| | | | | | Printed on: 06/04/2017 09:05:07 |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
| 2016/7006/P | Maureen Smith | 90 Fortune Green Road | 05/04/2017 18:46:25 | OBJ | I am objecting to the buildings being erected at the back of Fortune Green. This is over development. Re 84/86 three stories are too high. The roof light and solar panels would add additional height given that Rose Joan mews is sloping downwards. The overall height of 84-86 should be lower than 88. The face of the floor rear wall will be approx 7 mts from the existing ground floor building at no 86 and 11 mts from the rear wall of no 90. My 3rd floor bedroom window would allow me to have a view to the windows on the proposed 2nd and 3rd floor windows and also my kitchen window. The back rear 1st and 2nd floor walls are proposed to be built at 90 degrees as apposed to no 88 which has a mansard slopped wall/roof. There are too near the buildings on Fortune Green. I complained about the number and size of the roof lights at no 88, the unnecessary height of the vents and recently added is an air conditioned unit. They get away with this because Camden does not inspect them during construction or on completion. I have lived with the building works for over 10 years of building at the back of Fortune Green. The noise, dust and the lack of support from Camden regarding work outside the hours stated by the council. Lastly most of the property on Fortune Green are owned by absent landlords who may not received the the proposals for the buildings at the back of Fortune Green and therefore the planning goes unchallenged. Hanging proposals on street post does not help. In the case of 84-86 work has already started. |