

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 May 2017 16:46  
**To:** Whittredge, Emily; Stopard, Rachel  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Planning Application 2017/0670/P

Dear Emily Whittredge

Thank you for posting the side elevation drawings on the website. We have the following comments to make.

1. As they are not a "section" drawing but rather a view point across the rear gardens of No 9 and No 11, it seems odd that some buildings are missing leading to an incorrect perspective of the impact this proposed extension is going to have on the neighbours amenity. Viz:
2. Whilst the rear of No 2 Evangelist Rd is shown, the garage/workshop at the rear of No 9 is missing as is the Summerhouse/workshop at the rear of No 13.
3. The rear elevation detailed drawing that includes the rear elevations of No 9 and No 11 that was asked for has not been posted. Is this an oversight or are we still waiting for the drawing?
4. In conclusion, I do hope you are receiving our emails as we have had no communication from you in acknowledgement of the latter or any response to my telephone messages since your sight visit on Thursday 11th May. In case you have not received the email I sent on 17th May I have copied it below. (In the event that my emails are NOT getting through I have also sent this to the Director of the Environment so that Ms Rachel Stopard can forward it to you).
5. My previous email follows (also copied to all interested parties):-

Dear Emily Whittredge

Thank you for posting all of our 'letters of objection' on the website. Having read them you will understand that there are a number of questions that have not been addressed by the applicants or their agent.

1. As discussed with you on your visit to the site last Thursday 11th May, the architects are to be asked to submit further plans showing the side & rear elevations from both No. 9 & No. 13 Burghley Rd point of view as well as a rear elevation scale plan of the proposed development that includes the rear elevations of Nos. 9 & 13.  
Have you set a target date for these plans to be forthcoming? If so, when are they expected to be posted on the website so that we can make further comments?
2. As the temporary erection of the outline of the proposed brick wall of the glass box clearly indicates, there will be a complete loss of sunlight to the rear bedroom windows of Flat No.13A and some significant sunlight loss for Flat 13B.  
Has the applicant been asked to produce a Building Research Establishment (BRE) sunlight report and asked to give an average daylight factor (ADF) report?
3. **Solar Dazzle.**  
When the afternoon sun hits the massive glass box proposed as part of the extension for the Upper

Ground Floor there is certain to be some light reflection, refraction and unwanted glare or dazzle.

Has the BRE been asked to produce a report on the likely affects that this will have on the amenity enjoyed by No. 9?

4. Has a Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) as set out in Camden Planning Guidance 4, been produced?

If so, when can we expect sight of this report?

Will it be published on the website?

5. In the Application, dated 9th January 2017, for Planning Permission, under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and submitted by the agent, Mr Gavin Ramsey, there is a gross error of fact.

14. Existing Use

Q. Please describe the current use of the site.

A. The site is currently a single family dwelling house

Not true. It comprises two distinct dwellings.

The Lower Ground Floor is a self-contained flat with its own front door to Burghley Rd. and is currently let. (This flat will be lost if the proposed development is granted).

The Three Upper Floors form the main residential family dwelling.

6. In view of all the above points raised by the neighbours, could you please tell us what date you propose for final comments? Obviously that can only be after all the above questions have been addressed and all interested parties given the chance to respond,

Yours faithfully

And on the 19th May:-

Dear Emily Whittredge

I am rather concerned that I have not had an acknowledgement from you that you have received my email dated 17th May. There are a number of questions posed that require answering and all of the above interested parties would like to be kept informed of any progress you have made. We also note that on the website, you have not extended the consultation/comments date of May 16th for us to view, and hopefully comment on, the architects forthcoming side and rear elevation plans, let alone peruse the answers to our questions. A proposed schedule for these reports would be most helpful, if possible before this week-end.


Is there a targeted decision date?

We all look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

**We would appreciate it if all of these comments can be posted on the website.**

Yours faithfully



9 Burghley Road  
NWS 1UG