Basement Impact Assessment

in connection with proposed redevelopment at

Marine Ices 4-8 Haverstock Hill Camden NW3 2BL

for

Bellis Construction Ltd

LBH4278 Ver 2.0 May 2017

LBH WEMBLEY ENGINEERING

Site: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL LBH4278 Client: Bellis Construction Ltd Page 2 of 27 Project No: LBH4278 Report Ref: LBH4278 Ver 2.0 24th May 2017 Date: Report prepared by: **Ronnie Lancaster** BSc (Hons) MSc DIC FGS Report approved by: Darcy Kitson-Boyce MEng (Hons) GMICE FGS FRGS Report approved by: Seamus R Lefroy-Brooks BSc (Hons) MSc CEng MICE CGeol FGS CEnv MIEnvSc FRGS SiLC RoGEP UK Registered Ground Engineering Adviser LBH WEMBLEY ENGINEERING 12 Little Balmer **Buckingham Industrial Park** Buckingham MK18 1TF Tel: 01280 812310 email: enquiry@lbhgeo.co.uk website: www.lbhgeo.co.uk LBH Wembley (2003) Limited. Unit 12 Little Balmer, Buckingham Industrial Park, Buckingham, MK18 1TF. Registered in England No. 4922494

> LBH WEMBLEY ENGINEERING

Contents

Co	ontents		3
Fo	reword-	Guidance Notes	5
1.	Introdu	ction	6
	1.1	Background	6
	1.2	Brief	6
	1.3	Planning Policy	6
	1.4	Report Structure	7
	1.5	Documents Consulted	7
2.	The Sit	e	8
	2.1	Site Location	8
	2.2	Site Description	8
	2.3	Proposed Development	8
3.	Desk S	tudy	9
	3.1	Site History	9
	3.2	Geological Information	9
	3.3	Hydrogeological / Hydrological Information	9
4.	Stages	1 & 2 - Screening & Scoping Assessments	10
	4.1	Screening Assessment	10
	4.1.1	Screening Checklist for Subterranean (Groundwater) Flow	10
	4.1.2	Screening Checklist for Surface Flow and Flooding	11
	4.1.3	Screening Checklist for Stability	11
	4.2	Scoping Assessment	12
	4.2.1	Scoping for Stability	12
5.	Stage 3	- Site Investigation	14
	5.1	Exploratory Work	14
	5.2	Ground Conditions	14
	5.3	Made Ground	14
	5.4	London Clay Formation	14
	5.5	Groundwater	14
6.	Discus	sion of Geotechnical Issues	15
	6.1	Selected Values for Geotechnical Design	15
	6.2	Basement Construction	16
			LBH WEMBLEY
			ENGINEERING

Site: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL Client: Bellis Construction Ltd

	6.3	Piled Foundations	16
	6.4	Basement Waterproofing	16
	6.5	London Underground	16
7.	Stage 4	- Impact Assessment	17
	7.1	Buried Infrastructure	17
	7.2	Shrink / Swell	17
	7.3	Pedestrian Right of Way	17
	7.4	Neighbouring Buildings	17
	7.4.1	Neighbouring Structures	17
	7.4.2	Modelled Ground Conditions	18
	7.4.3	Short Term Movements to Neighbouring Structures	20
	7.4.4	Damage Assessment	22
	7.4.5	Conclusion	24
	7.4.6	Long Term Movements	24
	7.5	Monitoring	25
	7.6	Residual Impacts	25
8.	Conclu	sion	26
Ар	pendix		27
	Ground	Investigation Factual Data	27
	Burland	Damage Assessment Diagrams	27

Foreword-Guidance Notes

GENERAL

This report has been prepared for a specific client and to meet a specific brief. The preparation of this report may have been affected by limitations of scope, resources or time scale required by the client. Should any part of this report be relied on by a third party, that party does so wholly at its own risk and LBH Wembley Engineering disclaims any liability to such parties.

The observations and conclusions described in this report are based solely upon the agreed scope of work. LBH Wembley Engineering has not performed any observations, investigations, studies or testing not specifically set out in the agreed scope of work and cannot accept any liability for the existence of any condition, the discovery of which would require performance of services beyond the agreed scope of work.

VALIDITY

Should the purpose for which the report is used, or the proposed use of the site change, this report may no longer be valid and any further use of or reliance upon the report in those circumstances shall be at the client's sole and own risk. The passage of time may result in changes in site conditions, regulatory or other legal provisions, technology or economic conditions which could render the report inaccurate or unreliable. The information and conclusions contained in this report should therefore not be relied upon in the future and any such reliance on the report in the future shall again be at the client's own and sole risk. LBH Wembley Engineering should in all such altered circumstances be commissioned to review and update this report accordingly.

THIRD PARTY INFORMATION

The report may present an opinion on the disposition, configuration and composition of soils, strata and any contamination within or near the site based upon information received from third parties. However, no liability can be accepted for any inaccuracies or omissions in that information.

DRAWINGS

Any plans or drawings provided in this report are not meant to be an accurate base plan, but are used to present the general relative locations of features on, and surrounding, the site.

LBH WEMBLEN

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

A Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) was submitted to London Borough of Camden (LBC) in January 2015 for the construction of a split-level basement, to a depth of approximately 9m with a deepened rear section to around 11m depth. A top-down form of construction was proposed to build the basement.

Planning permission (ref: 2015/0487/P) was subsequently granted subject to a Section 106 Legal Agreement by the Camden in December 2016.

Following this, the basement proposals have now been altered and a shallower basement is now proposed, which will be built by a bottom-up form of construction. A revised BIA has been prepared to take into account the current scheme.

1.2 Brief

LBH WEMBLEY have been appointed by Bellis Construction Ltd to carry out a new Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) in order to take account of the revised scheme, for submission to LBC in order to satisfy the specific requirements of Camden Planning Policy DP27 on Basements and Lightwells and Supplementary Planning Guidance CPG4 on Basements and Lightwells.

1.3 Planning Policy

The CPG4 Planning Guidance on Basements and Lightwells refers primarily to Planning Policy DP27 on Basements and Lightwells.

The DP27 Policy reads as follows:

In determining proposals for basement and other underground development, the Council will require an assessment of the scheme's impact on drainage, flooding, groundwater conditions and structural stability, where appropriate. The Council will only permit basement and other underground development that does not cause harm to the built and natural environment and local amenity and does not result in flooding or ground instability. We will require developers to demonstrate by methodologies appropriate to the site that schemes:

- a) maintain the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties;
- b) avoid adversely affecting drainage and run-off or causing other damage to the water environment;
- c) avoid cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area;

and we will consider whether schemes:

- d) harm the amenity of neighbours;
- e) lead to the loss of open space or trees of townscape or amenity value;
- f) provide satisfactory landscaping, including adequate soil depth;
- g) harm the appearance or setting of the property or the established character of the surrounding area; and
- h) protect important archaeological remains.

The Council will not permit basement schemes which include habitable rooms and other sensitive uses in

areas prone to flooding. In determining applications for lightwells, the Council will consider whether:

- i) the architectural character of the building is protected;
- *j)* the character and appearance of the surrounding area is harmed; and
- *k*) the development results in the loss of more than 50% of the front garden or amenity area.

In addition to DP27, the CPG4 Guidance on Basements and Lightwells also supports the following Local Development Framework policies:

Core Strategies:

- CS5 Managing the impact of growth and development
- CS14 Promoting high quality places and conserving our heritage
- CS15 Protecting and improving our parks and open spaces & encouraging biodiversity
- CS17 Making Camden a safer place
- CS18 Dealing with our waste and encouraging recycling

Development Policies:

- DP23 Water
- DP24 Securing high quality design
- DP25 Conserving Camden's heritage
- DP26 Managing the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours

1.4 Report Structure

The report commences with a comprehensive desk study and characterisation of the site, before progressing to BIA screening and scoping assessments, whereby consideration is given to identifying the potential hydrogeological, hydrological and stability impacts to be associated with the proposed development. Following this the findings of an intrusive ground investigation are reported and a ground model is developed, followed by a discussion of the geotechnical issues.

Finally, an Impact Assessment is presented, including an assessment of the ground movements associated with the proposed works, along with consideration of the potential damage to the host building and neighbouring structures.

1.5 Documents Consulted

The following documents have been consulted during the preparation of this document:

- 1. Proposed Structural Drawings, by HTS, dated 17th May 2017, Project No. 1715 sheet No. 1.
- 2. Proposed Pile Drawings, by HTS, dated 22nd May 2017, Project No. 1715 sheet No. 1.
- 3. Camden Planning Guidance 4, Basements and Lightwells, 2015
- 4. Camden Development Policies DP27 Basements and Lightwells, 2010
- London Borough of Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study (CHGGS), by Ove Arup & Partners Limited, dated 18th November 2010, Issue 01

2. The Site

2.1 Site Location

The site is situated on the gentle lower southeastern slopes of Hampstead Hill within the designated Camden Town Centre and sits at the junction of Haverstock Hill and Crogsland Road, approximately 80m northeast of Chalk Farm Station. The site may also be located approximately by postcode NW3 2BL or by National Grid Reference 528190,184435.

2.2 Site Description

The site is irregular in shape and consists of the buildings of the former Marine Ices Restaurant and ice cream factory. The ground floor level of the buildings is set at approximately +31m OD.

The buildings wrap around a three storey Victorian pub sited on the junction of Haverstock Hill and Crogsland Road, giving the site a frontage onto both Haverstock Hill to the southwest (the restaurant section) and Crogsland Road to the southeast (garages and storage sections). The restaurant section is a single storey brick building whereas the garages and storage and production areas are three storey steel or concrete framed behind an earlier period Crogsland Road façade of London stock brickwork (which is to be retained). To the northeast lie the grounds of Haverstock Hill School and to the northwest the site is abutted by a modern Salvation Army Hall.

2.3 Proposed Development

It is proposed to redevelop this site into a mixed use complex with the basement and ground floors as either cinema/restaurant or retail space, alongside accompanying plant rooms, toilets, stairwells and a lift-shaft. Above this will be four storeys of residential units arranged in two buildings separated by a podium amenity space.

The proposed redevelopment includes demolition of the existing properties on site, retaining the Crogsland Road façade, followed by excavation of the site to allow for the construction of a basement to a depth of approximately 6m (+25m OD), with two slightly deepened sections for a lift shaft and sump, set at approximately +24m OD.

The excavation will be retained by a contiguous bored pile wall, following which the basement will be supported by piled foundations.

3. Desk Study

3.1 Site History

In the nineteenth century the site comprised a terrace of residential properties along Haverstock Hill and part of a further residential terrace ran along Crogsland Road. A final terrace ran northwest off of Crogsland Road; parallel to Haverstock Hill, along a now demolished road that was called Kirkwood Place. The southwestern boundaries of the back gardens to these properties formed the northern boundary of the site.

The northern underground line was constructed beneath Haverstock Hill with Chalk Farm station adjacent to the site at the outset of the last century.

Between the Wars an ice cream parlour was established on the site and a Salvation Army Citadel was constructed on the adjoining land.

The ice-cream factory was extended after the 2nd World War and in the 1970s and 1980s the buildings were further extended to cover the whole of the site along with extensive alterations to Nos. 45 and 47 Crogsland Road.

In 2002 the Salvation Army Citadel adjacent to the northwest of the site was rebuilt in its present form.

Production of Marine Ices ceased in 2012 and the restaurant closed in August 2014.

3.2 Geological Information

The BGS records that the site is underlain by London Clay Formation. No superficial deposits are recorded. Archive water well records suggest that the London Clay extends to 60m overlying almost 10m of Woolwich & Reading Beds and less than 5m of Thanet Sand overlying the Upper Chalk Formation.

3.3 Hydrogeological / Hydrological Information

The nearest surface water feature is the now culverted River Fleet, that is believed to flow some 300m to the northeast of the site.

The London Clay Formation is classified as Unproductive Strata

4. Stages 1 & 2 - Screening & Scoping Assessments

The Screening & Scoping Assessments have been undertaken with reference to Appendices E and F of the CGHSS, which is a process for determining whether or not a BIA is usually required.

4.1 Screening Assessment

The Screening Assessment consists of a series of checklists that identifies any matters of concern relating to the following:

- Subterranean (groundwater) flow
- Surface flow and flooding
- Slope stability

4.1.1 Screening Checklist for Subterranean (Groundwater) Flow

Question	Response	Justification
Is the site is located directly above an aquifer?	No	The BGS records that the site is underlain by London Clay Formation. No superficial deposits are recorded.
Will the proposed basement extend beneath the water table surface?	No	No groundwater is expected within the London Clay.
Is the site within 100m of a watercourse, well (used/disused) or potential spring line?	No	The nearest surface water feature is the River Fleet, now culverted, that is believed to flow some 300m to the northeast of the site.
Is the site within the catchment of the pond chains on Hampstead Heath?	No	The site is not within catchment of the Hampstead Heath Ponds
Will the proposed development result in a change in the area of hard-surfaced/paved areas?	NO	The site is presently 100% covered by buildings and the proposed development also involves 100% coverage
Will more surface water (e.g. rainfall and run-off) than at present will be discharged to the ground (e.g. via soakaways and/or SUDS)?	No	There is no drainage to the ground.
Is the lowest point of the proposed excavation (allowing for any drainage and foundation space under the basement floor) close to or lower than the mean water level in any local pond?	No	There are no nearby surface water features.

4.1.2 Screening Checklist for Surface Flow and Flooding

Question	Response	Justification
Is the site within the catchment area of the pond chains on Hampstead Heath?	No	The site is outside of the catchment areas of the Hampstead Heath ponds as shown in Figure 14 of the CGHHS
As part of the site drainage, will surface water flows (e.g. rainfall and run-off) be materially changed from the existing route?	No	Surface water flows will be disposed of by the existing means.
Will the proposed basement development result in a change in the proportion of hard- surfaced/paved areas?	No	The site is presently 100% covered by buildings and the proposed development also involves 100% coverage
Will the proposed basement result in changes to the profile of the inflows (instantaneous and long-term) of surface-water being received by adjacent properties or downstream watercourses?	No	All drainage is to the sewer as per existing.
Will the proposed basement result in changes to the quality of surface water being received by adjacent properties or downstream watercourses?	No	All drainage is to the sewer as per existing.
Is the site in an area known to be at risk from surface water flooding, or is it at risk from flooding for example because the proposed basement is below the static water level of a nearby surface water feature?	No	Environment Agency (EA) maps indicate that the site is also identified as being at a very low risk of surface water flooding.

4.1.3 Screening Checklist for Stability

Question	Response	Justification
Does the existing site include slopes, natural or manmade, greater than 7 degrees?	No	The site is level.
Does the proposed re-profiling of landscaping at the site change slopes at the property boundary to more than 7 degrees?	No	No re-profiling of the site is planned.
Does the development neighbour land, including railway cuttings and the like, with a slope greater than 7 degrees?	No	The neighbouring roads and the school grounds to the rear are flat-lying.
Is the site within a wider hillside setting in which the general slope is greater than 7 degrees?	No	No. Figure 16 of the CGHHS shows the site to be in an area of zero to seven degrees slope.

LBH WEMBLEN

Is London Clay the shallowest strata at the site?	YES	The British Geological Survey (BGS) records indicate that the site is underlain by the London Clay Formation.
Will trees be felled as part of the proposed development and/or are works proposed within tree protection zones where trees are to be retained?	No	No trees are present on the site.
Is there a history of seasonal shrink-swell subsidence in the local area, and/or evidence of such effects at the site?	No	No evidence of cracks or building movements was evident upon visiting the site and no effects were noted in any of the adjacent and surrounding buildings.
Is the site within 100m of a watercourse of a potential spring line?	No	The nearest surface water feature is the River Fleet, now culverted, that is believed to flow some 300m to the northeast of the site.
Is the site within an area of previously worked ground?	NO	No. Figure 2 of the CGHHS shows the site not to be in an area of worked ground.
Is the site within an aquifer?	No	The London Clay Formation is classified as Unproductive Strata.
Will the proposed basement extend beneath the water table such that dewatering may be required during construction?	No	No water table is expected to be present.
Is the site within 50m of the Hampstead Heath ponds?	No	The Hampstead Heath ponds are over 2km to the north of the site.
Is the site within 5m of a highway or pedestrian right of way?	Yes	
Will the proposed basement significantly increase the differential depth of foundations relative to the neighbouring properties?	Yes	
Is the site over (or within the exclusion zone of) tunnels, e.g. railway lines?	Yes	

4.2 Scoping Assessment

Where the checklist is answered with a "yes" or "unknown" to any of the questions posed in the flowcharts, these matters are carried forward to the scoping stage of the BIA process.

The scoping produces a statement which defines further the matters of concern identified in the screening stage. This defining should be in terms of ground processes, in order that a site specific BIA can be designed and executed (Section 6.3 of the CGHHS).

4.2.1 Scoping for Stability

• London Clay is the shallowest strata at the site.

The guidance advises that of the at-surface soil strata present in LB Camden, the London Clay is the most prone to seasonal shrink-swell (subsidence and heave).

• The site is within 5m of a highway or pedestrian right of way.

The guidance advises that excavation for a basement may result in damage to the road, pathway or any underground services buried in trenches beneath the road or pathway.

• The proposed basement will significantly increase the differential depth of foundations relative to the neighbouring properties.

The guidance advises that excavation for a basement may result in structural damage to neighbouring properties if there is a significant differential depth between adjacent foundations.

• The site is over (or within the exclusion zone of) tunnels, e.g. railway lines.

The guidance advises that excavation for a basement may result in damage to the tunnel.

5. Stage 3 – Site Investigation

5.1 Exploratory Work

In early November 2014, an intrusive site investigation was undertaken comprising two cable percussion boreholes constructed to a depth of 30m by a cut-down cable percussion rig.

In January 2016, eight structural trial pits were constructed to expose the party wall foundations and the details of these have been recorded by the structural engineers.

The exploratory records and test results are included in the Appendix to this report.

5.2 Ground Conditions

The intrusive investigation has confirmed that, beneath a limited thickness of made ground, the London Clay Formation is present.

5.3 Made Ground

Beneath the existing concrete flooring, made ground is present to a depth of around 1.5m.

The made ground consisted of dirty brown clayey sandy soil with stones, brick and concrete fragments.

5.4 London Clay Formation

Directly beneath the made ground, the London Clay Formation is present, which comprised firm to stiff, becoming stiff to very stiff, orange-brown and mottled grey silty clay. The upper zone of brown weathered clay was found to pass down into typical unweathered grey clay at approximately 9.5m depth.

The results of the plasticity index testing have confirmed the stratum to be of high shrinkability.

No claystones were encountered in the boreholes, but can be expected to be present within the stratum.

5.5 Groundwater

No groundwater was encountered during the formation of the boreholes and both boreholes were recorded as dry upon completion. Groundwater standpipes were installed in both boreholes to permit future monitoring for the presence of any groundwater. A further monitoring visit was carried out in January 2016, and found that both of the standpipes were dry.

6. Discussion of Geotechnical Issues

The proposed development includes the excavation of the entirety of the site to a depth of approximately 6-7m.

Given the ground conditions encountered and the scale of the development a contiguous bored piled wall is to be used to form the basement perimeter walls and temporary propping will be installed at a high level to allow a bottom-up excavation.

6.1 Selected Values for Geotechnical Design

Made Ground

A thickness of up to approximately 2m of made ground is expected. A nominal bulk unit weight of 17 kN/m^3 is ascribed to this material.

London Clay

The London Clay extends to some 60m depth. A bulk unit weight of this material has been taken to be 18 kN/m³. For the purposes of undrained foundation design this stratum has been assumed to be cohesive. The plot of undrained cohesion versus depth shown suggests here an average undrained cohesion is taken to be 55 kN/m² at the surface of the Clay (approximately +30 mOD) increasing at 5kN/m² per m depth.

(Red Circles denote Triaxial Compression Test results and blue crosses denote in-situ Standard Penetration Test results)

In the drained situation, an effective cohesion of zero may be used in conjunction with an effective angle of internal friction of 20 degrees.

Groundwater

The London Clay is assumed to be saturated, with an assumed piezometric surface at 1m depth.



Site: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL Client: Bellis Construction Ltd

LBH WEMBLE

6.2 Basement Construction

A key factor in the design of the new basement construction will be the need to preserve the stability of the adjacent buildings, tunnel and highway at all times, both during excavation and construction and in the permanent situation.

The perimeter wall of piling and additional internal piles (with low cut-offs) are to be installed following demolition of the existing buildings.

Temporary propping will then be installed at pile cap level to provide support to the perimeter walls, following which the excavation will commence using a bottom-up construction methodology. Once the basement is constructed, the permanent ground floor slab will be cast, which will act as permanent propping to the perimeter piling.

6.3 Piled Foundations

Piled foundations are to be adopted and these will transfer the new structural loading down into the London Clay Formation at depth.

To assist the initial assessment of pile capacity, preliminary graphs of Pile Safe Working Load (SWL) based on Combination 2 ULS GEO are appended for 450mm, 600mm and 750mm diameter piles. It should be these graphs do not take any account of any sleeving and are based upon an α -value of 0.4, N_c of 9 and conservative geotechnical parameters.

The advice of a specialist piling contractor should be sought both in the selection of pile type and to provide a suitable pile design for the proposed scheme.

It should be noted that the piles may encounter obstructions presented by previous buildings beneath the site footprint.

6.4 Basement Waterproofing

Groundwater was not encountered during the investigation within the depth of the proposed basement excavation. However, there is the potential for water to collect around the basement structure in the long term. Hence, the basement should be fully waterproofed and it will be necessary for the basement to be designed to withstand hydrostatic pressures in accordance with the guidance provided in BS8102:2009, Code of Practice for the Protection of Below-Ground Structures against Water from the Ground.

6.5 London Underground

A ground movement assessment of the northern line has been submitted to London Underground, and sets out mitigation of the potential risks occurring to the adjacent Chalk Farm station during demolition, excavation and construction. This has now been approved and the mitigation has been incorporated into the scheme.

7. Stage 4 - Impact Assessment

The screening and scoping stages have identified potential effects of the development on those attributes or features of the geological, hydrogeological and hydrological environment. This stage is concerned with evaluating the direct and indirect implications of each of these potential impacts.

7.1 Buried Infrastructure

A ground movement assessment of the northern line was previously prepared on the basis of a top-down form of construction.

The revised construction methodology will need to be agreed by London Underground.

7.2 Shrink / Swell

The results of the plasticity index testing have confirmed the London Clay beneath the site to be of high shrinkability. There are no tree-related issues at this site and the depth of the proposed construction will obviate concerns regarding seasonal movements.

7.3 Pedestrian Right of Way

Given the construction of a moderate stiffness basement retaining wall, as detailed in the section below, it is concluded that there will be no significant risk to the integrity of the adjacent highways or to the services that have been identified as lying beneath these and the pavements.

7.4 Neighbouring Buildings

The key factor to consider when undertaking a ground movement assessment for the development is that the design of the new basement construction will need to preserve the stability of the adjacent buildings, both during excavation and construction and in the permanent situation.

7.4.1 Neighbouring Structures

7.4.1.1 Salvation Army Citadel

Immediately northwest of the site is the Salvation Army Citadel. This is a modern building, constructed in 2002 and has no basement. Replacing an earlier brick built Salvation Army Citadel on the same site; the present building is two storeys in height with a barrel vaulted roof. It has no basement spaces apart from a small (half metre) deep area at its rear beneath the stage which houses a boiler room. The structural trial pits along the wall bounding this building have indicated that the party wall foundations extend to a depth of approximately 1 metre below ground level.

Site: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL Client: Bellis Construction Ltd

7.4.1.2 The Enterprise Pub

Immediately southeast of the site is The Enterprise Public House. This three storey brick pub is the same age as the houses that were originally on the site, and shares a matching façade to that of the Crogsland Road frontage of the site. It is believed to be of a brick construction and has a cellar across approximately three quarters of its footprint, with the remaining quarter being closest to the proposed basement excavation. In the area of no basement, the boundary wall foundations are approximately 1.3m deep, whilst the cellar itself extends to a depth of approximately 1.5m, and foundations are estimated to lie at approximately 2 metres depth.

7.4.1.3 Crogsland Road Façade

Although it appears that this façade has been retained through a previous rearrangement of the rear part of the existing building, the existing foundations to this wall are indicated to lie at less than 1m below street level. The structure will be supported while the new basement perimeter wall is installed immediately behind it. While the structure will be tied into the new building as part of the planned construction, some degree of inevitable movement is likely to affect this façade in the short term.



Site plan showing neighbouring structures (blue) assessed for the purpose of ground movement

7.4.2 Modelled Ground Conditions

Excavation of the basement will result in unloading of the clay leading to theoretical heave movement of the underlying soil in both the short and long term, depending upon any reapplication of loading.

An analysis of the movements has been carried for a modelled situation, based on a soil model devised from both published information on the London Clay and the results of the ground investigation. The soil layers of this model are detailed in the table below.

Analysis Layer:	Upper Boundary	Thickness (m)	Average Cu	Soil Stiffness (kN/m²)		
	(+m OD)	()	(kN/m²)	Eu	E'	
London Clay Formation	25.00	2	80	36000	20000	
London Clay Formation	23.00	5	90	40500	22500	
London Clay Formation	18.00	5	140	63000	35000	
London Clay Formation	13.00	5	175	78750	43750	
London Clay Formation	8.00	5	225	101250	56250	
London Clay Formation	3.00	5	275	123750	68750	
London Clay Formation	-2.00	5	325	146250	81250	
London Clay Formation	-7.00	13	375	168750	93750	
Assumed Rigid Boundary	-20.00					

The Undrained Modulus of Elasticity (Eu) has been based upon an empirical relationship of Eu = $450 \times Cu$, and the Drained Modulus of Elasticity (E') has been based upon an empirical relationship of $250 \times Cu$.

Poisson's Ratios of 0.5 and 0.1 have been used for short term (undrained) and long term (drained) conditions respectively.

Based on the above parameters and loading/unloading and ignoring any benefit gained from the loading of previous buildings on site, the potential vertical displacements and the post construction movements have been analysed.

The analysis uses classic modified Boussinesq elastic theory, assuming a fully flexible foundation applying a uniform loading/unloading to a semi-infinite elastic half-space, using the above parameters for stratified homogeneity and with the introduction of an assumed rigid boundary at approximately 45m depth (-20.00m OD).

The programme calculates the theoretical Boussinesq elastic stress increase/decrease due to the applied net loadings/unloadings (over the given loaded/unloaded areas) at the mid-level of each stratum.

Short-term and long-term displacements are then calculated at each calculation point for each stratum, using the given values of Stiffness Moduli and Poisson's Ratio of the whole area of the site on a 1m calculation grid.

7.4.3 Short Term Movements to Neighbouring Structures

There are three components of short term movements that will interact to affect the neighbouring structures. These are settlements and horizontal movements associated with the pile installation, settlements and horizontal movements behind the wall due to yielding of the completed wall as excavation in front of the wall proceeds and lastly vertical heave movements due to demolition and soil unloading as the excavation proceeds.

However, the heave movements due to demolition and soil unloading will have no impact on the neighbouring structures as the vertical heave movements will occur within the bored piled wall retaining area. Similarly, long term movements due to soil loading from the construction of the new building will also have no impact on the neighbouring structures.

7.4.3.1 Ground Surface Movements due to Installation of Piles

The ground surface movements arising from the installation of the bored pile retaining wall may be estimated using default values in CIRIA report C760.

It should be noted that the amount of predicted movement is related to the wall depth and that for the purposes of this assessment the predictions are made on the basis of a pile depth equivalent to 1.5 times the retained height.

The analysis suggests that as a result of pile installation, both the Salvation Army Citadel and The Enterprise Pub may experience up to 4mm of settlement each. The associated horizontal movement is predicted to be 4mm for each building.

7.4.3.2 Ground Surface Movements due to Demolition and Excavation

The potential effect of the planned basement excavations has been considered applying a net unloading of approximately -35kN/m² due to demolition of the existing building and -107kN/m² soil unloading in the basement area. This soil unloading increases to -125kN/m² in the area of the two deepened sections for the proposed lift shaft and sump.



LBH WEMBLEY ENGINEERING

Assumed 7m Soil Unload Area

Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL Site: Client: Bellis Construction Ltd



The analysis suggests that, by the time basement excavation is complete, up to 30mm of heave is likely to have taken place within the centre of the basement area.

Plan showing theoretical approximate short term heave (mm) due to demolition and soil excavation

7.4.3.3 Ground Surface Movements due to Pile Wall Yielding

The ground surface movements arising from excavation in front of the bored pile retaining wall and consequent yielding of the piled wall have been estimated using default values contained with CIRIA report C760.

The wall support has been assumed to be of moderate stiffness for the purpose of this assessment. With reference to Table 6.3 in C760, both the vertical and horizontal surface movements at the wall are assumed to be 0.3% of the maximum excavation depth. In addition, the curves present in Figure 6.13 allow for the profile of ground movements behind the wall to be estimated.

The analysis suggests that on the basis of a moderate stiffness wall, both the Salvation Army Citadel and The Enterprise Pub may experience up to 14mm of settlement each respectively. The associated horizontal movement is predicted to be up to 17mm for each structure.

7.4.4 Damage Assessment

In view of the settlements and horizontal movements described above, an assessment of the potential damage to the neighbouring structures has been made. This has been achieved using the methodology proposed by Burland as described in CIRIA C760 for ground movements associated with a bored piled retaining wall.

The deflection ratio (Δ / L) has been calculated from the predicted net movements at either end of the section under assessment.

The length (L) of the Salvation Army Citadel is assumed to be 15m with an approximate wall height (H) of 7.5m. The strain has been assessed over the full length of the building.

Similarly, the longer party wall of the Enterprise Pub has an assumed length of 20m and an approximate height of 13.5m. The side section of the party wall to the Enterprise Pub, emerging on Crogsland Road, has been assumed as 10m in length and an approximate height of 13.5m.

The strain has been assessed over the full length of the walls.



Site plan showing line of sections used for damage category assessment

7.4.4.1 Salvation Army Cital (Section A – A')

The maximum horizontal strain, $\mathcal{E}h (\delta h / L) = 0.095\%$, and the maximum deflection ratio $\Delta / L = -0.006$ have been calculated over the full length of the building.

Based upon Figure 6.25b for L / H = 2, the limiting strain to this structure is assessed as 0.105%, less than the upper bound of 'slight' (Burland Category 2).

7.4.4.2 The Enterprise Pub – Long Section (Section B – B')

The maximum horizontal strain, $Eh (\delta h / L) = 0.08\%$, and the maximum deflection ratio $\Delta / L = -0.05$ have been calculated over the full length of the building.

Based upon Figure 6.25b for L / H = 1.48, the limiting strain to this structure is assessed as 0.08%, less than the upper bound of 'slight' (Burland Category 2).

Site: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL Client: Bellis Construction Ltd

7.4.4.3 The Enterprise Pub – Short Section (Section C – C')

The maximum horizontal strain, $\&h(\delta h / L) = 0.088\%$, and the maximum deflection ratio $\Delta / L = -0.002$ have been calculated over the full width of the building.

Based upon Figure 6.25b for L / H = 0.7, the limiting strain to this structure is assessed as 0.085%, less than the upper bound of 'slight' (Burland Category 2).

7.4.5 Conclusion

In line with DP27, Camden will ensure that harm is not caused to neighbouring properties by basement development. CPG4 guidance states that it is a major objective of design and construction to maintain a level of risk to buildings no higher than Burland Category 2 'slight'.

Given the construction of a moderate stiffness basement retaining wall via the use of an appropriate amount of propping, the above analysis suggests that the worst potential for damage to the neighbouring structures is expected to be limited to 'slight'.

Nevertheless, the piled basement retaining wall design should be designed and maintained in as rigid a state as is possible through the installation of appropriate propping prior to any excavation and the installation of additional propping as necessary as the excavation proceed, with the intention of allowing negligible deflection and yielding at any level.

7.4.6 Long Term Movements

Following excavation of the new basement, loading will be reapplied to the soil as a result of the weight of the new structure. This will be transferred to the London Clay by means of the piles progressively as the structure is built.

However, it is evident that there is a mismatch between the weight of soil that is to be removed during the basement excavation and the weight of the new structure that is to replace this. In this situation there will inevitably be a component of long term heave movement that could proceed for several decades.

While there is some scope for this movement to be manifested into inside the basement excavation, it is not envisaged that there will be discernible on-going heave outside the new basement retaining walls.

The analysis suggests that owing to the net unloading in the permanent situation following construction, an additional 50mm of heave could occur beneath the building. In practice this figure will be significantly reduced by the effected of the re-loading at depth due to the new piled foundations.



Plan showing theoretical approximate post construction heave (mm) due to demolition and soil excavation

7.5 Monitoring

The monitoring plan is to be sufficiently robust to enable mitigation to be effectively implemented in the event of agreed trigger values for vertical and horizontal movement being exceeded at agreed monitoring positions. During the actual basement excavation stage both start of shift and end of shift measurements will be necessary in order for movements to be checked and, in the event of any adverse movement, for the contingency plan to be effected sufficiently quickly to prevent the excessive movement to either the neighbouring properties.

The plan will make it clear what emergency measures or mitigation may be required to be implemented in the event of an exceedance and will demonstrate the availability of the required resources. The plan will also identify exactly who will have the responsibility for implementing the plan.

It is anticipated that the piling and subsequent excavation will in practice be separated by a number of weeks. This period will provide an opportunity for the ground movements due to piling to be assessed and for the ground movement analysis to be reviewed prior to the main excavation taking place so that propping proposals can be adjusted if required

7.6 Residual Impacts

Given the mitigation measures afforded by the construction methodology that has been described, it is concluded that the proposed basement development will have no residual unacceptable impacts upon the surrounding structures, infrastructure and environment.

8. Conclusion

This BIA has demonstrated that each of the potential impact and issues can be satisfactorily addressed through the use of appropriate engineering design and construction measures, and that the proposed construction can be successfully completed without detriment to the environment, flooding or ground instability.

Having reviewed the adequate design and construction methodology, it is envisaged that the basement construction will have no significant detrimental impact on the stability of the neighbouring structures and can be achieved without any cumulative impact.



Site: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL Client: Bellis Construction Ltd

Appendix

Ground Investigation Factual Data

Burland Damage Assessment Diagrams



LBH WEMBLEY Geotechnical & Environmental

PROJECT:	Marine Ices	, 4-8 Haverstock	k Hill, Camd	en, NW3 2	2BL	LBH4278	BOREHOLE BH1		
BORING	METHOD):	Low headr	oom cutdo	own cable p	ercussion drilling rig	Date:		
GROUN	D WATER	:	Borehole dry on completion						
	(6)			inonaction					
KEWAR	\5 .		Standpipe	installed to	o 9.00m on	completion. Top seal 0.2m to	2m. Bottom seal 10m to 9m. Response		
			zone 2m to	o 9m.					
			Ground lev	/el = +30.7	2mOD				
Sam	nples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description		
No	Type	m			m	MADE GROUND (Concrete)			
1	D	0.30	С		0.15	MADE GROUND (Light brow	n clayey sand with gravel and scattered		
2	П	0.50				brick and concrete fragments	s)		
3	B	0.50-1.00							
4 5	D	1.50 1.50	С		1 50				
6	Ū	1.50-1.95				Firm to stiff orange-brown an	d mottled grey silty CLAY		
7	D	2.00							
8	D	2.50	С						
	SPT	2.80	N=9						
9	D	2.95							
				[]					
10	U	3.50-3.95							
11	D	4.00							
12	SPT D	4.80 4.95	N=15						
		J							
	U=Undistur	bed	I RF			Y Geotechnical	& Environmental		
Sheet No:	B= Bulk	d							
1	W=Water	-							

PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ices SRE Haver	s, 4-8 Haverstoc stock Hill Ltd	k Hill, Camd	en, NW3 2	2BL	LBH4278	BOREHOLE BH1				
BORING	METHOD	D:	Low headr	oom cutdo	wn cable p	ercussion drilling rig	Date:				
GROUN	D WATER	:	Borehole d	Borehole dry on completion 17/11/14							
	(0)		Hond dug	increation	nit to 1.2m						
REWAR	\0 .		Standpipe	installed to	9.00m on	completion. Top seal 0.2m to 2	m. Bottom seal 10m to 9m. Response				
			zone 2m to	9m.							
			Ground lev	Ground level = +30.72mOD							
Sam	nples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description				
NO	Туре	m			m	Firm to stiff orange-brown and	mottled grey silty CLAY				
12		6 00 6 45									
15	0	0.00-0.45									
14		6 50									
14	D	0.50									
	SPT	7 50-7 95	N=23			- Becoming stiff					
15	D	7.95	11-20								
16	U	9.00-9.45									
17	D	9.50			9.50	Stiff arey silty CLAY					
	- Indictur	bed									
	B= Bulk	550	LBF	I WE	MBLE	Y Geotechnical	& Environmental				
Sheet No:	D=Disturbe	d									
2	W=Water										

PROJECT:	Marine Ices	s, 4-8 Haverstock	LBH4278	B				
BORING	METHO	D:	Low headr	oom cutde	percussion drilling rig		Date:	
GROUNI) WATEF	<u></u>	Borehole dry on completion					
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>							
REMARK	(S:		Hand-dug Standpipe zone 2m to Ground lev	inspectior installed t 9m. rel = +30.7	n pit to 1.2m o 9.00m on 72mOD	n completion. Top seal 0.2m tc	o 2m. Bottom sea	al 10m to 9m. Response
Sam	ples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description	
No	Туре	m			m			
18	SPT D	10.50-10.95 10.95	N=25					
19	U	12.00-12.45		 				
20	D	12.50						
21	SPT D	13.50-13.95 13.95	N=34			- Becoming very stiff		
22	U	15.00-15.45						
Sheet No:	B= Bulk	i Jeu	LBF	I WE	MBLE	EY Geotechnica	l & Envir	onmental
3	W=Water	5 U						

PROJECT:	Marine Ices	s, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camde	en, NW3 2	2BL	LBH4278	B	OREHOLE			
BORING	METHOE	STOCK HIII Ltd		Date:							
0.501.00	-						17/11/14				
GROUN	DWATER		Borehole dry on completion								
REMAR	KS:		Hand-dug i Standpipe zone 2m to Ground lev	nspectior installed t 9m. el = +30.7	n pit to 1.2m o 9.00m on 72mOD	n completion. Top seal 0.2m to	o 2m. Bottom se	al 10m to 9m. Response			
San	nples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description				
No	Туре	m	10010	Logona	m		Description				
23	D	15.50				Very stiff grey silty CLAY					
24	SPT D	16.50-16.95 16.95	N=38								
25	U	18.00-18.45		 							
26	D	18.50									
27	SPT D	19.50-19.95 19.95	N=41	 							
Sheet No: 4	U=Undisturbed B= Bulk LBH WEMBLEY Geotechnical & Environmental Sheet No: D=Disturbed 4 W=Water										

PROJECT:	Marine Ices	s, 4-8 Haverstock	B	OREHOLE BH1				
BORING	METHO):	Low head	room cutdo	own cable p	percussion drilling rig		Date:
GROUNI	D WATER	R:	Borehole dry on completion 17/11/14					
REMARK	(S:		Hand-dug Standpipe zone 2m te	inspection installed to 9m.	ı pit to 1.2n o 9.00m or	n completion. Top seal 0.2m to	o 2m. Bottom se	al 10m to 9m. Response
			Ground le	vel = +30.7	2mOD			
Sam No	ples Type	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description	
	1900					Very stiff grey silty CLAY		
28	U	21.00-21.45						
29	D	21.50						
30	SPT D	22.50-22.95 22.95	N=45					
31	U	24.00-24.45						
32	D	24.50						
Sheet No: 5	U=Undistur B= Bulk D=Disturbe W=Water	rbed	LB	H WE	MBL	Y Geotechnica	ıl & Envii	ronmental

	SRE Have	s, 4-8 Haverstock	BOREHOLE BH1					
BORING	G METHOD: Low headroom cutdown cable percussion drilling rig						Date:	
GROUND WATER:			Borehole dry on completion					17/11/14
REMARI	KS:		Hand-dug inspection pit to 1.2m Standpipe installed to 9.00m on completion. Top seal 0.2m to 2m. Bottom seal 10m to 9m. Response zone 2m to 9m.					
Ground level = +30.72mOD								
Sar No	nples Type	Depth m	Tests	Legend	Depth m		Description	
33	SPT D	25.50-25.95 25.95	N=50			Very stiff grey silty CLAY		
34	U	27.00-27.45						
35	D	24.50						
36	SPT D	28.50-28.95 28.95	N=47					
37		30 00-20 45						
U=Undisturbed B= Bulk LBH WEMBLEY Geotechnical & Environmental Sheet No: D=Disturbed 6 W=Water								

PROJECT: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL LBH4278 CLIENT: SRE Haverstock Hill Ltd								OREHOLE BH1	
BORING	METHOD):	Low headr	oom cutde	own cable p	ercussion drilling rig		Date:	
GROUNE	WATER	:	Borehole dry on completion						
REMARK	S:		Hand-dug inspection pit to 1.2m Standpipe installed to 9.00m on completion. Top seal 0.2m to 2m. Bottom seal 10m to 9m. Response zone 2m to 9m. Ground level = +30.72mOD						
Samples Depth			Tests	Legend	Depth		Description		
No	Туре	m			m				
38	D	30.50		 	30.50				
38	D	30.50			30.50				
	U=Undisturl	bed							
Sheet No: 7	B= Bulk D=Disturber W=Water	d	LBH	I WE	MBLE	Y Geotechnica	ll & Envi	ronmental	

PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ices	s, 4-8 Haverstoc stock Hill Ltd	BOREHOLE BH2						
BORING	METHOD):	Low head	oom cutde	own cable p	ercussion drilling rig	Date:		
GROUNI	D WATER	:	Borehole dry on completion 21/11/14						
REMAR	(S:		Hand-dug inspection pit to 1.2m Standpipe installed to 17.00m on completion. Top seal 0.2m to 2m. Bottom seal 18m to 17m. Response zone 2m to 9m.						
			Ground le	vel = +30.	72mOD				
Sam	nples Type	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description		
4		0.05			0.45	MADE GROUND (Concrete)			
1	D	0.25	С		0.15	MADE GROUND (Dirty brow	n clayey sand with gravel and brick and		
2	П	0.50				concrete fragments)			
3	B	0.50							
4	D	1.50	C						
	2	1.00	Ũ		1.50				
						Firm to stiff orange-brown a	nd mottled grey silty CLAY		
_		0 50 0 05							
5	U	2.50-2.95							
6	D	3.00							
	SPT	3.50-3.80	N=13						
7	D	4.00							
8	U	4.50-4.95							
9	D	5.00							
	U=Undistur	bed		1.10/-					
Sheet M-	B= Bulk		LB	I WE	IVIBLE	Geotechnica	i & Environmental		
Sheet NO:	D=Disturbe	d							
PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ices	, 4-8 Haverstoc stock Hill Ltd	k Hill, Camde	en, NW3	2BL	LBH4278	BOREHOLE BH2		
---------------------	---------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------	---------------	------------------------------	---		
BORING	METHOD):	Low headro	oom cutd	own cable p	ercussion drilling rig	Date:		
GROUN	O WATER	:	Borehole d	ry on con	npletion		21/11/14		
REMARK	(S:		Hand-dug i	nspectio	n pit to 1.2m				
			Standpipe i zone 2m to	9m.	to 17.00m o	n completion. Top seal 0.2m	to 2m. Bottom seal 18m to 17m. Response		
			Ground lev	el = +30.	72mOD				
Sam	iples Type	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description		
110	1,00					Firm to stiff orange-brown a	nd mottled grey silty CLAY		
10	SPT D	6.00-6.30 6.50	N=18			- Becoming stiff			
11	U	7.50-7.95							
12	D	8.00							
13	SPT D	9.00-9.45 9.50	N=23	 	9.50	Stiff grey silty CLAY			
				 		· · ·			
	U=Undistur	bed	IRL			V Geotechnica	& Environmental		
Sheet No:	B= Bulk	d	LDL						
2	W=Water	-							

PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ice SRE Have	s, 4-8 Haverstoc	k Hill, Camd	len, NW3	2BL	LBH4278	BOREHOLE BH2
BORING	METHO	D:	Low headr	room cutdo	own cable	percussion drilling rig	Date:
GROUN) WATEF	R:	Borehole	dry on corr	npletion		<u> </u>
REMARK	S:		Hand-dug Standpipe zone 2m tr Ground le	inspection installed t o 9m. vel = +30.	o 17.00m o 72mOD	n completion. Top seal 0.2m	to 2m. Bottom seal 18m to 17m. Response
Sarr	ples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description
No	Туре	m		 	m	Stiff grey silty CLAY	
14	U	10.50-10.95					
15	D	11.00					
16	SPT D	12.00-12.45 12.50	N=30			- Occasional layers of clays	tone
17	U	13.50-13.95		 			
18	D	14.00					
Sheet No:	U=Undistur B= Bulk D=Disturb	rbed	LBł	- WE	MBLI	EY Geotechnica	& Environmental

PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ice SRE Have	s, 4-8 Haverstoc rstock Hill Ltd	k Hill, Camd	en, NW3	2BL	LBH4278	BC	DREHOLE BH2
BORING	METHO	D:	Low headr	oom cutdo	own cable	percussion drilling rig		Date:
GROUN	O WATE	R:	Borehole of	Iry on com	pletion			21/11/14
REMAR	KS:		Hand-dug Standpipe zone 2m to Ground lev	inspection installed t o 9m. /el = +30.7	o pit to 1.2r o 17.00m o 72mOD	n on completion. Top seal 0.2m	to 2m. Bottom so	eal 18m to 17m. Response
San	nples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description	
110	Турс					Stiff grey silty CLAY		
19	SPT D	15.00-15.45 15.50	N=34			- Becoming very stiff		
20	U	16.50-16.95						
21	D	17.00		 				
	SPT	18 00-18 45	N=37					
22	D	18.50	11-57					
23	U	19.50-19.45						
24	D	20.00						
	U=Undistu	rbed	LBF	I WE	MBL	Y Geotechnica	& Envir	onmental
Sheet No: 4	D=Disturbe W=Water	ed						

PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ices SRE Haver	s, 4-8 Haverstocl	k Hill, Camd	en, NW3	2BL	LBH4278	B	OREHOLE BH2
BORING	METHOD):	Low headr	oom cutde	own cable	percussion drilling rig	L	Date:
GROUN) WATEF	₹:	Borehole d	Jry on corr	npletion			21/11/14
REMARK	<u>(S:</u>		Hand-dug i Standpipe zone 2m to Ground lev	inspection installed t o 9m. vel = +30.	pit to 1.2r to 17.00m (72mOD	n on completion. Top seal 0.2m	1 to 2m. Bottom :	seal 18m to 17m. Response
Sam	iples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description	
INU	Туре	m	+	+		Very stiff grey silty CLAY		
25	SPT D	21.00-21.45 21.50	N=41					
26	U	22.50-22.95						
27	D SPT D	23.00 24.00-24.45 24.50	N=45					
	1. Undietuu							
Sheet No:	D=Disturbe W=Water	bea ed	LBF	1 WE	MBL	EY Geotechnica	al & Envir	ronmental

PROJECT:	Marine Ice	s, 4-8 Haverstock	k Hill, Camd	en, NW3	2BL	LBH4278	В	OREHOLE BH2
BORING	METHO	D:	Low headr	oom cutd	own cable	percussion drilling rig		Date:
GROUNI	D WATEI	R:	Borehole d	iry on con	npletion			21/11/14
REMARK	(S:		Hand-dug i Standpipe zone 2m to Ground lev	inspectior installed t o 9m. vel = +30.	pit to 1.2r to 17.00m (72mOD	n on completion. Top seal 0.2m	to 2m. Bottom	seal 18m to 17m. Response
Sarr	nples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description	
No	Туре	m	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	m			
29	U	25.50-25.95		 		Very stiff grey slity ULA t		
30	D	26.00		 				
31	SPT D	27.00-27.45 27.50	N=54					
32	U	28.50-28.95						
33	D	29.00						
	U=Undistu B= Bulk	irbed	LBŀ	- WE	MBL	EY Geotechnica	al & Envi	ronmental
Sheet No:	D=Disturbe W=Water	ed						

PROJECT: CLIENT:	Marine Ice SRE Have	s, 4-8 Haverstock	k Hill, Camd	en, NW3	2BL	LBH4278	BO	REHOLE BH2		
BORING	METHO	D:	Low headr	oom cutd	own cable p	ercussion drilling rig		Date:		
GROUNI	O WATE	R:	Borehole d	Iry on con	npletion		I	דו וו 1/11		
REMAR	<u>(S:</u>		Hand-dug Standpipe zone 2m to Ground lev	inspection installed t o 9m. vel = +30.	n pit to 1.2m to 17.00m o .72mOD	n completion. Top seal 0.2m	1 to 2m. Bottom se	al 18m to 17m. Response		
Sam	nples	Depth	Tests	Legend	Depth		Description			
34	SPT D	30.00-30.45 30.50	N=50	 		Very stiff grey silty CLAY	/ silty CLAY			
Sheet No:	U=Undistu B= Bulk D=Disturb W=Water	irbed	LBŀ	1 WE	MBLE	Y Geotechnica	al & Enviro	onmental		

Project Na	ime:	Marine le	ces, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL	Samples Received: 19/11/2014					K4 SOILS		
					Project Sta	arted:	26/11	/2014			
Client:		LBH We	mbley	004	Testing St	arted:	01/12	/2014	Soils		
Project No): 	LBH427	B Our job/report no: 17	891	Date Repo	rted:	02/12	/2014)		
Borehole No:	Sample No:	Depth (m)	Description	Moisture content (%)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Passing 0.425 mm (%)	Remarks		
BH1	D	11.00	Dark grey silty CLAY	26	77	24	53	100			
BH2	D	2.00	Brown and dark brown slightly gravelly silty CLAY with traces of fine brick fragments (gravel is fine and sub-angular)	30	73	23	50	98			
	BS 1377 BS 1377	: Part 2 : : Part 2 :	Summary of Test Res Clause 4.4 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone p Clause 5 : 1990 Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity in Clause 5 : 1990 Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity in	sults penetrometrindex.	er metho	d.			Checked and Approved Initials: K.P Date: 01/12/2014		
2519	BS 1377	: Part 2 :	Clause 3.2 : 1990 Determination of the moisture content by the	oven-dryin	g metho	d.					
Test Repo	rt by K4 S	SOILS LA	BORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts W	/D18 9RU							
Test Results re All samples co	st Results relate only to the sample numbers shown above. Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr) samples connected with this report, incl any on 'hold' will be stored and disposed off according to Company policy. Accord of this policy is available on request.										

Project Name: Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL								
Client:		LBH We	Our job no: 17891		Soils			
Borehole	Sample	Depth	Description	рН	Sulphate content			
NO:	INO:	m			(g/I)			
BH1	D	0.50	Reddish brown sandy GRAVEL (gravel is fmc brick and concrete fragments)	8.4	3.05			
BH1	D	12.50	Dark grey silty CLAY	8.2	0.97			
BH2	D	2.00	Brown and dark brown slightly gravelly silty CLAY with traces of fine brick fragments (gravel is fine and sub-angular)	7.9	1.98			
BH2	D	14.00	Dark grey silty CLAY	8.1	0.49			
Data			Summary of Test Results		Checked and			
01/12/2014			BS 1377 : Part 3 :Clause 5 : 1990		Initials : kp			
		De	etermination of sulphate content of soil and ground water : gravimetric method					

Client :			LBH Wembley		Our Job/repor	rt no:	17891	Samples Rec	: 19/11/20	D14 Testing S	started: 03	/12/2014
Project r	name:		Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL		Project No:	LBH42	278	Project Starte	d: 26/11/20	Date repo	orted: 05	/12/2014
BH / TP No	Sample no / ref	Sample depth (m)	Description	Moisture content (%)	Bulk Density (Mg/m3)	Dry density (Mg/m3)	Cell Pressure (kPa)	Strain at failure (%)	Max Deviator Stress (kPa)	Mode of failure	Shear Strength (kPa)	Phi (deg)
BH1	U	1.50 - 1.95	Medium strength brown slightly blue grey mottled silty CLAY	31	1.99	1.52	30	5.1	98	Brittle	49	NA
BH1	U	3.50 - 3.95	Medium strength fissured brown slightly blue grey mottled silty CLAY	34	1.90	1.42	70	8.1	146	Brittle	73	NA
BH1	U	6.00 - 6.45	High strength slightly fissured brown silty CLAY with occasional selenite	32	1.91	1.45	120	5.1	169	Brittle	84	NA
BH1	U	9.00 - 9.45	Very high strength fissured dark grey brown silty CLAY	27	1.96	1.54	180	7.6	326	Brittle	163	NA
BH1	U	12.00 - 12.45	Very high strength fissured dark grey brown silty CLAY	28	2.01	1.57	17	5.1	546	Brittle	273	NA
BH1	U	15.00 - 15.45	Very high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	27	2.00	1.57	300	6.1	322	Brittle	161	NA
BH1	U	18.00 - 18.45	Extremely high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	27	1.95	1.53	360	6.6	643	Brittle	322	NA
BH1	U	21.00 - 21.45	Very high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY - REMARKS - Sample was disturbed	26	1.94	1.54	420	13	308	Compound	154	NA
BH1	U	24.00 - 24.45	Very high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	25	2.02	1.62	480	6.0	343	Brittle	172	NA
BH1	U	27.00 - 27.45	Very high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	26	2.00	1.59	540	6.0	573	Brittle	287	NA
BH1	U	30.00 - 30.45	Extremely high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	25	2.02	1.61	600	9.0	990	Brittle	495	NA
BH2	U	2.50 - 2.95	High strength brown silty CLAY	31	1.94	1.48	50	7.5	163	Brittle	82	NA
BH2	U	4.50 - 4.95	Medium strength brown mottled blue grey silty CLAY	34	1.88	1.40	90	6.5	144	Brittle	72	NA
K4 SO	OILS		Summary of Undrained Tr	iaxial (Compress	ion Testir	ng				Checked approv	ed
K	SOILS	Test F	${\sf BS}$ 1377 : Part 7 Results relate only to the sample numbers shown above. All samples connected with this report, incl any o	I Clause 8 n 'hold' will be st	: 1990 ored and disposed off ac	cording to company poli	cy. A copy of this	oolicy is available on req	uest.		Initials	kp
Test Report	by K4 SOILS LAB	ORATORY Unit 8	3 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford WD18 9RU Approved Signatories:	K.Phaure	(Tech.Mgr) J.Phau	ure (Lab.Mgr)				2519		

Client :			LBH Wembley		Our Job/repo	rt no:	17891	Samples Rec	: 19/11/20	014 Testing S	started: 03	3/12/2014
Project r	name:		Marine Ices, 4-8 Haverstock Hill, Camden, NW3 2BL		Project No:	LBH42	278	Project Starte	d: 26/11/20	D14 Date repo	orted: 05	5/12/2014
BH / TP No	Sample no / ref	Sample depth (m)	Description	Moisture content (%)	Bulk Density (Mg/m3)	Dry density (Mg/m3)	Cell Pressure (kPa)	Strain at failure (%)	Max Deviator Stress (kPa)	Mode of failure	Shear Strength (kPa)	Phi (deg)
BH2	U	7.50 - 7.95	High strength fissured brown silty CLAY with occasional orange brown silt partings and occasional selenite crystals	31	1.89	1.45	150	5.5	191	Brittle	95	NA
BH2	U	10.50 - 10.95	High strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	29	1.89	1.47	210	7.1	217	Brittle	109	NA
BH2	U	13.50 - 13.95	Very high strength fissured dark grey CLAY	28	1.97	1.54	270	4.5	417	Brittle	209	NA
BH2	U	16.50 - 16.95	High strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY - REMARKS - Sample was disturbed	28	1.98	1.55	330	6.1	189	Brittle	94	NA
BH2	U	19.50 - 19.95	Extremely high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	28	2.02	1.58	390	4.5	639	Brittle	319	NA
BH2	U	22.50 - 22.95	Extremely high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	26	1.91	1.52	450	9.6	683	Brittle	341	NA
BH2	U	25.50 - 25.95	High strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY	25	1.90	1.52	510	6.1	233	Brittle	116	NA
BH2	U	28.50 - 28.95	Very high strength fissured dark grey silty CLAY with light grey fine sand partings	24	1.99	1.61	570	9.6	555	Brittle	277	NA
K4 SC	K4 SOILS Summary of Undrained Triaxial Compression Testing Checked and approved											d and ved
(\mathbf{K})	-)		BS 1377 : Part	7 : Clause 8	: 1990						Initials	kp
	JOILS	Test I	Results relate only to the sample numbers shown above. All samples connected with this report, incl any o	on 'hold' will be st	ored and disposed off ac	cording to company poli	cy. A copy of this	policy is available on req	uest.	U K A S TESTING		
Test Report	by K4 SOILS LAE	BORATORY Unit 8	3 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford WD18 9RU Approved Signatories:	K.Phaure	(Tech.Mgr) J.Phau	ure (Lab.Mgr)				2519		

K4 SOILS		Report of l	Undraine	d Triax	cial Com	pressio	n Test	
Soils		E	BS 1377 : F	Part 7 : 1	990 Claus	e 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haversto	ck Hill, Camden, NV	V3 2BL		Sample	es Receive	d: 19/11/2	2014
					Projec	Started:	26/11/2	2014
Client: LBH Wembley	79 O ur	ich /roport no:	17801		Testing Date P	g Started:	03/12/2	2014
BH / TP no: BH1	<u> </u>	ple no:	U		Date R	(m): 1.	50	2014
Soil Description: Med	dium strength brow	vn slightly blue grey	mottled silty C	CLAY	1	<u> </u>		
Sample Deta	ails	Specimen	1					
Sample Condi	tion		Undisturbe	d			<u> </u>	
Height		mm	198.0				vith	
Diameter		mm	102.0					ble
Moisture Conte	ent	%	31				tati	sam
Bulk Density		Mg/m³	1 99				rio L	Jal
Drv Densitv		Ma/m ³	1.50					rigir
Test Details		5	1.02				a L	0
Membrane Thi	ckness	mm	0.2				citi C	₽ -
Membrane Co	rrection	kPa	0.26				Do o	3
Rate of Axial C	Displacement	%/min	2.02					
Cell Pressure	lopiacomon	kPa	2.02					
Strain at Failur		% %	50				Shoor	Strongth
Maximum Dev	iator Stress	kPa	0.1				Para	meters
Shear Strengt		k Pa	98					
Modo of Epilur		Ki d	49 Brittlo				C Dhi	49 KPa
would be railur	e		DIILLIE				Phi	0.0
100 80 40 20 20 -20 25 20 15 15			Strair	3 1 - %	4	5		6
ັດ 5		100 150 200	0 250 Normal Stree	300 3 s - kPa	50 400	450 5	00	
K4 SOILS LABORA Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford, Tel:01923711288 Fax: E-mail: k4soils@aol.com All samples connected with this report	ATORY Herts, WD18 9RU. 01923711311 ort, incl any on 'hold' will be	Approved Signa J.Phaure(Lab.Mg Test results relate only e disposed off according to C	atories: K.F gr) y to the sample nu	Phaure(Ter	ch.Mgr) above zv is available on re	Checked and Initials: Date:	d Approved kp 05/12/2014 1/R9 Sheet 2/2	

K4 SOILS		Report of Undrained Triaxial Compression Test							
Soils		E	BS 1377 : P	art 7 : 19	990 Claus	e 8.0			
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NV	V3 2BL		Sample	es Received	1: 19/11/2	2014	
					Project	Started:	26/11/2	2014	
Client: LBH Wembley		luonort no.	17901		Testing	g Started:	03/12/2	2014	
BH / TP no: BH1	Sample	no:	U		Date R Depth	eportea: (m): 3.5	50	2014	
Soil Description: Me	dium strength fissure	d brown slightly b	lue grey mottle	d silty CLA	AY	().			
Sample Deta	ails	Specimen	1						
Sample Condi	tion		Undisturbe	ł			.5	E	
Height		mm	198.0				wit!		
Diameter		mm	102.0				ion	hple	
Moisture Cont	ent	%	34				ta ta	sar	
Bulk Density		Mg/m³	1.90				orie	inal	
Dry Density		Mg/m³	1.42					orig	
Test Details								he	
Membrane Th	ickness	mm	0.2	7			sitic	t t	
Membrane Co	prrection	kPa	0.38				L L	-	
Rate of Axial	Displacement	%/min	2.02						
Cell Pressure		kPa	70						
Strain at Failu	re	%	8.1				Shear	Strength	
Maximum Dev	viator Stress	kPa	146				Para	meters	
Shear Strengt	h	kPa	73				с	73 kPa	
Mode of Failur	re		Brittle				Phi	0.0 °	
160 140 120 • 100 80 50 60 40 20 0 -20		2 3	4 Strain	5	6	7	8	9	
20 م	00								
кр 									
s 15 s 3	50								
Str.									
hear									
	50								
J	~ /								
	0								
	0 50 10	0 150 20	0 250 S Normal Stress	300 35 s - kPa	60 400	450 5	00		
		Approved Sign	atories: K.P	naure(Tec	h.Mar)	Checked and	Approved	برغمر	
Unit 8, Olds Close. Watford.	Herts, WD18 9RU.	J.Phaure(Lab.Me	gr)			Initiale	kn		
Tel:01923711288 Fax	:01923711311			bore chower	abovo	Data:	κμ 05/12/2014	[(>≮) [k	
E-mail: k4soils@aol.com All samples connected with this rep	ort, incl any on 'hold' will be dis	posed off according to C	y to the sample hun company Policy. A co	bers shown a	is available on re	quest. MSF-1	1/R9 Sheet 2/2		





K4 SOILS		Report of	Undraine	d Triaxial	Compress	sion Test	
Soils		E	BS 1377 : P	art 7 : 1990	Clause 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NV	V3 2BL		Samples Rece	eived: 19/11/2	2014
					Project Starte	d: 26/11/2	2014
Client: LBH Wembley	70 0	. <i>In</i>	17001		Testing Starte	d: 03/12/2	2014
Project no: LBH42 BH / TP no: BH1	78 Ourjo Sampl	b /report no:	U		Date Reported	12.00	2014
Soil Description: Ve	ry high strength fissu	red dark grey brow	vn silty CLAY		Deptil (iii).	12.00	
Sample Det	ails	Specimen	1				
Sample Cond	ition		Undisturbe	b			
Height		mm	198.0			+:	
Diameter		mm	102.0				
Moisture Cont	ent	%	28			10 to	sam
Bulk Density		Mg/m ³	2.01				al al a
Dry Density		Mg/m ³	1.57				rigir
Test Details			1.07				0
Membrane Th	ickness	mm	0.2			Č.	t d
Membrane Co	prrection	kPa	0.26				
Rate of Axial I	Displacement	%/min	2.02				
	Bioplacomon	kPa	2.02				
Strain at Failu	r0	۲ A	17			Cheer	Chron oth
Maximum Dov	ietor Stross	/0 kPo	5.1			Shear	Strength
Shoor Strongt	halor Siless	кга	546			Fala	
Shear Strengt		кра	273 Drittle			C	273 kPa
wode of Fallu	re		Brittle			Phi	0.0 °
600 500 400 200 100 -100 50 -100 50 -100 50 -100 50 -100 -10			3 Strain	- %	4	5	6
	0 100 20	00 300 40	0 500 0	500 700	800 900	1000	
			Normal Stress	s - kPa			
		Approved Sign	atories: K.P.	haure(Tech_M	ar) Chacka	d and Approved	بىۋىر
Unit 8. Olds Close Watford	Herts, WD18 9RU	J.Phaure(Lab M	ar)		Joinic La		
Tel:01923711288 Fax F-mail: k4soils@aol.com	::01923711311	Test results relate onl	y to the sample num	nbers shown above	Date:	5. кр 05/12/2014	2515

UKAS TESTING

K4 SOILS		Report of U	Jndrained	Triaxial (Compressio	n Test	
Soils		E	3S 1377 : Par	t 7 : 1990 C	Clause 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NV	/3 2BL	5	Samples Received	I: 19/11/2	014
				F	Project Started:	26/11/2	014
Client: LBH Wembley		h leanart na	17901	1	Testing Started:	03/12/2	014
BH / TP no: BH1	Sampl	e no:	U	י ר	Denth (m): 15	.00	014
Soil Description: Ver	y high strength fissu	red dark grey silty	CLAY				
Sample Det:	ails	Specimen	1				
Sample Condi	tion	Specimen	Indisturbed	1		c	
Height		mm	198.0			vithi	
Diameter		mm	190.0			N L	ole
Moisture Cont	ent	%	102.0			tatic	am
Bulk Density		Ma/m ³	21			ient	als
Dry Donsity		Mg/m ^a	2.00			d or	igin
Toot Details		Mg/III*	1.57]		ane	o
Membrone Th	iolyn o o o		0.0	1		tion	the
	ickness	mm	0.2			osi	
Membrane Co	rrection	кРа	0.30			ш	
Rate of Axial L	Displacement	%/min	2.02				
Cell Pressure		kPa	300				
Strain at Failu	re	%	6.1			Shear S	Strength
Maximum Dev	iator Stress	kPa	322			Parar	neters
Shear Strengt	h	kPa	161			C ·	161 kPa
Mode of Failur	e		Brittle			Phi	0.0 °
3300 250 250 150 150 0 -50 100 -50 100 80 80 80 80 80 80 20 20		2	3 Strain -	4 %		 ◆ 6 	7
	0 1 1 1	 0 600 800) 1000 120 Normal Stress -	0 1400 1 kPa	600 1800 200] 00 2200	
K4 SOILS LABOR	ATORY	Approved Signa	atories: K.Pha	ure(Tech.Mg	Checked and	Approved	GİD
Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford, Tel:01923711288 Fax E-mail: k4soils@aol.com	Herts, WD18 9RU. 01923711311	J.Phaure(Lab.Mo	gr)	ers shown above	Initials: Date:	kp 05/12/2014	2519

UKAS TESTING





K4 SOILS		Report of I	Undrained	Triaxial C	compressio	on Test	
K SOILS		E	3S 1377 : Pai	rt 7 : 1990 C	lause 8.0		
Project name: Marine	e Ices, 4-8 Haverstoc	k Hill, Camden, NV	V3 2BL	S	amples Receive	d: 19/11/2	014
				Р	roject Started:	26/11/2	014
Client: LBH Wembley	, 070 0 i	ah /ranart na	17801	Т	esting Started:	03/12/2	014
BH / TP no: BH1	278 Ourje Samp	ble no:	U		epth (m): 2	4.00	014
Soil Description: Ve	ery high strength fiss	ured dark grey silty	CLAY				
Sample De	tails	Specimen	1				
Sample Cond	dition		Undisturbed			c	
Height		mm	201.0			vithi	
Diameter		mm	102.0			- uc	ple
Moisture Cor	ntent	%	25			itatio	am
Bulk Density		Ma/m ³	2.02			rien	ala
Dry Density		Ma/m³	1.62			o q	igi
Test Detail	s	g ,	1.02			an	e 0
Membrane T	hickness	mm	0.2	1		itior	÷
Membrane C	Correction	kPa	0.20			Pos	
Rate of Avial	Displacement	%/min	0.29			_	
		/o/min	1.99				
Strain at Fail		N d	480			Cheer	
Strain at Fair Movimum Do	ule Wieter Strees	70 k Do	6.0			Snear 3	Strength
Shoor Strong		кга	343			Fala	
Shear Streng	jtn	кра	172 Drivite				172 kPa
Mode of Fail	ure		Brittle			Phi	0.0 °
350 300 - 250 200 150 100 50 -50		2	3		5	6	7
			Strain -	%			
10							
c							
a							
1 	800						
ess.							
Sti	100						
hea							
N S	200						
2							
	0						
	0 200 4	00 600 800) 1000 120 Normal Stress -	00 14 ⁰ 0 16 • kPa	500 1800 20	000 2200	
K4 SOILS LABOR	ATORY	Approved Signa	atories: K.Pha	aure(Tech.Mgr	Checked ar	nd Approved	င်္ဂသ
Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford	d, Herts, WD18 9RU.	J.Phaure(Lab.Mo	gr)		Initials:	kp	
Tel:01923711288 Fa E-mail: k4soils@aol.com	ax:01923711311	Test results relate onl	y to the sample numb	ers shown above	Date:	05/12/2014	
All samples connected with this re	eport, incl any on 'hold' will be	disposed off according to C	ompany Policy. A copy	of this policy is availal	ble on request. MSF-	11/R9 Sheet 2/2	U K A S TESTING

K4 SOILS		Report of U	Jndrained	Triaxial Co	ompressio	n Test	
Soils		E	BS 1377 : Pa	rt 7 : 1990 Cla	use 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NV	/3 2BL	Sar	nples Receive	d: 19/11/2	2014
				Pro	ject Started:	26/11/2	2014
Client: LBH Wembley	79 Our i o	h /ronort nos	17801	Tes	ting Started:	03/12/2	2014
BH / TP no: BH1	Samp	le no:	U	Dat	th (m): 27	7.00	.014
Soil Description: Ver	y high strength fissu	red dark grey silty	CLAY				
Sample Deta	ails	Specimen	1	_			
Sample Condi	tion		Undisturbed			L	
Height		mm	201.0			with	
Diameter		mm	102.0			ion	nple
Moisture Cont	ent	%	26			ntat	sar
Bulk Density		Mg/m³	2.00			orie	inal
Dry Density		Mg/m³	1.59			pu	origi
Test Details				-		on a	he
Membrane Th	ickness	mm	0.2			sitic	÷
Membrane Co	rrection	kPa	0.29			Po	
Rate of Axial	Displacement	%/min	1.99				. <u></u>
Cell Pressure		kPa	540				
Strain at Failu	re	%	6.0			Shear	Strength
Maximum Dev	iator Stress	kPa	573			Parar	meters
Shear Strengt	h	kPa	287			С	287 kPa
Mode of Failur	е		Brittle			Phi	0.0 °
700 600 500 300 200 100 -100 100 100 40 20 20 20			3 Strain -	4 %	5	6 6 00 2200	7
K4 SOILS LABOR / Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford, Tel:01923711288 Fax E-mail: k4soils@aol.com	0 200 40 ATORY Herts, WD18 9RU. :01923711311	Approved Signa J.Phaure(Lab.Mg Test results relate only	Normal Stress	• kPa aure(Tech.Mgr) ers shown above	Checked an Initials: Date:	d Approved kp 05/12/2014	



		Report of l	Jndrained	Triaxia		pressio	on Test	
SOILS		E	BS 1377 : Pa	rt 7 : 199	0 Claus	e 8.0		
Project name: Marine lo	ces, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NW	/3 2BL		Sample	es Receive	d: 19/11/2	2014
Client: I BH Wemblev					Project	Started:	26/11/2	2014
Project no: LBH4278	8 Our job	/report no:	17891		Date R	eported:	05/12/2	2014
BH / TP no: BH2	Sample	e no:	U		Depth ((m): 2.	50	
Soil Description: High	n strength brown silty	CLAY						
Sample Deta	ils	Specimen	1	_				
Sample Conditi	ion		Undisturbed					E
Height		mm	201.0				tivvi	
Diameter		mm	102.0					
Moisture Conte	ent	%	31				to to	sar
Bulk Density		Mg/m³	1.94				ci.	nal
Dry Density		Mg/m³	1.48				τ 2	prigi
Test Details								
Membrane Thio	ckness	mm	0.2	7			citio Citio	
Membrane Cor	rection	kPa	0.35				č D	<u>5</u>
Rate of Axial D	isplacement	%/min	1 99					
Cell Pressure		kPa	50					
Strain at Failure	۵	%	50 7 5				Shoor	Strongth
Maximum Devi	ator Stress	kPa	1.0				Para	meters
Shear Strength		kPa	163					
Mode of Epilure		КГА	82 Brittle					82 KPa
	ŧ		Diillie				Phi	0.0
180 - 140 - 140 - 120 - 100 - 100 - 20 - 0 - 20			4 Strain	5 - %	6	7	8	
C	0 50 10	0 150 200) 250 3	00 350	400	450 5	500	
		I	Normal Stress	- kPa				
K4 SOILS LABORA	TORY	Approved Signa	atories: K.Ph	aure(Tech.	Mgr)	Checked an	d Approved	cîa
Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford, F Tel:01923711288 Fax:0	Herts, WD18 9RU. 01923711311	J.Phaure(Lab.Mg	Ir)	ars shown abo		Initials:	kp 05/12/2014	

Test results relate only to the sample numbers shown above

All samples connected with this report, incl any on 'hold' will be disposed off according to Company Policy. A copy of this policy is available on request. MSF-11/R9 Sheet 2/2

E-mail: k4soils@aol.com

Date:

UK





K4 SOILS		Report of U	Jndraine	d Triax	ial Com	pressio	n Test	
Soils		E	BS 1377 : F	Part 7 : 19	90 Claus	e 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	k Hill, Camden, NV	/3 2BL		Sample	es Received	I : 19/11/2	2014
Cliant , I BH Wembley					Project	Started:	26/11/2	2014
Project no: LBH42	78 Our io	b /report no:	17891		Date R	eported:	04/12/2	2014
BH / TP no: BH2	Samp	le no:	U		Depth ((m): 10	.50	
Soil Description: Hi	gh strength fissured o	dark grey silty CLA	Y					
Sample Det	ails	Specimen	1					
Sample Conc	lition		Undisturbe	ed			Lin	
Height		mm	198.0				wit	a
Diameter		mm	102.0				tion	h
Moisture Con	tent	%	29				nta	sai
Bulk Density		Mg/m³	1.89				orie	lina
Dry Density		Mg/m³	1.47				and	orig
Test Details	6						i uo	the
Membrane Th	nickness	mm	0.2				ositi	2
Membrane C	orrection	kPa	0.34				۵.	
Rate of Axial	Displacement	%/min	2.02					
Cell Pressure	•	kPa	210					
Strain at Failu	ire	%	7.1				Shear	Strength
Maximum De	viator Stress	kPa	217				Parar	meters
Shear Streng	th	kPa	109				С	109 kPa
Mode of Failu	ire		Brittle				Phi	0.0 °
250 200 150 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5		2	3 Strain	4 1 - %	5	6	7	8
K4 SOILS LABOR	0 100 2	Approved Sign	0 500 Normal Stres atories: K.F	600 70 s - kPa Phaure(Tec	0 800 h.Mgr)	900 10	I Approved	_ & _
Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford Tel:01923711288 Fa E-mail: k4soils@aol.com All samples connected with this re	I, Herts, WD18 9RU. x:01923711311 port, incl any on 'hold' will be c	J.Phaure(Lab.Mg	gr) y to the sample nu ompany Policy. A c	mbers shown a	bove is available on red	Initials: Date:	kp 05/12/2014 1/R9 Sheet 2/2	

K4 SOILS		Report of l	Jndrained	d Triaxia	I Compre	ssion	Test	
Soils		E	BS 1377 : Pa	art 7 : 199	0 Clause 8.	0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NV	V3 2BL		Samples R	eceived:	19/11/20	014
					Project Sta	rted:	26/11/20	014
Client: LBH Wembley	70 O ur ish	lucu out u o	17001		Testing Sta	rted:	04/12/20)14
BH / TP no: BH2	Sample	no:	U		Depth (m):	13.5	03/12/20	<u></u>
Soil Description: Ver	ry high strength fissur	ed dark grey CLA	Y.		Dob (11):		-	
Sample Deta	ails	Specimen	1	_				
Sample Condi	ition		Undisturbed	k			hin	
Height		mm	198.0				wit	Φ
Diameter		mm	102.0				tion	Idm
Moisture Cont	ent	%	28				enta	sa
Bulk Density		Mg/m ³	1.97				orie	lina
Dry Density		Mg/m ³	1.54				and	orig
Test Details							on å	the
Membrane Th	ickness	mm	0.2				ositi	
Membrane Co	prrection	kPa	0.23				Å	
Rate of Axial	Displacement	%/min	2.02					
Cell Pressure		kPa	270			_		
Strain at Failu	re	%	4.5				Shear S	Strength
Maximum Dev	viator Stress	kPa	417				Paran	neters
Shear Strengt	h	kPa	209				C 2	209 kPa
Mode of Failur	re		Brittle				Phi	0.0 °
450 400 350 - 300 Stress 200 150 100 50 0 -50		1 1.5	2 2.5 Strain	5 3 - %	3.5	4	4.5	5
100	00							
08 70	00							
A A A								
s 60	00							
Str								
104 Jean	00							
<u>م</u>								
20								
	0 200 40	D 600 800) 1000 12 Normal Stress	200 1400 s - kPa	1600 180	2000	2200	
K4 SOILS LABOR	ATORY	Approved Signa	atories: K.Pł	naure(Tech.I	Mgr) Che	cked and A	pproved	GÍD -
Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford, Tel:01923711288 Fax	Herts, WD18 9RU. :01923711311	J.Phaure(Lab.Mg	gr)		Init	ials:	kp	
E-mail: k4soils@aol.com	ort, incl any on 'hold' will be dis	Test results relate only posed off according to C	y to the sample num ompany Policy. A cop	bers shown above by of this policy is a	ve Da	te: 05 MSF-11/R	/12/2014 9 Sheet 2/2	

K4 SOILS		Report of l	Jndrained	Triaxial	Compressio	on Test	
Soils		E	BS 1377 : Pa	rt 7 : 1990	Clause 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haverstock	Hill, Camden, NV	V3 2BL		Samples Receive	ed: 19/11/2	2014
					Project Started:	26/11/2	2014
Client: LBH Wembley			47004		Testing Started:	03/12/2	2014
Project no: LBH42/ BH / TP no: BH2	78 Our jo Sampl	b /report no:	17891		Date Reported:	6.50	:014
Soil Description: Hig	h strength fissured c	lark grey silty CLA	Y - REMARKS -	Sample was	disturbed	0.00	
	-						
Sample Deta	ails	Specimen	1				
Sample Condi	ition	•	Undisturbed	1		.9	
Height		mm	198.0			vith	
Diameter		mm	102.0			- uo	ble
Moisture Cont	ent	%	28			Itati	sam
Bulk Density		Ma/m³	1.98			rier	al s
Dry Density		Mg/m ³	1.55			o pe	rigir
Test Details		5	1.00	1		ar	0
Membrane Th	ickness	mm	0.2	7		sitio	5
Membrane Co	prrection	kPa	0.30			Pos	
Rate of Axial	Displacement	%/min	2.02				
Cell Pressure		kPa	2.02				
Strain at Failu	re	%	61			Shear	Strength
Maximum Dev	viator Stress	kPa	190			Para	meters
Shear Strengt	h	kPa	109				
Mode of Failur	re	Ki d	94 Brittle			Dhi	94 KPa
Node of Failur			Diffie]		FIII	0.0
200 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			3 Strain	4 %		6	7
	0 100 20	00 300 400	0 500 60 Normal Stress	0 700 - kPa	800 900 -	1000	
K4 SOILS LABOR	ATORY Herts, WD18 9RU.	Approved Signa	atories: K.Pha	aure(Tech.Mg	gr) Checked a	nd Approved	
Tel:01923711288 Fax E-mail: k4soils@aol.com All samples connected with this rep	:01923711311 ort, incl any on 'hold' will be d	Test results relate only isposed off according to C	y to the sample numb ompany Policy. A copy	ers shown above of this policy is ava	Date:	05/12/2014 -11/R9 Sheet 2/2	





7

K4 SOILS		Report of U	Jndrained	Triaxial	Compressi	on Test	
Soils		E	3S 1377 : Pai	t 7 : 1990	Clause 8.0		
Project name: Marine	Ices, 4-8 Haversto	ck Hill, Camden, NV	/3 2BL		Samples Receive	ed: 19/11/2	014
Client: I BH Wembley					Project Started:	26/11/2	014
Project no: LBH427	78 Our	iob /report no:	17891		Date Reported:	04/12/2	014
BH / TP no: BH2	Sam	ple no:	U		Depth (m): 2	25.50	-
Soil Description: Hig	h strength fissured	dark grey silty CLA	Y				
Sample Deta	ails	Specimen	1	_			
Sample Condi	tion		Undisturbed			Li	
Height		mm	198.0			with	0
Diameter		mm	102.0			tion	hple
Moisture Conte	ent	%	25			nta	sar
Bulk Density		Mg/m³	1.90			orie	inal
Dry Density		Mg/m³	1.52			and	orig
Test Details				_		on â	the
Membrane Thi	ckness	mm	0.2			ositi	-
Membrane Co	rrection	kPa	0.30			Ц Ц	
Rate of Axial D	Displacement	%/min	2.02				
Cell Pressure		kPa	510				
Strain at Failur	е	%	6.1			Shear	Strength
Maximum Dev	iator Stress	kPa	233			Parar	neters
Shear Strength	า	kPa	116			С	116 kPa
Mode of Failur	е		Brittle			Phi	0.0 °
250 200 150 100 50 0 -50 100 80 80 80 80 20		2	3 Strain -	4 %	5		7
	0 - 200		1000 100	0 1400	1600 1900 0		
	0 200	400 600 800	Normal Stress	0 1400 kPa	1600 1800 2	000 2200	*
K4 SOILS LABORA Unit 8, Olds Close, Watford, Tel:01923711288 Fax: E-mail: k4soils@aol.com	AIORY Herts, WD18 9RU. 01923711311	J.Phaure(Lab.Mg	atories: K.Pha gr) y to the sample number	ers shown above	Unitials: Date:	nd Approved kp 05/12/2014	

K4 SOILS				Rep	ort of	Undra	ained	Tria	xial C	om	press	ion T	est		
K	ſ					BS 137	77 : Pa	rt 7 : '	1990 C	laus	e 8.0				
Project name: Mar	ine lo	ces, 4-8 Hav	verstock	Hill, Ca	mden, N	W3 2BL			s	ample	es Recei	ved:	19/11/	/2014	
									P	roject	Started		26/11/	/2014	
Client: LBH Wemble	ey	-	0			17001			T	esting	g Started		04/12	/2014	
Project no: LBH BH / TP no: BH2	14278	5	Sampl	b /repoi	rt no:	U				enth (eportea: (m)·	28.50	05/12/	/2014	
Soil Description:	Very	high streng	gth fissu	red dark	grey silt	CLAY	with light	t grey fir	ne sand	parting	gs	20.00			
Sample D)eta	ils		Sp	ecimen		1								
Sample Co	ondit	ion				Undi	sturbed							⊆ –	
Height					mm	19	98.0							with	
Diameter					mm	1(02.0							lon	
Moisture C	onte	ent			%	5	24						-	san	
Bulk Densi	ty				Mg/m ³	³ 1	.99							nal	
Dry Density	у				Mg/m ³	³ 1	.61						-	nd o rrigi	
Test Deta	ails													n a Je c	
Membrane	Thio	ckness			mm	n (0.2						:	tl	
Membrane	Cor	rection			kPa	0	.43						Ĺ	ร้	
Rate of Axi	ial D	isplaceme	nt		%/mir	1 2	.02							l	
Cell Pressu	ure				kPa	a 5	570								
Strain at Fa	ailur	е			%		9.6						Shear	Stren	ath
Maximum [Devi	ator Stress	6		kPa	a 5	555						Para	ameter	s
Shear Stre	ngth				kPa		277					С		277 k	Pa
Mode of Fa	ailure	9				В	rittle					Ph	i	0.0 °	
						Spec	imen 1								
6	500 ·													_	
a 5	500 ·										+				
Å Å	100 -														
S S	100			x **											
itres	300 ·		_												
5 5	200 -													-	
riat	100 ·													_	
Ď	0 -	</td <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>												_	
-1	100 -											-			
	(0	2		4		6		8			10		12	
							Strain	- %							
	1000)								1					
	800)													
kРа															
- ss	600)								-					
Stre															
ear	400)													
Sh															
	200)											-+		
				/	1										
	C	0 20	0 40	<u> </u>	100 80	0 100	00 12	00 14	+ 100 16	+ 500	1800	2000	µ 2200		
		2 20			0	Normal	l Stress	- kPa					00		
				Anne	Nod Cia-	atorica		auro/T-	och Ma-	<u> </u>	0				*
National Close Worth	JKA	Herts WD18	RII		aure(Lab M	lar)	. N.FA	aure(16	soning	,	Unecked	and Appr	oved	-	
Tel:01923711288	Fax:0)1923711311		J.F11		ישי)					Initials:	Kp		-(>	ية (≯ 1
E-mail: k4soils@aol.com All samples connected with thi	n is repoi	rt, incl any on 'ho	ld' will be di	I est resi	uits relate or according to	liy to the sa	ampie numi olicy. A cop	oers shown	n above icy is availa	ole on red	quest. MS	U5/12 SF-11/R9 S	∠/∠U14 Sheet 2/2		

BURDA Ver 1.0 Feb 17 Page 1 of 2

Reference: LBH 4278 Site: Marine Ices Section: Salvation Army Date of analysis: 19th May 2017 Project Engineer: RL

The damage category can be assessed from the calculated horizontal strain and deflection ratio of a "beam" under hogging or sagging.

Length of wall
Height of wall
Horiz. deflection

Vert. deflection

L =	15	m
H =	7.5	m
Δ _{horiz =}	14.2	mm
Δ =	1	mm

х	У	distance	Vert. mov'nt	Horiz.
		from wall		mov'nt
m	m	m	mm	mm
22.5	45	1	17.8	20.2
22.5	52.5	7.5	10	13.3
22.5	60	15	3.7	6





BURDA Ver 1.0 Feb 17 Page 1 of 2

Reference: LBH 4278 Site: Marine Ices Section: Enterprise Pub (Haverstock Frontage) Date of analysis: 19th May 2017 Project Engineer: RL

The damage category can be assessed from the calculated horizontal strain and deflection ratio of a "beam" under hogging or sagging.

Length of wall
Height of wall

Horiz. deflection Vert. deflection



х	У	distance	Vert. mov'nt	Horiz.
		from wall		mov'nt
m	m	m	mm	mm
40	20	1	17.8	20.2
30	20	10	8.2	11.4
20	20	20	0.6	4.2





BURDA Ver 1.0 Feb 17 Page 1 of 2

Reference: LBH 4278 Site: Marine Ices Section: Enterprise Pub (Crogsland Frontage) Date of analysis: 19th May 2017 Project Engineer: RL

The damage category can be assessed from the calculated horizontal strain and deflection ratio of a "beam" under hogging or sagging.

Length of wall Height of wall
U U

Horiz. deflection Vert. deflection

L =	10	m
H =	13.5	m
Δ _{horiz =}	8.8	mm
Δ =	0.2	mm

х	У	distance	Vert. mov'nt	Horiz.
		from wall		mov'nt
m	m	m	mm	mm
40	30	1	17.8	20.2
40	25	5	13.5	16.3
40	20	10	8.2	11.4




LBH WEMBLEY Geotechnical & Environmental