٨	nulication No.	Consultaas Nama	Consultaas Addr:	Bacaivad .	Comment		10:02
	pplication No:)17/2617/P	Consultees Name: Mr and Mrs Sarkozi	Consultees Addr: 3 Back Lane London NW31HL	Received: 30/06/2017 18:00:43	Comment: COMMNT	Response: Dear Sirs, As in the previous instant, we still strongly object against the proposed building enlargement. This is a Conservation area, consisting of Victorian cottages with very small gardens, especially No.1 and No.3 Back Lane. We already pointed out that the height of the party wall as shown on the architect's drawing does not correspond to the actual height. An alteration would cause loss of light for us in No.3.Enlarging the kitchen towards our garden would also cause loss of privacy. There is an approximatly 40 cm gap between the end of the existing kitchen and the wall. To build this up would cause extra loss of light.Digging down next to our party wall as proposed could cause serious damage to the foundation of our house. The first floor extension would present an ugly bulk in an already very small space.Out of character in a Conservation Area. These houses are structurally quite fragile. As far as the proposed roof extension is concerned, we object to it on grounds of loss of light because we are intending to have a roof terrace built. In these old cottages the use of the staircase leading to the roof extension means that every step can be heard through the wall, disturbing our sleep. Through the glass roof of the proposed kitchen extension our garden would be lit up in the evening against our will meaning loss of privacy. We don't wish to have disturbing kitchen noises so near to us. All these remarks were mentionned to Mrs A. Constantinescu when she visited the premises. Yours faithfully Mr and Mrs Sarkozi	