Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	M L McClew	93 parlaiment hill mansions lissenden gardens london nw51nb	25/05/2017 00:31:41	OBJLETTE R	I oppose the installation of these masts on 3 grounds The installation will impact on both tenants and residents if they want to move. Tenants who wish to swap will find it harder to do so and leaseholders will see the value of their homes drop. This is because the jury is out on the full impact of these masts on the human body. There are still too many research papers arguing for and against so there is no definitive answer yet. Also as we know things can take decades to show up. It was thought for a long time that smoking was harmless for example, Also that diesel fumes were Ok. So the health issue is my second ground for objection. Thirdly we live in a conservation area and the proposed installation is unacceptable aestheticallyOf the three reasons why I object health is the one that concerns me the most. I don't see how camden can risk being sued for placing these masts on people's homes if in the years to come it is found that those who develop cancer/other health problems spent their childhoods living under such installations. Camden could find themselves in big trouble. For this reason alone Camden should think very carefully about erecting mobile phone masts on top of any residential building.
2017/1353/P	Edwin Keen	Flat 74 Lissenden Mansions Lissenden Gardens NW5 1PR NW5 1PR	24/05/2017 23:43:55	COMNOT	As a fourth floor resident of Lissenden Mansions and having a postgraduate degree in networks and communications I learnt of this planning application with dismay. Like many in the scientific community I am deeply concerned about the electrical smog we are inflicting on the general population and our children in general. Mobile phone towers are significant contributors to the level of radiation received and unlike individual mobile phones are active 24/7. Exposure to the frequencies now in use and the communication patterns and encoding methods currently used has not been adequately researched and of the studies carried out some show extremely worrying trends. Several of these are listed on the website emwatch.com including a london study where the cancer rate of the top floor residents (closest to the tower) was 10 times the national average. Neurophysiologist Dr Keith Baxendale fears the masts are exposing thousands of youngsters to potentially dangerous levels of radiation and called for such masts to be banned within a mile of schools and nurseries whereas the current proposal is close to three schools. I would also point out that this proposal is totally out of keeping with the lovely buildings and shows disregard to the conservation status of the area.
2017/1353/P	Clio David	40 Glenhurst Ave London	25/05/2017 07:08:14	COMMNT	I strong object to this on all grounds - health issues being the main one, as I am dealing with cancer and don't need this added stress and health hazard. I want the community to have the chance to stop this from going forward. It was only a month ago the bid was put in, and that is not adequate time for the community to find out and respond. This is a very underhand tactic and we want to be fully informed about how we can stop this from happen

Printed on: 25/05/2017

09:10:02

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 25/05/2017 09:10:02 Response:
2017/1353/P	Clio David	40 Glenhurst Ave London	25/05/2017 07:08:12	COMMNT	I strong object to this on all grounds - health issues being the main one, as I am dealing with cancer and don't need this added stress and health hazard. I want the community to have the chance to stop this from going forward. It was only a month ago the bid was put in, and that is not adequate time for the community to find out and respond. This is a very underhand tactic and we want to be fully informed about how we can stop this from happen
2017/1353/P	Clio David	40 Glenhurst Ave London	25/05/2017 07:07:59	COMMNT	I strong object to this on all grounds - health issues being the main one, as I am dealing with cancer and don't need this added stress and health hazard. I want the community to have the chance to stop this from going forward. It was only a month ago the bid was put in, and that is not adequate time for the community to find out and respond. This is a very underhand tactic and we want to be fully informed about how we can stop this from happen
2017/1353/P	John O'Rourke	4 Salcombe Lodge Lisenden Gardens NW5 1LZ	25/05/2017 09:01:19	ОВЈ	I wish to object to the proposed installation of these antennas. The proposal in unsightly and out of character in relation to the area. Lissenden Gardens is in a conservation area and the proposal makes a mockery of that. I also believe that there are health risks associated with such antennas.
2017/1353/P	Oona Corke	14 salcombe lodge	25/05/2017 07:58:55	OBJ	I object to the installation of these mobile telephone masts. I live with my young son in the block of flats next to Chester Court. We have a petition of over 100 immediate neighbours' signatures to present as objection.
2017/1353/P	Janine Brand	26 Glenhurst Avenue	24/05/2017 17:04:49	COMMEM PER	No way should this be allowed to go ahead. Not only would the masts be eyesores, but they will be located very near to Gospel Oak primary school, and we have yet to have definitive evidence that mobile phone masts do not affect children's health.
2017/1353/P	Janine Brand	26 Glenhurst Avenue	24/05/2017 17:04:38	COMMEM PER	No way should this be allowed to go ahead. Not only would the masts be eyesores, but they will be located very near to Gospel Oak primary school, and we have yet to have definitive evidence that mobile phone masts do not affect children's health.
2017/1353/P	Nicola Stabb	46 Lissenden Mansions Lissenden Gardens London NW5 1PR	24/05/2017 23:28:50	OBJ	I strongly disapprove of the proposal to install telephone antennas on Chester Court, firstly since this is a conservation area and they will be an eyesore. Secondly, on health grounds, especially with densely populated Lissenden Gardens Estate, three schools very close by, and overlooking the Lido used by 100s of people in the summer.

A	Committee Norm	Carrando a Addus	Danisal.	G	Printed on: 25/05/2017 09:10:02
Application No: 2017/1353/P	Consultees Name: Naznene Sparrow	Consultees Addr: 74 Lissenden Mansions Lissenden Gardens London NW5 1PR	Received: 24/05/2017 23:04:55	COMMNT	As a leaseholder of a top floor flat in a block opposite Chester Court, I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on the roof of Chester Court. Firstly, I am extremely concerned about the associated health risks, as there as there would be children living in the vicinity of the installation. The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly, this is very out of keeping with the style and character of the surrounding buildings. Chester Court is in a conservation area and I feel that this will greatly compromise the character and the appearance of the conservation area. There appears to have been no consultation with residents of the neighbourhood about the proposed installation.
2017/1353/P	peter	3 lissenden gardens	24/05/2017 22:58:22	ОВЈ	its not clear what the inpact of these mast is on human health it can manifest after many years. But its not rocket science to understand that high frequencies are not very healty or beneficial for a balanced state of mind. Its a subtle way of pollution.
2017/1353/P	Karin Oleinikova	66 Lissenden Mansions Lissenden Gardens London NW5 1PR	24/05/2017 22:02:41	OBJ	As a local resident (living in Lissenden Mansions, opposite Chester court), I object to the installation of these antennas. Firstly, I do not feel they are in keeping with the local area and therefore unsuitable in a Conservation Area. Secondly, and more importantly, I am very concerned about the unknown health impact of having such antennas in our vicinity, especially as we have children. Thirdly, I am surprised that this planning application does not seem to have been on Camden's planning emails, which I receive. I still have the email dating back to 27/04/17 (2 days after this application) and it makes no mention of this plan. I am shocked that I receive all sorts of news about tree pruning and other minor issues, but that something as contentious as this is not included. It feels like information is being purposely withheld from residents. I therefore only found out about the planning application through our residents' and tenants' association.
2017/1353/P	S Moss	9 Glenhurst Rd NW5 1PT	24/05/2017 17:40:55	OBJ	I object to this application because it would be extremely unsightly in a conservation area and I am not convinced that there would be no health risks to those in the immediate locality.