Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 26/06/2017 09:10:03 Response:
2017/2686/P	Mark Crees	91B Agar Grove	19/06/2017 19:20:03	ОВЈ	I most strongly object to the proposals for the following reasons:
		Camden Town London NW1 9UE			Direct and severe reduction of internal light through side window(s) to our property - proposed footprint and height of new extension will block light.
					2. Accuracy of plans submitted vs what was/will actually be built.
					 Previous 2 applications from Mr Bhakey have not been built to the proposed plans submitted (Oct 2002 and Nov 2004) I am extremely concerned that once built it will have a bigger footprint and be taller than proposed. The new proposal does not seem to include any details for foundations. Will the existing
					foundations cope with the extra weight without causing damage to our property as built on clay and subject to subsidence? • Concerned that the party wall will not be finished off properly as in the case of 2004 where pointing was not completed at all (as per original wall).
					3. Close proximity of new buildings to our own.
					 What is the legal requirement for an extension height less than a meter from our property and being built on the party wall? No permission has been sought nor granted for the party wall to be used in this proposal.
					Due to the reduced light to our property and Mr Bhakey's track record with planning applications we would not be willing to grant him further building on the party wall.
2017/2686/P	Jasper Warwick	21 Cantelowes Road	24/06/2017 09:30:49	OBJ	93a Agar grove isbeen used as a full time Air B-n-B establishment. There has been considerable local problems due to rubbish being produced and not properly disposed of resulting from this usage. This is a blight on the neighbourhood evidence of this can be found by the number of reports submitted to the council for fly tipping at this address. There is insufficient space space on the property to store recycle bins and rubbish bins. I believe that increasing the size of the dwelling would only make this problem worse. I believe the property will be used to expand the Air BnB business and the property will not be used as a family home. Evidence of this usage can be found on the Air BnB site. If permission is granted then it should be stipulated that the property be used only as a family home and not as an Air BnB or similar establishment.