

# **BS4142** Noise Assessment

Report No: 3732-R1 – Coffee & Gift, 46-50 New Oxford Street, London, WC1A 1ES.

Client: Rustam Rezai

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction	. 3
2.	Assessment Methodology	. 3
3.	Site Description	. 4
4.	Survey Information	. 5
5.	Survey Results	. 7
6.	Noise Assessment	. 8
7.	Recommendations	10
8.	Conclusion	11
9.	Appendix	12

Report Revisions	Date		
3732-R1	26/05/2017	Initial Report	
	Produced by:	Checked by:	Authorised by:
Name:	Steve Clow MIOA	Steve Mckeever AMIOA	Steve Clow MIOA

<u>Steve Clow MIOA</u>, is a member of The Institute of Acoustics and has achieved the IOA Diploma in Acoustics and Noise Control. Steve Clow has over 15 years experience in an acoustic consultant capacity working on many assessments involving planning and regulatory services.

<u>Steve Mckeever AMIOA</u>, is an associate member of The Institute of Acoustics and has achieved the IOA Diploma in Acoustics and Noise Control. Steve Mckeever has 10 years experience working in acoustics and 5 years in an acoustic consultant capacity.

This report is for the exclusive use of the client named on page 1 and may not be reproduced without the authors' permission. The information reported had been prepared with all reasonable care and diligence. Clover Acoustics Ltd disclaims any responsibility to the client and others in respect of matters outside the scope of works agreed. We accept no responsibility to any third party to whom this report or any part of is made available. Any such parties rely upon this report at their own risk.

Aerial images contained in this document are reproduced under license from our Global Imaging System operator. License details are available upon request.

Clover Acoustics Ltd - The Old Vicarage - Barcroft Lane - Askern - Doncaster - DN6 0DS Tel: 01302 707 199 - Web: <u>www.cloveracoustics.co.uk</u> - Email: <u>info@cloveracoustics.co.uk</u>

### **1. Introduction**

Clover Acoustics Ltd has been appointed by Arch Planning on behalf of Mr Rustam Rezai to carry out a BS4142 noise assessment at the site of a proposed kitchen extract system to be installed to a walkthrough at 46-50 New Oxford Street, London WC1A 1ES. The extract equipment will operate during the proposed operational hours of 11:00 to 23:00 hours.

A baseline noise survey has been carried out over a representative period in order to establish the existing background noise levels. The survey was conducted at one monitoring location covering a typical day and night time period on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> May 2017.

The purpose of this report is to demonstrate that due consideration for noise affecting residential property adjacent the installation has been made and to assess the significance of any noise impact from the operation of the new extract.

### 2. Assessment Methodology

### BS4142:2014 Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound.

BS4142 gives a method for rating sound from industrial and commercial sources affecting people inside or outside dwellings or premises used for residential purposes. An initial estimate of the significance of the sound from the industrial/commercial nature can be assessed by subtracting the measured background noise level from the rating level (this is the specific sound level of the source with any corrections or penalties for distinctive acoustic characteristics). Typically, the greater the difference, the greater the magnitude of the impact.

- A difference of around +10dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context.
- A difference of around +5dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context.
- The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or significant adverse impact. Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact, depending on the context.

### 3. Site Description

The site is a mixed commercial and residential area. The nearest sensitive receiver to the terminus has been identified as apartments above the proposed extract screened from the terminus by the building walkthrough. The extract terminus point is to be situated flush with the wall louvre at ground level approximately 4m from the nearest sensitive window. A Helios 500/4 fan unit is to be installed internally to service the extract. Subjectively the noise climate in the area is dominated by road traffic from the surrounding area.



Figure 1 – Site Location

## 4. Survey Information

#### **Measurement Instrumentation**

The measurement instrumentation used on the survey was as follows:

Equipment	Manufacturer & Type	Serial Number	<b>Calibration Certificate</b>
Sound Level Meter	Norsonic 118	28952	09739
Acoustic Calibrator	Norsonic 1251	32856	09734

The equipment was calibrated to comply with section 4.2 of BS7445:1-2003 before and after the surveys. The calibration was as follows:

Meter	Serial	Bef	ore	After			
Norsonic 118	28952	113.9	-26.2	113.9	-26.2		

#### **Measurements & Timescales**

During the survey 5-minute measurements were made during a typical period on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> May 2017 prior to the installation of the unit.

The following measurements are reported:  $L_{Aeq,T}$ ,  $L_{A90,T}$ ,  $L_{A10,T}$ ,  $L_{Amax,T}$ 

The measurements and their interpretation shall be in accordance with BS 7445: Parts 1 and 2. All sound pressure levels are in dB (re  $20\mu$ Pa).

#### Meteorology

Wind speed measurements were recorded during the background survey close to the noise monitoring location. The monitoring location was shielded from wind by the surrounding buildings.

Temperature was recorded at 10-16°C with no precipitation during the measurement period.

#### **Position of Monitoring Equipment**

The equipment was mounted free field 1.5m from the ground at a location representative of the nearest receivers. Figure 2 shows the site location plan and proposed position of the unit.



#### Figure 2 - Site Location Plan

Proposed Installation	$\bigcirc$
Monitoring Position	$\bigcirc$

### 5. Survey Results

### **Background Noise Summary**

The following tables show the summary of the background noise levels monitored. The reported results represent the free field sound pressure levels at the receivers. The lowest background recorded during the proposed operational hours was 49dB L<sub>A90,5min</sub>.

Site: 46-50 New Oxford Street, London, WC1A 1ES									
- Octor	104		Table 1: Summar	y	Start Date: 09/05/2017				
	CI acoustics		Report: 3732-R1		End Date: 09/05/2017				
		Hourly Summa	ry						
Hour Start	LAeq,1Hour	LAMax, 1hour	Min LA90,5min	LA10,1hour					
07:00	61.9	78.8	51.2	62.0					
08:00	64.3	90.2	55.6	64.4					
09:00	62.5	80.6	55.7	64.0					
10:00	67.9	93.3	54.9	64.8					
11:00	62.2	79.3	56.9	63.1					
12:00	63.8	92.5	55.2	64.3					
13:00	63.5	82.4	59.0	64.2					
14:00	62.6	83.4	56.8	64.0					
15:00	62.6	83.7	53.9	64.0					
16:00	<b>59.9</b>	80.2	53.3	61.3					
17:00	64.3	87.4	54.9	63.7					
18:00	61.1	85.2	56.4	62.3					
19:00	62.0	<b>91.</b> 1	53.9	62.4					
20:00	61.9	88.9	50.0	61.0					
21:00	<b>59.1</b>	87.6	50.9	60.0					
22:00	63.1	96.0	50.7	61.1					
23:00	58.5	82.7	48.8	60.4					
00:00	56.7	81.0	46.0	59.1					
01:00	57.0	79.9	44.3	58.0					
02:00	59.8	84.8	43.7	58.9					
03:00	55.6	74.1	44.3	57.6					
04:00	55.3	75.0	44.2	57.2					
05:00	55.7	75.2	44.6	58.0					
06:00	58.9	84.3	48.9	60.0					
90.0 80.0 70.0 dB 60.0 50.0 40.0	MMMMMW MMMMMW MMMMMM	MMMM MMM	MMMMM	MMM	LAeq. Smin				
30.0					LAMax,5min LA90,5min				
00:	00:00 02:00:00 04:00	00 06:00:00 08:00	:00 10:00:00 12:00:00 Time	14:00:00 16:00:0	18:00:00 20:00:00 22:00:00				

Table 1 – Summary

### 6. Noise Assessment

#### BS4142:2014 Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound.

#### Specific Noise Source

It is understood that a Helios 500/4 fan unit will be installed internally to reduce the potential for noise breakout from the fan unit. The flue is to terminate at the wall louver as shown in figure 3.





#### Acoustic Feature Correction

BS4142:2014 allows a character correction to be applied to the specific sound level where acoustic features are present at the assessment location. BS4142 considers that certain acoustic features can increase impact of a new noise source over that expected from a straight comparison between the specific noise level and the background noise level.

These features and the penalties applied to calculate a rating level when assessing subjectively as defined by BS4142 are as follows:

*Tonality*: For sound ranging from not tonal to prominently tonal the Joint Nordic Method gives a correction of between 0 and +6dB for tonality.

- 2dB for a tone that is just perceptible
- 4dB where it is clearly perceptible
- 6dB where it is highly perceptible

*Impulsivity*: A correction of up to 9dB can be applied for sound that is highly impulsive, considering both the rapidity of change in sound level and the overall change in sound level.

- 3dB just perceptible impulsivity
- 6dB clearly perceptible impulsivity
- 9db highly perceptible impulsivity

*Distinctive*: Where the specific sound features characteristics that are neither tonal nor impulsive, though otherwise are readily distinctive from the residual acoustic environment a 3dB penalty can be applied.

*Intermittency*: Where the specific sound has identifiable on/off conditions the specific sound level should be representative of the time period of length equal to the reference time period which contain the greatest amount of 'on' time. If the intermittency is readily distinctive against the residual acoustic environment, a penalty of 3dB can be applied.

*Corrections Applied*: It is likely that the source may be distinctive from the residual acoustic environment and as such it would be prudent to allow a 3dB penalty in this respect. Typically air handling equipment would not be considered impulsive. In normal operation the extract equipment would not switch on/off several times within the reference time period and as such would not be considered intermittent.

#### Context

The site is exposed to traffic and city noise from the surrounding area which was dominant.

#### **Barrier** Attenuation

Screening of the unit to prevent line of sight to the source would reduce noise levels at the receiver. In this instance the unit is screened from the receiver by the brick walk ways and walls above the installation and attenuation through screening has been accounted for. Calculation sheets are presented in the appendix.

#### Other Attenuation

A Helios RSD 250/300 286 silencer is included in the calculation.

#### Kitchen Extract Calculation

cloveracoustics		Plant Noise Prediction Report: 3732-R1										
Kitchen Extract Terminus	Comments	Description	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000		
Source Spectrum	Helios 500/4	dB Lw	0	57	73	74	74	73	69	60		
Duct Loss	Rectangular 450-900 Unlined	4m	2.4	1.6	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		
Bend Loss	Square 900 Unlined	x1	1	5	8	4	3	3	3	3		
Silencer/Attenuator Loss	Helios RSD 250/300 286	×1	0	3	5	8	8	9	7	5		
Distance Attenuation		2m	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14		
Screening Attenuation			8	10	12	15	18	20	20	20		
Other Attenuation			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
In at Receiver		dB	0	23	33	33	31	27	25	18	38	dB(A)

#### BS4142 Assessment – Extract – Operational Hours

A noise rating level of 41dB(A) has been predicted at the assessment location. This would give an assessment level 8dB below the lowest measured existing background noise level during the proposed operational hours. BS4142 advises, "The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or significant adverse impact. Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact, depending on the context".

BS4142 Assessment - Extract	dB(A)
Specific Noise Level at Receiver	38
Distinctive Characteristic Penalty	3
Rating Level	41
Operational Background Level L <sub>A90</sub>	49
Rating Below Background	8

# 7. Conclusion

A BS4142 noise assessment has been carried out at the site of 46-50 New Oxford Street, London WC1 1ES to assess the impact of a proposed kitchen extract to service the Coffee & Gift outlet. A background noise survey was conducted during a typical period on Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> May 2017 at a location representative of the nearest sensitive receivers.

An assessment has been made in accordance with BS4142 which has shown that the proposed Kitchen extract system would predict noise rating levels 8dB below the local background provided the attenuation identified is installed. BS4142 advises "Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact". Based upon the above and the guidance identified in BS4142 we consider this installation unlikely to have an adverse impact, and that there are no reasons why the proposed use should be refused on the ground of adverse impacts from noise as the development can be appropriately accommodated at this unit.

Steve Clow MIOA Acoustic Consultant

### 8. Appendix

#### **Glossary of Terms**

#### Specific Noise Source

The noise source under investigation for assessing the likelihood of complaints.

#### Specific Noise Level, L<sub>Aeq,T</sub>

The equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level at the assessment position produced by the specific noise source over a given reference time interval.

#### Rating Level, L<sub>A,T</sub>

The specific noise level plus any adjustment for the characteristic features of the noise.

#### Background Noise Level, LA90,T

The A-weighted sound pressure level of the residual noise at the assessment position that is exceeded for 90 % of a given time interval, T.

#### **Residual Noise**

The ambient noise remaining at a given position in a given situation when the specific noise source is suppressed to a degree such that it does not contribute to the ambient noise.

#### Ambient Noise

Totally encompassing sound in a given situation at a given time usually composed of sound from many sources near and far.

#### Reference Time Interval, T

The specified interval over which an equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level is determined.

#### $L_{Aeq,T}$

The A-weighted equivalent continuous sound level – the sound level of a notionally steady sound having the same energy as the fluctuating sound over a specified measurement period, T.

#### **L**<sub>A10,T</sub>

The A-weighted sound level exceeded for 10% of the specified measurement period, T.

#### **L**<sub>Amax</sub>

The highest short duration A-weighted sound level recorded during a noise event.

#### A-Weighting

The 'A' weighting is a correction term applied to the frequency range in order to approximate to the sensitivity of the human ear to noise. It is generally used to obtain an overall noise level from octave or third octave band frequencies.

#### Octave Band

A frequency band in which the upper limit of the band is twice the frequency of the lower limit.

#### **One-third-octave Band**

A frequency band in which the upper limit of the band is 1/3 times the frequency of the lower limit.

Site Plan



Figure 4 – Site Plan

Site: 46-50 New Oxford Street Barrier Attenuation 3732-R1 Barrier Attenuation													
		7/////	////	///////////////////////////////////////	////	1111	777						
		Figure the	6 — G pathler	eometrical quantities igth difference for sin	for de gle dif	termini fraction	ing n						
											from IS	0 9613-	2:1996
Noise Source				Frequency	Hz	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000
Description: Kitche	en Extract			Source Spectrum	dB	66.75	61.5	56.06	53.83	51.95	48.24	43.19	39.97
Parrier Attenuation	- Source 1			Frequency	H7	62	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000
Source to Barrier	1 - Source 1	Receiver to Barrier	5	Rarrier Attenuation	dB	6.2	72	8.8	10.8	13 3	16.0	18.8	21.7
Source Height	0.5	Receiver Height	4	Effective Attenuation	dB	6.2	7.2	8.8	10.8	13.3	16.0	18.8	20.0
Barrier Height	1.8	Bit	-	Resultant Spectrum	dB	60.6	54.3	47.3	43.0	38.7	32.3	24.4	20.0
Path Difference	0.157								Barrier	Atten	uation	dB	-7

Figure 5 – Barrier Calculation



Figure 6 – Fan Unit Specification

### Photo Appendix



Figure 7 – View of Proposed Extract Flue Location