						07.05.00
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2017/0992/P	Natacha Osorio	Bloomsbury Alexander Centre Bristol House 80A Southampton Row WC1B 4BA	13/04/2017 13:37:40	COMMNT	I strongly object to this planning application being approved. We have premises at the back of Bristol House that are the home to a therapy centre where light and quietness have been the main asset to the premises. We will be severely affected not just by the noise and disruption during the planned works which will be long lasting but by the remaining effects of diminished light and the added noise that inevitably will be created by ventilation systems etc. We are a centre that deals with health in general and more specifically with mental health. I strongly believe it is not in the interest of the borough to possibly ruin a business offering the services we offer.	
2017/0992/P	Helen Smith	7 Bristol House 80A Southampton Row London WCIB 4BA	12/04/2017 15:26:04	COMMEM AIL	It is disgraceful that residents of Bristol House were not officially informed of an application directly affecting them. Bristol House is largely a residential block of 26 flats, poorly described by the applicants, and the plans submitted nowhere show how near their proposed building is to Bristol House. The Light report is confusing and for some reason only mentions the second floor to be affected by a four storey building. How much worse must the loss of light be for the flats directly below those listed (originally 9, 10 and 11 poorly described) : Add Flats 1, the Alexander and Treatment Centres (a medical resource) and Flats 4, 5. and 6 all below. Four floors of building must also affect the third floor Flats 14, 15 and 16. Amenities of all these flats will be reduced by light loss, looking more closely on to plain walls, with likely additional plant noise and construction noise and dust in an enclosed space. The application understates the effects of a building crowding in on the whole of Bristol House and its residents.	
2017/0992/P	Mr j heywood	flat 17 Bristol House 89A southampton row london WCI B 4BA	12/04/2017 14:47:56	COMMEM AIL	sirs, I am objecting to the proposed building of a large blank wall adjacent to our residential block which has 26 flats and approximately 75 residents. this extension of the hotel will encroach on the light coming to our building. In addition ,noise problems could well arisewhich was the case even from the existing building a few years ago. regards J Heywood	
2017/0992/P	Mr j heywood	flat 17 Bristol House 89A southampton row london WCI B 4BA	12/04/2017 14:48:28	COMMEM AIL		
2017/0992/P	Dan Eisenberg	16 Holly Bush Vale	14/04/2017 13:23:44	OBJ	I object to the planning application by the Bonnington Hotel next to Bristol House and to Bloomsbury Therapy Centre. The Centre will be potentially seriously affected not just during the planned works but by the long lasting effects of diminished light and added noise.	

					Printed on:	19/04/2017	09:05:08
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2017/0992/P	Julie Barber	24 Springfield Avenue N10 3SU N10 3SU	18/04/2017 21:47:28	OBJ	 I would like to support the objections raised by Natacha Osorio and the Bloomsbury 80a Southampton Row, London WC1. I have visited the Centre on many occasions. The proposed extension to the hotel is likely to have a very damaging effect on this The noise, dirt and disruption generated during the construction is likely to make it is Centre to operate. Clients come there for peace and relaxation - most definitely not in noise, vibration and human interference of a building site. The finished construction would detrimentally reduce the light coming into the Centhas a light, calm welcoming feeling - very helpful for clients coming there for relief tension. The Bloomsbury Alexander Centre has been there for 30 years or so - a sanctuary in hectic London. This extension proposes to destroy it. 	Centre. mpossible for the o be subject to the tre, which currently from stress and	