

Gentet, Matthias

From: Lavinia Miller <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 29 May 2017 23:45
To: Planning; English, Rachel
Subject: Fwd: Planning Application -

Importance: High

> > Subject: Planning Application - 2017/1759/P. URGENT

> >

> > Dear Planning Officer

> >

> > I am a resident of Lytton Court, 14 Barter Street and am writing
> > about planning application 2017/1759/P for 133-136 High Holborn. My
> > daughter is writing on my behalf as I am a registered blind senior gentleman.

> >

> >

> > This application includes changes to the use of the car park behind
> > 133-136 High Holborn and alongside Lytton Court (a residential
> > block). The proposed changes include placing food carts in the car
> > park and using the area for events and as an outside meeting space.
> > The application also appears to include opening entrances in Hogarth
> > House on Bloomsbury Court which also runs alongside Lytton Court. At
> > present the only entrances to 133-136 High Holborn are on High Holborn.

> >

> >

> > I am dismayed that I did not receive direct notification of the
> > proposal even though my flat directly overlooks the car park and I am obviously
> > strongly affected by it. I only found out about this project through a
> > resident from Lytton Court, it's impossible for me to read a sign on
> > a lamppost several yards away from Lytton Court, due to being
> > registered blind. I believe Camden has failed to follow due process
> > in this case.

> >

> > I wish to register my very strong objections to this proposal for
> > the following reasons: -

> >

> > 1. The original planning approval for the development of the whole
> > site, including Lytton Court,(ref PL/8800104/R2) includes the following clause :
> > "The whole of the car parking accommodation shown on drawing number
> > AL/1484/61 shall be provided and retained permanently for the
> > parking of vehicles of the occupiers of the residential
> > accommodation hereby approved." Since the residents of Lytton Court
> > have never been consulted on amending this it presumably remains in force.

> >

> > 2. A change of use of the car park (Monarch Yard) including food
> > carts and meetings/events and the opening of entrances on Bloomsbury
> > Court would have unacceptable noise and odour consequences for
> > residents of Lytton Court (and the other residents on the south side
> > of Barter Street). The residents on Barter Street have already

> > endured UPHEAVAL in the past year with NOISE and POLLUTION from a
> > building project in the area. I bought in this beautiful part of London to spend a quiet and
enjoyable retirement.
> > It will obviously inhibit my easy movement in the area due to my
> > blindness and become inherently DANGEROUS for me.
> >
> > 3. A loss of parking availability and any commercial use of Monarch
> > Yard would negatively impact the value of the Lytton Court flats.
> >
> > 4. The application is inaccurate as it refers to six (6) parking
> > spaces whereas there are in fact seven (7).
> >
> > I would urge that this application should NOzbe considered for
> > approval before a proper consultation is undertaken with local
> > residents including all residents with properties backing onto the
> > car park and/or overlooking Bloomsbury Court. This includes all the residents of Lytton Court.
> >
> >
> > I would appreciate your comments on the points made above, including
> > an acknowledgement that this communication to you has been received.
> >
> >
> > Yours faithfully,
> >
> >
> > Terrence Keefe
> >
> > --
> >