Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2454/L	Sasha Turnbull	91 Belsize Lane Nw35au	18/05/2017 14:18:00	OBJEMPER	I object to the proposed extension to the second floor on several grounds.

1. This a re-submission of a plan previously denied by Camden Council in 2012 (see delegated report notes on application 2012/1189/P). Revised plans were subsequently submitted, with the new bathroom to the front of the house instead, for which planning was then granted. So we ask that these revised plans are the ones submitted again in this case.

2. No doubt the extension planning originally raised concerns given it is located just over 1m (1m 10cm) from no 91's kitchen/sitting room and master bedroom windows, with the added storey causing serious overshadowing for most of the daylight hours, as it is the dwelling is North facing. The rear windows are the only natural light sources in those rooms, so the ensuing loss of light would mean these currently light, pleasant living rooms would be cast in dark gloominess. The terrace, as 91's only outdoor space, would also become overshadowed for a good part of the day.

3. The (re-)proposed rear extension also seriously affects the downstairs flat (91a), again causing severe loss of light via overshadowing to the rear rooms, which are already semi-boxed in by the existing lower-level rear extension, and taking the very little light that exists in that area of the garden.
The owner of 91a, Mr Eric Harbor, would like me to raise objection on his behalf, too.

While I can empathise with the need to modernise and add extra amenities for quality of living, this should be achieved without negatively impacting the quality of living of others in neighbouring properties.

Before completing purchase of this property in 2014 and checking for neighbouring planning applications, we were reassured that building onto the rear extension would never be given planning, so trust that this decision still holds.