

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2383/P	David Rosie & Melissa Deco	2 Chetwynd Road London NW5 1BU	24/05/2017 21:06:50	OBJ	We wish to object to this planning application for Grove End House. We think that the general look of what is proposed is not sympathetic to the existing structure. The proposal to do the white render on the south elevation is ugly. We are unhappy that it will change the sightline from our house. We do not want to be able to see into their proposed extension and we most certainly do not want them to be able to see into our house, which they will be able to. We are concerned that if this application is granted it will set a precedent for our lovely area. There is a creeping gentrification which will destroy the character of the area. We do not want to live in an area with big locked gates. The mish mash of architectural styles proposed is not attractive or in keeping with the existing structure in our opinion. We are concerned also about the detrimental effect on our neighbours who live in Chetwynd Villas who will be very overlooked in their gardens if this goes ahead.
2017/2383/P	David Kohn	38 Spencer Rise London NW51AP	24/05/2017 21:26:57	COMMNT	I supported the first application for this scheme and wish to confirm my support again. The 'before' and 'after' views show a big improvement from Chetwynd Road and Highgate Road
2017/2383/P	David Kohn	38 Spencer Rise London NW51AP	24/05/2017 21:27:13	COMMNT	I supported the first application for this scheme and wish to confirm my support again. The 'before' and 'after' views show a big improvement from Chetwynd Road and Highgate Road
2017/2383/P	Birkin Haward	1c Laurier road London NW5 1SD	24/05/2017 14:57:29	OBJ	I am a local architect and I object to this application because I think it is over development and out of character with the conservation area

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2383/P	Jeremy McDonald	1 Chetwynd Villas Chetwynd Road London NW51BT	24/05/2017 13:23:15	COMMEM PER	<p>We live in 1 Chetwynd Villas next door to Grove End House. We object to the proposal because it will add very significantly to overlooking (from immediately above) into and onto an already very overlooked and crowded space. The rear windows of the houses and the gardens of Chetwynd Villas are overlooked from every side (Grove End House and Cumberland Villa on Highgate Road and houses in Dartmouth Park Road and Chetwynd Road).</p> <p>The first awareness we had a year ago of new neighbours in Flat 6, Grove End House was the appearance of people and the sound of voices and laughter as drinks parties took place immediately above our garden on the terrace of flat 6, Grove End House. Previous occupants of the flat had never used the terrace in that way. We fear that if permission is given for a new floor and large glass doorway leading onto the terrace, the already high level of noise, overlooking and light pollution at the backs of these houses will be significantly increased.</p> <p>In addition, we fear that the building of a new higher floor in GEH will increase to an unacceptable level overlooking into bedroom windows on the first and second floors of our house. Accompanying this increase in noise and overlooking, raising the roof height would reduce already minimal winter sunlight at the rear of our house.</p> <p>We also object in principle to the proposed development because of the stylistically inappropriate and structurally questionable nature of the planned new floor. We know Grove End House very well, having lived on the ground floor in the 1990s. The demolition of the existing mansard roof and its replacement by a stylistically jarring alternative would damage the fabric and integrity of a listed building. Introducing steel girders to support a new top floor could cause irreparable damage to the internal and structural integrity of a beautiful and relatively fragile building which is listed and in a conservation area.</p> <p>Jeremy McDonald, Felicity Edholm, 1 Chetwynd Villas, NW51BT</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2383/P	Rae Fether for the Chetwynd and Twisden Roads R As	Lower Maisonette 110 Chetwynd Road	24/05/2017 17:59:15	OBJ	<p>We strongly object to this planning application and listed building consent. The objections of residents of Chetwynd Villas and First House Dartmouth Park Road, who are in the immediate vicinity and most affected by this application, are totally relevant and supported.</p> <p>This scheme for an enlarged second floor and an extra third floor will have a significant and harmful impact on residents of Chetwynd Road. It is surprising that of all the viewpoints in the Section 5 "Impact Proposals" of the Design and Access Statement a longer view back from the Chapel along Chetwynd Road, the road on which this building abuts, has not been included. Had this view been modeled it would have shown that the third floor was visible and together with the cliff like vertical wall of the enlarged second floor making the extra bulk of the proposal over dominant and oppressive. The existing height and size of the south elevation is already somewhat dominant in relation to the scale of the smaller houses in Chetwynd Road but its natural render helps it quietly blend with its surroundings. Proposed white render on an enlarged elevation would be strident and jarring.</p> <p>The modern design of the proposed third floor is incompatible with this important and much appreciated listed building in our neighbourhood. The vertical wall of the second floor replacing the sloping mansard roof is an extremely uncomfortable and dissonant element in the scheme.</p> <p>These proposals do not preserve and enhance this listed building in its setting of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.</p>
2017/2383/P	Joanna van Heyningen	1c Laurier Road London NW5 1SD	24/05/2017 15:36:48	OBJ	I object to this planning application because this fine building has already been compromised by extensions that were made to it in the 1960s, and this proposal seriously exacerbates that harm. I think it is important for Camden to reject this application
2017/2383/P	Billy Boyle	64 Chetwynd Road	24/05/2017 14:25:43	COMMNT	I supported the previous submission as I believe that this impressive building would certainly benefit from the planned works. There is clearly a huge amount of detailed work here that demonstrates a conscientious and sensitive approach. I look forward to seeing it realised.