					11iiited oii. 15/00/2017 05.1
<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2356/P	Ann Lloyd	Flat 4 8 Rosslyn Hill London NW3 1PH	09/06/2017 14:03:10	OBJ	The proposed replacement gate in the front wall of No 10 would, as The Hampstead and Highgate Society have observed, be wide enough for a car to enter and be parked in the front garden. The proper owner does say, in his application, that a new or altered vehicle access is not proposed to or from the public highway but [only] a new or altered pedestrian access. The current gate size (in Houses No 4-10) has peace to spare for wheelchair access and is therefore quite wide enough for pedestrians It is not clear whether an alteration to the kerb has been requested, but it does seem likely that this new, double-sized gate would be a step in the direction of the property owner renewing his application (at some point) for front-garden parking. He does refer to the purpose of the gate as 'new service access' for the 2 wheeled storage bins in use. However, there is no difficulty in wheeling bins onto the street via the existing gateway. The residents of this 6-house stretch of Rosslyn have previously campaigned (when No 10 applied for access for front-garden parking) against the dangers to pedestrians (especially for children going to school on their scooters) of cars being driven into gardens and the lessening of communal parking space that this creates. There is no reason given in the application for the installation of a proposed wider gate. We would, therefore, view this application with grave suspicion. Please refuse.
2017/2356/P	Ann Lloyd	Flat 4 8 Rosslyn Hill London NW3 1PH	09/06/2017 14:03:11	OBJ	The proposed replacement gate in the front wall of No 10 would, as The Hampstead and Highgate Society have observed, be wide enough for a car to enter and be parked in the front garden. The proper owner does say, in his application, that a new or altered vehicle access is not proposed to or from the public highway but [only] a new or altered pedestrian access. The current gate size (in Houses No 4-10) has peace to spare for wheelchair access and is therefore quite wide enough for pedestrians It is not clear whether an alteration to the kerb has been requested, but it does seem likely that this new, double-sized gate would be a step in the direction of the property owner renewing his application (at some point) for front-garden parking. He does refer to the purpose of the gate as 'new service access' for the 2 wheeled storage bins in use. However, there is no difficulty in wheeling bins onto the street via the existing gateway. The residents of this 6-house stretch of Rosslyn have previously campaigned (when No 10 applied for access for front-garden parking) against the dangers to pedestrians (especially for children going to school on their scooters) of cars being driven into gardens and the lessening of communal parking space that this creates. There is no reason given in the application for the installation of a proposed wider gate. We would, therefore, view this application with grave suspicion. Please refuse.