previous comments are  1) Privacy issue Most of the big folding living room windows fland their extension. It would request and exporder to avoid the priving surface in the priving the surface is already as the surface in the province is already as the province in the priving room windows fland their extension. It would request and exporder to avoid the priving room windows the priving room windows fland their extension.
					<ol> <li>Size of the extens How far can the exten distance to be respect property number 48?</li> </ol>
					drastically by the size 3) Ownership of the As said before, the pro of the ground floor flat
					belongs to the owner of extension, but becaus different nature, it does
					the shares will have no extension. I would exp

revious fully granted permission (Application 2017/0081/P) similar to this sion.

Printed on:

08/06/2017

09:10:04

g to me. Indeed the previous application had the same proposed plans here according to what we could see before the deadline for adding vious application. Then some revised plans had been added in the deadline for adding any new comments. It was not clear if the approval of granted for the initial proposed plans or the revised plans delivered

ing application 2017/1946/P replace the previous one then? ure that new revised plans would not be introduced in the same way than er the deadline for adding comments?

here are the ones that the owner want to finally implement, then my and objections remain the same:

g windows doors of the extension would be directly facing the above flats and also facing directly the back of the number 52 property windows I consider that planning as intrusive for the current existing privacy. I xpect that most the folding windows to be facing the garden instead in vacy issue with the direct neighbours.

## nsion

ension can be extended into the back garden? Is there any minimal ct between the new extension planned with the wall shared with the ? The overlooking view of the beautiful back garden will be diminished e of the extended new extension.

e maintenance of the roof of the extended extension property number 50 is a shared of freehold. The current roof maintenance at extension is managed/funded by the freeholders of the property. It r of the ground floor flat to decide to remove and rebuild the current ise the size of the new roof extension will be much bigger and in a es not seem correct to me that the 4 other freeholders owning 80% of no say about this plan and the future cost of maintenance of the new roof spect the maintenance of the roof of the new extension to be fully funded in the future by the owner of the ground floor flat then.

For the above reasons, I object in the same way and would request discussions, clarifications and amendments before a new application could be submitted.