

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	Lucy Chapman	4 chester court Lissenden gardens Nw5 1LY	18/05/2017 22:10:08	OBJEMPER	We object to this planning application on the grounds that we believe there is a health risk as well as being obtrusive and ugly and would therefor lower the value of our property !
2017/1353/P	Peter Drinkell	3 Chester Court Lissenden Gardens London NW5 1LY	18/05/2017 16:19:47	OBJ	<p>1 - The visual aspect on the photomontages look very out of keeping with the style and skyline of the buildings around and underneath the ariels and I would think that this is unsuitable for our conservation area.</p> <p>2 - Being on the top floor of the block, my home is directly underneath one of the proposed antennas. To my knowledge, there is no clear record of adequate and updated insulation and resurfacing of the roof at Chester Court. Therefore, this would raise questions as to the suitability and sound condition of a fully prepared surface for the installation of such antennas.</p> <p>3 - I understand the proposals conform to current international guidelines on radio frequency emission. However, being directly underneath the antennas, I would still have concerns over any potentially subtle and unaccountable effects from emissions, as mentioned in your supporting document, "Health and Mobile Phone Base Stations". The document specifies: "Published in May 2000, the Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects, although it is acknowledged that biological effects might occur below these values."</p>
2017/1353/P	Nick Duffell	6 Chester Court Lissenden Gardns London NW5 1LY	18/05/2017 17:25:27	OBJ	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of this block I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on our roof.</p> <p>The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly, this is very out of keeping with the style and character of the surrounding buildings. Chester Court is in a conservation area and I feel that this will greatly compromise the character and the appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>I am also concerned of the associated health risks.</p> <p>Installation and maintenance of such equipment will result in damages and additional wear and tear to the building. This will impact the service charges and charges for additional works which the leaseholders pay.</p> <p>I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation. I quote... "Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	C Dedman	5 Chester Court	18/05/2017 14:05:30	OBJ	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of this block I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on our roof.</p> <p>* The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly.</p> <p>* Chester Court is in a conservation area. The masts affect the character and appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>* I am also concerned of the associated health risks.</p> <p>* Installation and maintenance of such equipment will result in damages and additional wear and tear to the building. This will impact the service charges and charges for additional works which the leaseholders pay.</p> <p>* I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation. "Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>
2017/1353/P	Helena Lovendal-Duffell	6 Chester Court Lissenden Gardens London NW51LY	18/05/2017 17:31:50	OBJ	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of this block I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on our roof.</p> <p>The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly, this is very out of keeping with the style and character of the surrounding buildings. Chester Court is in a conservation area and I feel that this will greatly compromise the character and the appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>I am also concerned of the associated health risks.</p> <p>Installation and maintenance of such equipment will result in damages and additional wear and tear to the building. This will impact the service charges and charges for additional works which the leaseholders pay.</p> <p>I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation. I quote... "Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	Jeremy Walker	60 Lissenden Mansions Lissenden Gardens	18/05/2017 20:43:27	OBJ	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of Lissenden gardens I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on the roof of Chester court.</p> <p>The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly, the design and materials proposed are out of keeping with the style and character of the surrounding buildings. Chester Court is in a conservation area and I feel that this will greatly compromise the character and the appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>I am also concerned with the associated health risks for local residents. Radiation from the masts will affect all those living in this estate. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) have said the devices could possibly cause cancer in humans, but there isn't enough evidence to come to a clear conclusion. It's not a risk I believe is worth taking given the reward is merely improved reception for mobile phone users; for this reason I don't believe any masts should be located near residential buildings. A precautionary approach must be taken and masts built away from the immediate vicinity of any residential buildings.</p>
2017/1353/P	Louis Dackombe	Flat 16 Chester Court Lissenden Gardens NW5 1LY	18/05/2017 12:42:26	OBJ	<p>1 - The visual aspect on the photomontages look very out of keeping with the style and skyline of the buildings around and underneath the ariels and I would think that this is unsuitable for our conservation area.</p> <p>2 - Being on the top floor of the block, my home is directly underneath one of the proposed antennas. To my knowledge, there is no clear record of adequate and updated insulation and resurfacing of the roof at Chester Court. Therefore, this would raise questions as to the suitability and sound condition of a fully prepared surface for the installation of such antennas.</p> <p>3 - I understand the proposals conform to current international guidelines on radio frequency emission. However, being directly underneath the antennas, I would still have concerns over any potentially subtle and unaccountable effects from emissions, as mentioned in your supporting document, "Health and Mobile Phone Base Stations". The document specifies: "Published in May 2000, the Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects, although it is acknowledged that biological effects might occur below these values."</p>
2017/1353/P	Peter Drinkell	3 Chester Court Lissenden Gardens London NW5 1LY	18/05/2017 16:24:34	OBJ	<p>I also wanted to add to my comment that: I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation. I quote... "Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	C Dedman	5 Chester Court	17/05/2017 23:37:55	COMMNT	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of this block I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on our roof.</p> <p>*The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly.</p> <p>*Chester Court is in a conservation area. The masts affect the character or appearance of a conservation area.</p> <p>*I am also concerned of the associated health risks.</p> <p>* Installation and maintenance of such equipment will result in damages and additional wear and tear. This will impact the service charges/ charges for additional works which the leaseholders pay.</p> <p>* I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation.</p> <p>"Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>
2017/1353/P	C Dedman	5 Chester Court	17/05/2017 23:38:11	COMMNT	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of this block I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on our roof.</p> <p>*The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly.</p> <p>*Chester Court is in a conservation area. The masts affect the character or appearance of a conservation area.</p> <p>*I am also concerned of the associated health risks.</p> <p>* Installation and maintenance of such equipment will result in damages and additional wear and tear. This will impact the service charges/ charges for additional works which the leaseholders pay.</p> <p>* I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation.</p> <p>"Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	St.John Wright	26 Lissenden Mansion Lissenden Gardens London NW5 1PP	18/05/2017 16:22:32	OBJ	<p>I wish to object on behalf of the Lissenden Gardens Tenants Association, which includes Chester Court, to this proposal. We believe that these additions to the roof of Chester Court will be unsightly and will destroy this building's unusual roofscape. The boxes for the phone masts are exceptionally obvious and do nothing to lessen the dramatic effect on this building, and its neighbours, that will be caused by the forced imposition of these structures. Lissenden Gardens is part of a Conservation Area and we are astounded that the proposal would result in such architectural vandalism.</p> <p>We are also concerned by the proximity of the phone masts to residents' homes; while we do understand that the proposals conform to current international guidelines on RF emission, it is widely accepted that biological effects might occur below these guidelines.</p> <p>St John Wright Chair</p> <p>Lissenden Gardens Tenants Association Committee:  St.John Wright 26 Lissenden Mansions  Nell Keddie 57 Lissenden Mansions  E McCracken 93 Parliament Hill Mansions  Helen Jack 15 Chester Court  Clare Manifold 92 Parliament Hill Mansions  Tony Edwards 47 Lissenden Mansions  Natasha Nuttall 93 Parliament Hill Mansions  Jeremy Walker 60 Lissenden Mansions  Lindsay Watson 95 Parliament Hill Mansions  Lynne Watton 45 Cleveden Mansions  Elizabeth Block 42 Cleveden Mansions  Micheal Thorp 47 Cleveden Mansions  Elizabeth Allen 86 Parliament Hill Mansions  Migoe Mrbyan 41 Parliament Hill Mansions  Jenny West 85 Parliament Hill Mansions</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	C Dedman	5 Chester Court	17/05/2017 23:37:53	COMMNT	<p>As a leaseholder and resident of this block I oppose the erection of said communications equipment on our roof.</p> <p>*The plans show that the antennas are huge and unsightly.</p> <p>*Chester Court is in a conservation area. The masts affect the character or appearance of a conservation area.</p> <p>*I am also concerned of the associated health risks.</p> <p>* Installation and maintenance of such equipment will result in damages and additional wear and tear. This will impact the service charges/ charges for additional works which the leaseholders pay.</p> <p>* I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation.</p> <p>"Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	Clare Drinkell	3 Chester Court Lissenden Gardens NW5 1LY	18/05/2017 21:34:00	OBJEMPER	The visual aspect on the photomontages look very out of keeping with the style and skyline of the buildings around and underneath the ariels and I would think that this is unsuitable for our conservation area.

I understand the proposals conform to current international guidelines on radio frequency emission. However, being underneath the antennas, I would still have concerns over any potentially subtle and unaccountable effects from emissions, as mentioned in your supporting document, "Health and Mobile Phone Base Stations". The document specifies: "Published in May 2000, the Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects, although it is acknowledged that biological effects might occur below these values."

I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation. "Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."

The installation and upkeep of the antennas will involve engineers and other work people entering the building and using facilities such as the lift and communal areas. I believe this will cause disruption to what is a quiet, family community of residents which will have a detrimental effect of our home environment.

We as leaseholder residents are already facing huge maintenance bills for the upkeep of the lift, and I believe with the extra use, there is likely to be more wear and tear of the facilities, and the cost of the leaseholders.

We as residents already have security issues with non-welcomed visitors entering the building and I believe there will be a raised concern for security with the coming and going of non-residents to the block, i.e. trade people installing and maintaining equipment.

I strongly oppose the installation of the equipment.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1353/P	Clare Drinkell	3 Chester Court Lissenden Gardens NW5 1LY	18/05/2017 21:32:04	OBJEMPER	<p>The visual aspect on the photomontages look very out of keeping with the style and skyline of the buildings around and underneath the ariels and I would think that this is unsuitable for our conservation area.</p> <p>I understand the proposals conform to current international guidelines on radio frequency emission. However, being underneath the antennas, I would still have concerns over any potentially subtle and unaccountable effects from emissions, as mentioned in your supporting document, "Health and Mobile Phone Base Stations". The document specifies: "Published in May 2000, the Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects, although it is acknowledged that biological effects might occur below these values."</p> <p>I was advised by Walden Telecom on 19th January that there would be a consultation process, however I have not received any correspondence in relation to a consultation. "Camden Council as your landlord has advised Waldon Telecom they will consult with you on this matter. The consultation will take the form either of a letter or a meeting."</p> <p>The installation and upkeep of the antennas will involve engineers and other work people entering the building and using facilities such as the lift and communal areas. I believe this will cause disruption to what is a quiet, family community of residents which will have a detrimental effect of our home environment.</p> <p>We as leaseholder residents are already facing huge maintenance bills for the upkeep of the lift, and I believe with the extra use, there is likely to be more wear and tear of the facilities, and the cost of the leaseholders.</p> <p>We as residents already have security issues with non-welcomed visitors entering the building and I believe there will be a raised concern for security with the coming and going of non-residents to the block, i.e. trade people installing and maintaining equipment.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the installation of the equipment.</p>

---