From: Roe, Anna

 Sent:
 06 June 2017 15:19

 To:
 Meynell, Charlotte

Subject: FW: Planning Application 2017/2399/P

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Red Category

From: Paul Stook Sent: 06 June 2017 15:13 To: Meynell, Charlotte Cc: Roe, Anna

Subject: Planning Application 2017/2399/P

Dear Charlotte,

Please excuse this rather direct approach, however, having been unable to contact you via telephone and with the deadline for comments closing tomorrow, I thought I'd drop you a line.

My name is Paul Stook and I live at 79 Clarence Way, NW1 8DG.

I am writing in relation to the planned works which have been submitted for approval to 81 Clarence Way.

I'm afraid that having had a look at the proposed plans for a rear ground floor extension, I really do feel that this, in conjunction with their extension to the first floor Bathroom/Terrace, really is an overbearing development on a number of accounts:

- I note that they propose to increase the current extension length by 1150 and that this will be clad in black timber. My main concern here is that this will obviously block out even more valuable sun light from the west. This, along with the extended Bathroom on the first floor as well, would mean open air views will be greatly reduced across the board too.
- I also feel it worth pointing out that my neighbour at 77 Clarence Way is currency undergoing extensive
 internal works combined with a new ground/first floor rear extension. What I do appreciate here is that they
 are working within the boundary line of the existing building.
- 3. With regards to the point above, I also find it surprising that extending the rear extension to 81, is not considered to be an overdevelopment of what is a Conservation Area.
- 4. I may not be sure of how long ago a similar planning application was put forward for works at 68 Clarence Way, however, I do seem to remember that is was refused due to some of the conditions I have pointed out here.
- 5. Finally, and I do appreciate this may be deemed as somewhat of a lesser objection, but I do not find the thought of having a solid black wall to look at particularly pleasing either, especially after becoming weathered over the years.

In closing Charlotte, I would be very grateful if you could please acknowledge receipt of this email as I am unable to access the Council's website to register my comments due to not having computer access either at work or at home.

Thank you for your time.

Yours sincerely

Paul Stook

