Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 07/06/2017 09:10:02 Response:				
2017/2471/P	Philip List	Heath CamdenC House 30 Queens Road Hertford SG13 8AZ	02/06/2017 13:59:10	COMMNT	The proposal has too large an increase in the underground / basement area, and is in excess of the councils guidelines. The existing property has a positive impact on the surrounding area, being of architecturally important heritage. The new proposal does not have an increased impact on the surrounding area.				
					Therefore I wish to object to this proposal.				
					2017/2471/P	Michael Sternberg QC KFO	4 Paper Buildings Temple London EC4Y 7EX	01/06/2017 08:18:04	SUPNOT
There is no architectural merit in the existing building. It is a wretched example of a 1970s style of dwelling. It detracts from the quality of the environment and it needs to be demolished.									
The plans and other evidence submitted by the applicant make it clear beyond any doubt that what is intended to take its place will be wholly suitable and in keeping with developments all over NW3 which have contributed to the area and which have been approved by LB Camden.									
The proposed development is a sensitive and wholly beneficial use of space									
Having read in detail the evidence in support of it, and having lived in NW3 since 1974 I support the application in all respects for all of the reasons set out within the evidence put forward which it would be prolix to repeat but which are convincing in all respects.									
In my opinion no reasonable planning committee properly directing itself on the evidence before it could refuse this application									
Yours Sincerely									
ichael Sternberg QC									
				Planning permission should be granted					
2017/2471/P	bernard Shapero	15 rudall crescent	05/06/2017 10:02:09	COMMNT	This proposal looks to replace a rather run down property with something new and beautiful, which will no doubt improve the look of the area. The palns are well thought out and well considered. I cannot see any reason why someone would reject them.				

						Printed on:	07/06/2017	09:10:02
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2017/2471/P	Socrates Miltiadou	1 Regent's Park Terrace	01/06/2017 17:08:46	SUPPRT	I have reviewed the design, in detail, and feel it is a fully resolved and sympathetic proposal. I feel that the current building is of no architectural merit and that the architects use of materials and form results in a building of real merit. I fully support the proposed replacement dwelling and urge the council to support the application.			