

Gentet, Matthias

From: Madeleine Abramson <madeleine@abramsons.co.uk>
Sent: 15 April 2017 10:08
To: Cassidy, Michael
Cc: Planning
Subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P – 100 Avenue Road - 2

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P.

I urge that the Application be rejected forthwith. Further work needs to be conducted by Essential Living to ensure that the foundations of the 24-storey building are sufficient to support this structure above the jubilee line at Swiss Cottage station.

Regards

Madeleine Abramson
27 Harman Drive
London
NW2 2ED

Sent from my Windows 10 phone

Gentet, Matthias

From: Sean Danischevsky <sean@seandanischevsky.com>
Sent: 15 April 2017 11:23
To: Cassidy, Michael
Cc: Planning
Subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P – 100 Avenue Road - 4

By all means continue with the creation of the cycle superhighway and the redevelopment of the terrifying (for cyclists and the aesthetically minded) Swiss Cottage triangle.

However, in light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and having failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. I urge that the Application be rejected.

Sean

Gentet, Matthias

From: Maryam Alaghband <maryamalaghband@aol.com>
Sent: 14 April 2017 23:19
To: Cassidy, Michael
Cc: Planning
Subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P – 100 Avenue Road

Dear Mr Cassidy,

In light of the recent report by SDStructures Ltd. and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. We urge that the Application be rejected forthwith.

With appreciation,

Maryam Alaghband
Heath Drive Association (Chair)

Gentet, Matthias

From: the burkes <burkesthe@hotmail.com>
Sent: 15 April 2017 23:18
To: Cassidy, Michael
Cc: Planning
Subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P - re. foundation plans - 5

From: the burkes <burkesthe@hotmail.com>
Sent: 15 April 2017 10:16
To: michael.cassidy@camden.gov.uk
Subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P - re. foundation plans

In light of the report by SDStructures Ltd., and, having so far failed to comply with Condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no. 2016/6699/P. I/We urge that the Application be rejected forthwith."

Jonathan Burke

Gentet, Matthias

From: SandC <destin@waitrose.com>
Sent: 15 April 2017 11:09
To: Cassidy, Michael
Cc: Planning
Subject: Application no. 2016/6699/P 100 Avenue Road - 3

Dear Sir,

In view of the reports by SDStructures Ltd., demonstrating that Essential Living has still failed to comply with the terms of condition 31, neither Camden Council nor London Underground Ltd. can justify approval of Essential Living's Application no, 2016/6699/P.

We urge that this Application be rejected forthwith.

Yours faithfully,
Sarah Courtin and Chuck Despins