

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	lucien joanna savory	top flat 10 upper park road nw3 2up	03/05/2017 04:30:42	OBJ	this poses a serious health risk to residents in the area including children and elderly people. I STRONGLY OBJECT.
2017/2068/P	Adetola Kunle-Hassan	6A Lawn Road	02/05/2017 08:01:23	OBJ	I am disappointed to see that, despite the refusal of planning permission in October last year, Waldon Telecoms have put in another application to install phone masts on the top of Troyes House - and that the new application is even more intrusive and offensive in a conservation area than earlier one. I am also worried by the prospect of having phone masts so close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to be sure and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health.
2017/2068/P	Mr Ashley Summerfield	78 lawn rd belsize pk NW3 2XB NW3 2XB	25/04/2017 17:46:23	OBJ	I live on Lawn Road, and have a child with many other houses in the street also having kids. I fear what affects the antennas may have on our children's health, and this will not be known for many years yet. I therefore strongly object to the antennas on top of Troyes House.
2017/2068/P	Sophie hacker	1 Troyes house	24/04/2017 20:17:53	APP	I strongly oppose the lawn rd mobile phone antennas being erected .
2017/2068/P	Nathalie Wilson	7 Upper Park road London NW3 2UN	01/05/2017 13:55:51	OBJEMAIL	For the second time in six months I have to waste time writing an objection with regard to a proposal that any council with integrity and concern for its citizens would throw out. Alas I am in Camden where they rob pensioners through extortionate council tax, leave bins of unhygienic waste for two weeks before it is collected and then ensure their health and that of the youngest in their borough will be further at risk by daring to consider yet again the erection of numerous phone antennae in a densely populated area. Their employees' pockets can thus be lined further and regular fine dining opportunities planned with the blood money offered by unscrupulous phone companies whilst us mere mortals, young and old suffer the consequences of Camden Council greed.
2017/2068/P	Nathalie Wilson	7 Upper Park road London NW3 2UN	01/05/2017 13:55:34	OBJEMAIL	For the second time in six months I have to waste time writing an objection with regard to a proposal that any council with integrity and concern for its citizens would throw out. Alas I am in Camden where they rob pensioners through extortionate council tax, leave bins of unhygienic waste for two weeks before it is collected and then ensure their health and that of the youngest in their borough will be further at risk by daring to consider yet again the erection of numerous phone antennae in a densely populated area. Their employees' pockets can thus be lined further and regular fine dining opportunities planned with the blood money offered by unscrupulous phone companies whilst us mere mortals, young and old suffer the consequences of Camden Council greed.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	James and Victoria Twining	24 Lawn Road London NW32XR	01/05/2017 08:37:56	OBJ	<p>Dear sir or madam</p> <p>We are writing to express our shock, disappointment and continued objection to the application to place phone masts on the top of Troyes House.</p> <p>Coming only a few months after the previous application was roundly rejected, this feels like a wilful attempt to subvert the council's decision and the views of the overwhelming majority of the local residents by making cosmetic tweaks to the application and then relying on public apathy.</p> <p>Our reasons for opposing this are numerous and unchanged since the last application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The design is aesthetically unacceptable in what is, in case it has slipped your mind, a conservation area populated by Grade 1 buildings</li> <li>2. The site is totally inappropriate being too low</li> <li>3. There is no compelling need for the additional masts, given existing levels of O2 coverage throughout the local area</li> <li>4. The masts are a source of direct high levels of electro-magnetic radiation for the residents of Troyes House and neighbouring properties. Numerous independent research links high levels of electromagnetic radiation to health problems.</li> </ol> <p>I might further add that Camden Council's impartiality in this matter is also a source of concern, given the significant financial incentives on offer from O2 to the the council should the application be approved.</p> <p>Given the above, we would urge you in the strongest possible terms to remain consistent with your original decision and reject this proposal.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>James and Victoria Twining</p>
2017/2068/P	Lisa Luger	Flat 5 19 Lawn Road London NW3 2XR	23/04/2017 15:06:30	OBJ	<p>Despite the refusal of planning permission in October last year, Waldon Telecoms have put in another application to install phone masts on the top of Troyes House. I am appalled and strongly object to this application. This application is even more intrusive and offensive in a conservation area than the earlier one. I am also worried by the prospect of having phone masts so close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to be sure and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Zoe Dewe-Mathews	7 Lawn Road London NW3 2XS	02/05/2017 21:02:07	COMMNT	<p>I cannot believe that the council is making another attempt at getting planning permission for these masts, and I wish to register my objection to the proposal in the strongest possible terms</p> <p>My main concern is the health and safety of the people living in Troyes House, as there is increasingly powerful evidence of the long term damaging effects of living near masts, especially for children. Some residents of Troyes House will be living within a few metres of the masts. This is totally unacceptable.</p> <p>From an aesthetic point of view, this is a conservation area, and any attempt on my part to increase the height of my roof would be refused on aesthetic grounds. Troyes House is also a low-built block - lower than many of the buildings near it - and the signal would therefore not reach very far anyway.</p> <p>I understand that the Council has proposed masts in a number of sites in order to get annual rental from the phone companies. For the Council to rule on its own proposal is undemocratic and unacceptable.</p> <p>It is outrageous that, having already refused an application for phone masts on this building, the Council should even be willing to consider an application for an even greater number of masts on the same building!</p> <p>It is obvious that the Council has only one motive in making this application - to raise revenue. The wellbeing of the residents has not been considered, and it would be scandalous if this application were to be approved.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Gerard James dewe-Mathews	7 Lawn Road NW3 2XS	02/05/2017 17:40:21	COMMNT	<p>I wish to object to the proposal in the strongest possible terms:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This is a conservation area. If I wished to increase the height of my roof it would be refused on aesthetic grounds.</li><li>2. I work in a medical field and there is increasingly powerful evidence of the long term damaging effects on the health of especially children in proximity to masts. Some residents of Troyes House will be living within a few metres of the masts.</li><li>3. I understand that Camden Council has proposed masts in a number of sites in order to get annual rental from the phone companies. For the Council to rule on it's own proposal is totally undemocratic and unacceptable.</li></ol> <p>It is outrageous that, having already refused an application for phone masts on this building, the Council should even be willing to consider an application for an even greater number of masts on the same building</p> <p>It is clear that the only reason for this proposal is to increase revenue for the Council at the expense of the well being of the residents.</p> <p>It will be totally scandalous if it is approved.</p> <p>Comments made by Gerard James Dewe-Mathews of 7 Lawn Road, NW3 2XS Phone 020 7722 7993 EMail jamesdewemathews@mac.com Preferred Method of Contact is Email</p> <p>Comment Type is Objection</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Gerard James dewe-Mathews	7 Lawn Road NW3 2XS	02/05/2017 17:40:19	COMMNT	<p>I wish to object to the proposal in the strongest possible terms:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This is a conservation area. If I wished to increase the height of my roof it would be refused on aesthetic grounds.</li><li>2. I work in a medical field and there is increasingly powerful evidence of the long term damaging effects on the health of especially children in proximity to masts. Some residents of Troyes House will be living within a few metres of the masts.</li><li>3. I understand that Camden Council has proposed masts in a number of sites in order to get annual rental from the phone companies. For the Council to rule on it's own proposal is totally undemocratic and unacceptable.</li></ol> <p>It is outrageous that, having already refused an application for phone masts on this building, the Council should even be willing to consider an application for an even greater number of masts on the same building</p> <p>It is clear that the only reason for this proposal is to increase revenue for the Council at the expense of the well being of the residents.</p> <p>It will be totally scandalous if it is approved.</p> <p>Comments made by Gerard James Dewe-Mathews of 7 Lawn Road, NW3 2XS Phone 020 7722 7993 EMail jamesdewemathews@mac.com Preferred Method of Contact is Email</p> <p>Comment Type is Objection</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Gerard James dewe-Mathews	7 Lawn Road NW3 2XS	02/05/2017 17:40:08	COMMNT	<p>I wish to object to the proposal in the strongest possible terms:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This is a conservation area. If I wished to increase the height of my roof it would be refused on aesthetic grounds.</li><li>2. I work in a medical field and there is increasingly powerful evidence of the long term damaging effects on the health of especially children in proximity to masts. Some residents of Troyes House will be living within a few metres of the masts.</li><li>3. I understand that Camden Council has proposed masts in a number of sites in order to get annual rental from the phone companies. For the Council to rule on it's own proposal is totally undemocratic and unacceptable.</li></ol> <p>It is outrageous that, having already refused an application for phone masts on this building, the Council should even be willing to consider an application for an even greater number of masts on the same building</p> <p>It is clear that the only reason for this proposal is to increase revenue for the Council at the expense of the well being of the residents.</p> <p>It will be totally scandalous if it is approved.</p> <p>Comments made by Gerard James Dewe-Mathews of 7 Lawn Road, NW3 2XS Phone 020 7722 7993 EMail jamesdewemathews@mac.com Preferred Method of Contact is Email</p> <p>Comment Type is Objection</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Sudhir Daya	Flat 10 Troyes House Lawn Road NW3 2XT	24/04/2017 17:28:35	OBJ	<p>Dear Camden Council Planning</p> <p>I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.</p> <p>This application was previously rejected very recently by Camden Council and I see no reason why it should be approved on this occasion.</p> <p>Camden council states that it can only consider comments that are directly related to the actual proposal..... "material considerations".</p> <p>There is legal precedent for health and perceived risk to health being accepted as a material planning consideration (Newport county Borough Council v The Secretary of State for Wales and Browning Ferris Environmental Services Ltd Perceived health effects material planning consideration).</p> <p>I feel that the installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House will adversely affect my health. I feel the planning officers and councilors have a responsibility to consider the vast amounts of new research pointing to serious health implications affecting the lives of residents near to mobile phone masts (<a href="http://www.bioinitiative.org">www.bioinitiative.org</a>), especially vulnerable people. Troyes House has residents who are vulnerable, ranging from children, people with chronic illnesses and people with disabilities. Complying with the ICNIRP guidelines does not and cannot allay my concern as the guidelines do not take into account the non-thermal effects from mobile phone mast emissions and are only applicable to the "general population".</p> <p>The ICNIRP safety standards which the UK Government and HPA continue to use fail to recognise the non-thermal, biological effects of microwave radiation. These standards were voted obsolete by the European Parliament, 522 to 16 votes – yet still remain in use in the UK. (Reference: <a href="http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/dont-smart-meter-me-notice-of-non-consent-for-smart-meter-in-stallation/#">http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/dont-smart-meter-me-notice-of-non-consent-for-smart-meter-in-stallation/#</a>)</p> <p>Loss of amenity is a very valid planning issue and it is clear that worrying about the implications to one's health from mobile phone masts, especially if the mast is clearly visible from one's home, is going to effect the person's quality of life - thus leading to a loss of amenity.</p> <p>The installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House also goes against Camden's own health and wellbeing strategy. In January 2016, "Camden's Joint Health and Wellbeing strategy 2016-2018: Living well, working together" was published. It states "health and</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>wellbeing outcomes and inequalities are driven by a range of factors, some of which individuals have little control over. Others are the result of health behaviours which in turn are heavily influenced by people's circumstances and environment, such as income, employment and living conditions. The strategy also states that it aims to:</p> <p>"Prevent – act early to prevent issues from developing and getting worse  Promote - promote better health so that inequalities do not emerge in the first place". I feel that the installation of a mast tower on the top of Troyes House adversely alters my living environment and circumstances and will have a detrimental effect on my health. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident of Camden to reject the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.</p> <p>In 2015, Camden and Islington published its annual public health report: "healthy minds, healthy lives: widening the focus on mental health". The report states "the physical environment can have a significant impact on the mental wellbeing of residents and communities". It further states "physical health and mental health are inextricably linked". The installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes house is a change in my physical environment. I have very concerned for the effects that this mast will have on both my physical and mental health. I have had to take time out from my working schedule to meet councilors and other residents. It has been stressful trying to monitor the planning application page on a daily basis as I have only a limited time to object. This whole experience has been distressing and unnecessary. I am further concerned for those residents of Troyes House who already have an existing mental and/or physical health condition as their risk of developing another condition increases significantly. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident to oppose the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.</p> <p>I feel that the proposed mast will be visually intrusive. Due to the low height of Troyes House it will be highly visible to all the adjacent neighbours as well as residents of Troyes House. The proposed mast tower will thus be a constant reminder of the perceived threat to my health.</p> <p>Troyes House sits within the Parkhill Conservation Area with listed buildings in its vicinity. According to the 2011 Management Strategy of Parkhill and Upper Park conservation area "Camden has a duty under the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (sections 69 and 72) to designate as conservation areas any "areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or historic interest of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance" and to pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of those areas". I feel that the installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes House will be to the detriment of the appearance and character of the Parkhill conservation area.</p> <p>I feel the installation of a mast on top of Troyes House will impact the land. There appears to be a dearth of evidence on the impact of EMF on animals, so we do not know the impact of radiation on wildlife. Camden's Biodiversity Action Plan 2013-2018 states: "...focuses on</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>looking after our open spaces so that they provide opportunities for wildlife to thrive. This means managing existing natural spaces....". Troyes House and the neighbouring areas have gardens and natural spaces that should be managed by taking wildlife, such as bees and birds, into account.</p> <p>I feel that Camden Council and Waldon telecommunications have not given me adequate information regarding the proposed installation e.g. what is the power level per square centimetre at 3m from each antenna? Also what is the justification for the installation of the mast? Also, Waldon telecommunications were not transparent in their voluntary notice in that they only placed it at the communal entrance of Flat 13-25. The residents of flat 1-12 thus had not visibility of this voluntary notice. I feel that Camden Council have not engaged fully with me on this matter. I also do not understand what other avenues Camden Council has explored to generate income that are better for the environment and the health of Camden council residents</p> <p>There appears to be opposition from many of the local residents to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. There is legal precedent that sensible alternative sites should be used if local opinion is against one siting (Jodie Phillips v. (1) First Secretary of State (2) Havant Borough Council (3) Hutchinson 3G (UK) Limited).</p> <p>The Ministry of Justice released a handbook for public authorities called "human rights: human lives". Article 8 of the booklet states "Everyone has the right to respect for their private and family life, their home and their correspondence" and that I have a right to the "protection of health". Article 8's rights cover matters of self-determination and my freedom to choose. I feel that I have not been given the opportunity to make an informed choice with regards to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. I also have no choice about how to limit my exposure to the emissions from the proposed mast tower and I have no control over my interaction with the proposed mast on top of Troyes House. I feel that Camden Council has a duty and responsibility to respect my human rights.</p> <p>I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.</p> <p>I would like Camden Council to stand by its "Ways of Working" and "remember that we are here for the residents..... So that people of Camden know we are acting on their behalf".</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Sudhir Daya	Flat 10 Troyes House Lawn Road NW3 2XT	30/04/2017 20:06:34	OBJ	<p>Dear Camden Council Planning</p> <p>I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.</p> <p>This application was previously rejected very recently by Camden Council and I see no reason why it should be approved on this occasion.</p> <p>Camden council states that it can only consider comments that are directly related to the actual proposal..... "material considerations".</p> <p>There is legal precedent for health and perceived risk to health being accepted as a material planning consideration (Newport county Borough Council v The Secretary of State for Wales and Browning Ferris Environmental Services Ltd Perceived health effects material planning consideration).</p> <p>I feel that the installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House will adversely affect my health. I feel the planning officers and councilors have a responsibility to consider the vast amounts of new research pointing to serious health implications affecting the lives of residents near to mobile phone masts (<a href="http://www.bioinitiative.org">www.bioinitiative.org</a>), especially vulnerable people. Troyes House has residents who are vulnerable, ranging from children, people with chronic illnesses and people with disabilities. Complying with the ICNIRP guidelines does not and cannot allay my concern as the guidelines do not take into account the non-thermal effects from mobile phone mast emissions and are only applicable to the "general population".</p> <p>The ICNIRP safety standards which the UK Government and HPA continue to use fail to recognise the non-thermal, biological effects of microwave radiation. These standards were voted obsolete by the European Parliament, 522 to 16 votes – yet still remain in use in the UK. (Reference: <a href="http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/dont-smart-meter-me-notice-of-non-consent-for-smart-meter-in-stallation/#">http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/dont-smart-meter-me-notice-of-non-consent-for-smart-meter-in-stallation/#</a>)</p> <p>Loss of amenity is a very valid planning issue and it is clear that worrying about the implications to one's health from mobile phone masts, especially if the mast is clearly visible from one's home, is going to effect the person's quality of life - thus leading to a loss of amenity.</p> <p>The installation of a mobile phone mast on top of Troyes House also goes against Camden's own health and wellbeing strategy. In January 2016, "Camden's Joint Health and Wellbeing strategy 2016-2018: Living well, working together" was published. It states "health and</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>wellbeing outcomes and inequalities are driven by a range of factors, some of which individuals have little control over. Others are the result of health behaviours which in turn are heavily influenced by people's circumstances and environment, such as income, employment and living conditions. The strategy also states that it aims to:</p> <p>"Prevent – act early to prevent issues from developing and getting worse  Promote - promote better health so that inequalities do not emerge in the first place". I feel that the installation of a mast tower on the top of Troyes House adversely alters my living environment and circumstances and will have a detrimental effect on my health. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident of Camden to reject the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.</p> <p>In 2015, Camden and Islington published its annual public health report: "healthy minds, healthy lives: widening the focus on mental health". The report states "the physical environment can have a significant impact on the mental wellbeing of residents and communities". It further states "physical health and mental health are inextricably linked". The installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes house is a change in my physical environment. I have very concerned for the effects that this mast will have on both my physical and mental health. I have had to take time out from my working schedule to meet councilors and other residents. It has been stressful trying to monitor the planning application page on a daily basis as I have only a limited time to object. This whole experience has been distressing and unnecessary. I am further concerned for those residents of Troyes House who already have an existing mental and/or physical health condition as their risk of developing another condition increases significantly. I feel that Camden Council has a duty of care to me as a resident to oppose the installation of the mobile phone mast tower on top of Troyes House.</p> <p>I feel that the proposed mast will be visually intrusive. Due to the low height of Troyes House it will be highly visible to all the adjacent neighbours as well as residents of Troyes House. The proposed mast tower will thus be a constant reminder of the perceived threat to my health.</p> <p>Troyes House sits within the Parkhill Conservation Area with listed buildings in its vicinity. According to the 2011 Management Strategy of Parkhill and Upper Park conservation area "Camden has a duty under the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (sections 69 and 72) to designate as conservation areas any "areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or historic interest of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance" and to pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of those areas". I feel that the installation of a mast tower on top of Troyes House will be to the detriment of the appearance and character of the Parkhill conservation area.</p> <p>I feel the installation of a mast on top of Troyes House will impact the land. There appears to be a dearth of evidence on the impact of EMF on animals, so we do not know the impact of radiation on wildlife. Camden's Biodiversity Action Plan 2013-2018 states: "...focuses on</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					<p>looking after our open spaces so that they provide opportunities for wildlife to thrive. This means managing existing natural spaces....". Troyes House and the neighbouring areas have gardens and natural spaces that should be managed by taking wildlife, such as bees and birds, into account.</p> <p>I feel that Camden Council and Waldon telecommunications have not given me adequate information regarding the proposed installation e.g. what is the power level per square centimetre at 3m from each antenna? Also what is the justification for the installation of the mast? Also, Waldon telecommunications were not transparent in their voluntary notice in that they only placed it at the communal entrance of Flat 13-25. The residents of flat 1-12 thus had not visibility of this voluntary notice. I feel that Camden Council have not engaged fully with me on this matter. I also do not understand what other avenues Camden Council has explored to generate income that are better for the environment and the health of Camden council residents</p> <p>There appears to be opposition from many of the local residents to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. There is legal precedent that sensible alternative sites should be used if local opinion is against one siting (Jodie Phillips v. (1) First Secretary of State (2) Havant Borough Council (3) Hutchinson 3G (UK) Limited).</p> <p>The Ministry of Justice released a handbook for public authorities called "human rights: human lives". Article 8 of the booklet states "Everyone has the right to respect for their private and family life, their home and their correspondence" and that I have a right to the "protection of health". Article 8's rights cover matters of self-determination and my freedom to choose. I feel that I have not been given the opportunity to make an informed choice with regards to the installation of the mast on top of Troyes House. I also have no choice about how to limit my exposure to the emissions from the proposed mast tower and I have no control over my interaction with the proposed mast on top of Troyes House. I feel that Camden Council has a duty and responsibility to respect my human rights.</p> <p>I hereby object to the installation of 6 antennas on the top of Troyes House by Waldon Telecommunications, acting on behalf of Telefonica/02.</p> <p>I would like Camden Council to stand by its "Ways of Working" and "remember that we are here for the residents..... So that people of Camden know we are acting on their behalf".</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Frederick Jackson	80 Lawn Road London NW3 2XB	23/04/2017 16:42:18	OBJ	The ineffectiveness of masts in this location (inadequate height etc) does not justify the effects on health and property values.
2017/2068/P	Frederick Jackson	80 Lawn Road London NW3 2XB	23/04/2017 16:42:00	OBJ	The ineffectiveness of masts in this location (inadequate height etc) does not justify the effects on health and property values.
2017/2068/P	Mark Bostridge	8 Lawn Road NW3 2XS NW3 2XS	23/04/2017 18:25:33	COMMNT	I can hardly believe that I am having to make an objection to another proposal for mobile phone antennas at Troyes House after last year's failed application - but here it is. I live at number 8 Lawn Road and I oppose the application on the grounds of health risks to adults and children, to the effect on property values in this area, and to the inappropriate nature of the site - Troyes House is too low a building for a start - in an area of conservation and natural beauty. I hope the application will be resoundingly rejected.
2017/2068/P	Lisa Auger	4 Isokon Lawn Road	23/04/2017 15:01:23	OBJ	STOP this plan. We residents do not want mobile phone antennas.
2017/2068/P	David R Katz	6 Lawn Rd NW32XS NW32XS	23/04/2017 13:12:48	COMNOT	This application is extraordinary. As far as I am aware planning permission was refused in October 2016 for installation of masts on Troyes House. Ofcom reported that these masts are not needed to ensure 4G coverage in this area. Nonetheless the Telecoms company have put in another application which seems to be even more intrusive and offensive in a conservation area than the earlier one. I was told previously by Camden thought that there is supposed to be either an appeal or a two year wait before such a re-application can be considered? Basically this needs to be thrown out again by the Council on lack of proven need and conservation grounds, and the company told that they need to come up with a totally different scheme when they are permitted to do so, not come up with this ill-disguised attempt to beat the local residents into acquiescence and submission.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Michelle Berriedale-Johnson	5 Lawn Road NW3 2XS	25/04/2017 18:25:49	OBJ	<p>A very similar proposal was refused planning permission six months ago on the grounds that it</p> <p>'would result in a highly visually prominent and incongruous development which would harm the visual appearance and character of the streetscene..... and would fail to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area, contrary to policy CS14 of the Camden Core Strategy, policies DP24 and DP25 of the Camden Development Policies, policies 7.4 and 7.8 of the London Plan and paragraphs 56- 68 and 126-141 of the National Planning Policy Framework.'</p> <p>If anything, the new proposal, which consists of three towers rather than one, is even more visually prominent and incongruous and would thus be even more inappropriate. To even apply for permission therefore seems to be a gratuitous waste of the planning office's time.</p> <p>In purely personal terms, the new proposal which sites masts even closer to my bedroom than the earlier one, would be even more disastrous. As I am electrosensitive I would be completely unable to use the upper floors of our house and would probably be unable to use the garden that I have spent 30 years developing.</p>
2017/2068/P	Isabel Summerfield	78 lawn rd nw3 2xb	25/04/2017 17:48:41	OBJEMAIL	<p>I don't want masts on my street. It is such a pretty street, in a conservation area, it would be a shame to spoil this.</p> <p>I also don't know if the antennas may cause health issues.</p>
2017/2068/P	Mark Adams	2 Troyes House Lawn Road Hampstead London NW3 2XT	24/04/2017 21:46:08	COMMNT	<p>I am upset to see that even though last year here at Troyes House we rejected mobile phone masts being placed on the roof of our block. For some reason phone masts that are even more intrusive are being proposed again at the top of Troyes House! This is a conservation area.</p> <p>I don't want radiation close to my flat or other flats that could be effected by these masts. A report in the Daily Mail stated that 14 die in 7 years of cancer while living near phone masts with highest radiation levels in UK! I am really concerned.</p>
2017/2068/P	Ruth Tamir	82 Lawn Road London NW32XB	24/04/2017 21:24:50	APP	<p>This is a totally unacceptable proposal that must be thrown out</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Toby Clark	12 Upper Park Road Belsize Park NW3 2UP	23/04/2017 11:59:12	OBJ	<p>I am very disappointed to see that, despite the Camden Council's refusal of planning permission in October last year, Waldon Telecoms have put in another application to install phone masts on the top of Troyes House.</p> <p>Am even more disappointed to see the new application is even more intrusive and detrimental (in terms of cultural, aesthetic, health and social impact) in a conservation area than the previous application. I am extremely worried by the prospect of having phone masts so close to my home residence and within an area where there are many vulnerable people, young and old and those who may already suffer from ill health. I understand there is in some quarters a belief these masts might be safe but I do not think based on the available historical, evidence there is sufficient knowledge and time to be able to prove this valid safety concern is unproven. I believe with good reason mobile phone radiation is not part of nature and is a man made invention. Equally that the physiology of human beings was not created to be able to withstand exposure to such radiation. I am really concerned about the effect it might have not only on my health, but on my family and the other local residents in this wholly residential area. Please kindly reject this proposal and suggest to Walden to find a more suitable location for the growth of their business infrastructure.'</p>
2017/2068/P	Joseph Zarfaty	83 Lawn Road Belsize Park NW3 2XB	28/04/2017 18:52:57	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the second trial to install six masts in front of my home. The first application was rejected, rightfully so, and there is no need to do it all over again.</p> <p>I object based on the following:</p> <p>(1) I am a cancer patient and having to absorb strong radiation from masts located just across the road may well shorten my life even further</p> <p>(2) These masts will no doubt reduce the value of my property for which I will have to claim compensation</p> <p>(3) We live in a conversation area. In the same way that I am not allowed to change the front of my house, no one should be allowed to erect these ugly and protruding masts in a conversation area.</p>
2017/2068/P	Maree Ballantine	12 Upper Park Road London NW3 2UP	23/04/2017 12:26:05	OBJ	<p>Incredibly disappointed to see this is happening again. Strongly object on many grounds no less the health risks. This is a built up area with many children and elderly. There is no justification for the use of this site in a residential street and on a building that is surrounded by others which would inhibit the signal anyway. It is a conservation area and we love living here - we do not want a radiation mast on any building in our community.</p>
2017/2068/P	David Lowe	Flat 5 19 Lawn Road Belsize Park London	23/04/2017 11:58:51	PETITNSU PP	<p>'I am shocked to see that, despite the refusal of planning permission in October last year, Waldon Telecoms have put in another application to install phone masts on the top of Troyes House - and that the new application is even more intrusive and offensive in a conservation area than earlier one. I am also worried by the prospect of having phone masts so close to my house. I know that many people say they are safe but I do not think we know enough about mobile phone radiation to be sure and I am really concerned about the effect it might have on my health.'</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Sarah Zarfaty	83 Lawn Road Belsize Park London NW3 2XB	28/04/2017 19:05:45	OBJ	Installing these masts can be a serious health hazard for all of us who live nearby, will no doubt reduce the value of our homes and is aesthetically unacceptable.
2017/2068/P	Ana Taravillo	11b Parkhill Road London NW3 2YH	25/04/2017 20:33:02	OBJ	objection to this application
2017/2068/P	Mark Adams	2 Troyes House Lawn Road Hampstead London NW3 2XT	24/04/2017 21:46:09	COMMNT	I am upset to see that even though last year here at Troyes House we rejected mobile phone masts being placed on the roof of our block. For some reason phone masts that are even more intrusive are being proposed again at the top of Troyes House! This is a conservation area. I don't want radiation close to my flat or other flats that could be effected by these masts. A report in the Daily Mail stated that 14 die in 7 years of cancer while living near phone masts with highest radiation levels in UK! I am really concerned.
2017/2068/P	KATRINA O'DWYER	7 TROYS HOUSE LAWN RD NW3 2XT	24/04/2017 21:09:43	APP	I am shocked and dismayed at this unreasonable proposal and I along with my fellow neighbours oppose this strongly. How Dare Waldon Telecoms think they can come and pay there way and completely defy and disregard the will of an entire community. No is NO! NOBODY WANTS THESE MASTS! WHY WOULD ANYONE HAVE CHANGED THERE MINDS IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS..... HELLO
2017/2068/P	KATRINA O'DWYER	7 TROYS HOUSE LAWN RD NW3 2XT	24/04/2017 20:42:17	APP	I am shocked and dismayed at this unreasonable proposal and I along with my fellow neighbours oppose this strongly. How Dare Waldon Telecoms think they can come and pay there way and completely defy and disregard the will of an entire community. No is NO! NOBODY WANTS THESE MASTS! WHY WOULD ANYONE HAVE CHANGED THERE MINDS IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS..... HELLO
2017/2068/P	Jason Todd	12 upper park road Nw3 2up	26/04/2017 14:38:49	SUPPRT	Support
2017/2068/P	joanna savory	top flat 10 upper park road nw3 2up	03/05/2017 04:21:27	COMMNT	This is a serious health risk to residents in a densely residential area, including children and elderly people. It is appalling that this proposal comes up again, with even more masts. Did no one listen to all the risks already outlined last time? I STRONGLY OPPOSE IT.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	FELICITY DUNNING	2ND FLOOR FLAT 10 UPPER PARK ROAD BELSIZE PARK LONDON	02/05/2017 12:58:12	OBJ	I'm appalled that despite the refusal of planning permission in October 2016, Waldon Telecoms have put in yet ANOTHER application to install phone masts on the top of Troyes House. This new application is even more intrusive and offensive in a CONSERVATION AREA than the previous application. I am a mother of a young child and am also extremely concerned by the proximity of the proposed masts to our home and in particular the trajectory directly into my child's bedroom. There are constant ongoing research projects studying the potential safety risks of proximity to mobile masts - especially near children/schools/nurseries and residential areas. Until there is sufficient, concrete evidence to absolutely rule out all negative impact on health and the potential radiation exposure risks then it is putting us at potential risk if this application were to proceed. My child suffers severe migraines and I have deep concerns for our health if these masts were put in the proposed place. I strongly object to this proposal alongside my neighbours and community.
2017/2068/P	T Gurhey	11 Troyes House Lawn Road Hampstead London NW3 2XT	03/05/2017 00:26:01	OBJNOT	I strongly object to the installation of 6 antennas on top of Troyes House.  The previous application was rejected based on conservation reasons and feel that the proposed mast will be visually intrusive. I am very worried the phone masts will have a negative impact on my health.
2017/2068/P	S Gurhey	11 Troyes House Lawn Road Hampstead London NW3 2XT	02/05/2017 23:56:43	OBJNOT	I strongly object to the installation of 6 antennas on top of Troyes House. 6 months ago the previous application was rejected by Camden Council based on conservation reasons with 162 objection letters together with an objection petition signed by 221 local residents, I foul to see how we are in this position again. Troyes House is in the Park Hill conservation area, this application would harm the visual appearance and character of the street scene, particularly the designated views along Lawn Road and would fail to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area. I'm also very worried about the effect it will have on my personal health as it will only be a few meters away from my bedroom.
2017/2068/P	Robin Baird-Smith	8 Lawn Road London	24/04/2017 13:39:07	OBJ	That Camden would even consider this is deeply shocking. We had the full support of our MP Sir Keir Starmer a few months ago last time when you tried to get this through. This would be extremely unsightly in a conservation area but the worse aspect are the health hazards from radioactivity. This is a scandalous proposal which can only be promoted by Camden out of its greed for money
2017/2068/P	Sophie hacker	1 Troyes house	24/04/2017 20:17:35	APP	I strongly oppose the lawn rd mobile phone antennas being erected .

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/2068/P	Sheila and Dominie Wilson	7 Upper Park Road NW32UN	28/04/2017 11:47:10	OBJ	We wish to object strongly on both health and aesthetic grounds. Moreover such a proposition is yet further evidence of Camden Council's total lack of care for the concerns and welfare of its residents. It also displays the rapacious nature of its agenda. Despite the support of Keir Starmer for our plight, Camden Council has already taxed us oppressively and to the point of despair which has destroyed our physical and mental health. We are two pensioners nursing our elderly Mother who has end stage Alzheimers and a Camden Council official under the guise of offering us support for our Mother's condition saw an opportunity because we have a separate basement to our home to charge us almost £5000 pa in Council Tax. Our Mother's health makes it impossible for us to move and we are having to lose our savings and future security to pay this vast sum to Camden. So the strategic repetition of this proposal to place unwanted and not needed phone masts in a highly unsuitable location next to our property appears as yet further evidence of Camden Council's financial greed plus its disregard for residents' well-being, and particularly that of the elderly and the very young who will be most affected in terms of health and location by this choice of site. Perhaps that is why we have been "chosen" yet again because we are that sector of the community least able to energetically protest?
2017/2068/P	Rachel Summerfield	78 Lawn Road nw3 2xb nw3 2xb	25/04/2017 17:43:51	OBJ	Don't know the effect these masts have on children and adult health - do not want them positioned on our street. There is no problem with mobile signal issues. This is a conservation area, and we don't want masts detracting from the area.