

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1804/P	Dr Angela Pathiraja	Flat 2 27 Hillfield Road London	18/05/2017 15:09:16	COMMNT	To whom this may concern, Re - Planning application 2017/1804/P

I have reviewed your plans online, and additionally discussed the plans in depth with your onsite project manager Adam on 16th May 2017. I am writing to inform you that I have no objections to the erection of the single storey rear extension itself. However, as the owner of the first floor flat next door, at 27 Hillfield Road, I am concerned by the size of this extension. I specifically have 2 issues which I would like to raise:

1) the proposed height of 3 meters is much higher than the current roof of property 25's ground floor rear, and much higher than the fence dividing properties 27 and 25. Consequently the overall appearance of the rear of the building will completely change, and importantly will no longer be in keeping with the rest of the properties on the road. In addition to this, the new height of the horizontal wall of the extension will mean that the extension will now encroach on the edge of our bedroom window and cause a significant visual obstruction. If structurally possible, I would prefer for this wall to be slanted as it comes off the rear of the building, and ideally have a maximum height that is equal to the current height of the current rear section of property 25.

2) The proposed length of this extension of 5 meters is once again much longer than I would feel comfortable with. As aforementioned, none of the adjacent or nearby properties have rear extensions which extend this far back. To make matters worse, as the proposed extension would not only be far longer, but additionally much taller than current extensions nearby, the resulting large and noticeable new extension will become an "eye-sore" for those in their gardens, as well as all those around in their back rooms or rear bedrooms (ourselves included). For these reasons, I feel very unhappy about the length of this extension. I would much rather it be a maximum length of 3 meters, so that it still affords a decent space within the extension, without overtly affecting the overall views for all the neighbours in the immediate vicinity.

In summary, although I have no objections to the erection of an extension at the rear of 25 Hillfield road, I believe that its current dimensions are going to negatively impact on the overall external appearance of the rear of the properties along our side of Hillfield road. When you consider the existing external views as well as the extensions of the nearby residences, none of them have been built either to this height or to the length that is being proposed. Therefore I would appreciate it if the following amendments could be made:

-height of the whole extension to be reduced to a maximum height that is the same as the current height of the roof at the rear of property 25

-the walls to be slanted along the sides of the extension that come in contact with the windows of the neighbouring properties

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

-the length of the extension to be reduced to 3meters at the most.

Many thanks for considering my comments. I look forward to hearing back from you in due course with your responses *** as well as notification of the committee date, so that I can make arrangements to attend and give my comments in person***.

Yours sincerely,
Dr Angela Pathiraja
