

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2017/1361/P	Ursula Barnes	23 Dartmouth Park Road London NW5 1SU	05/04/2017 20:03:52	INT	<p>We wish to express our objection to this planning application.</p> <p>Before so doing, we would note that the applicants have been very good neighbours. We understand their desire to have more space for their growing family. However, we cannot assent to the application as currently proposed.</p> <p>We have two principle objections. First the inaccuracies in the drawings presented to the Council, and concerns arising therefrom. Second, the general nature of the proposal.</p> <p>Inaccuracies in the proposal</p> <p>These relate both to drawings of the existing building and the proposed development.</p> <p>For example, the existing drawing appears to exaggerate the distance between the new development and the window of our sitting room. This might lead your planners to underestimate the impact (including loss of light) of a large structure built up against the boundary. On the proposal drawing the basement plan and proposed rear elevation have very different window sizes and the claim that the 'old windows brought forward' shows a picture which does not relate to the existing windows.</p> <p>This in turn raises other concerns. What material is the structural opaque glass? (This is hardly a common building material in the area.)</p> <p>And if the plans we are looking at are not accurate or comprehensive, what confidence does that give of what the final outcome will be?</p> <p>Therefore, before any consideration is given to the proposal, we would request there should be accurate and comprehensive plans submitted, against which the real impact of the extension can be assessed.</p> <p>The text of the Design and Access statement also needs review because it may be misread. The "historic pattern of closet extension" is original to the semidetached buildings which make up most of the street; not to the terraced houses. Some permissions for in-fill between them may have been given, but this is hardly a "historic pattern". And in any case number 25 is a terrace with no such extension. Incidentally it is t</p>

---

2017/1361/P	Ursula Barnes	23 Dartmouth Park Road London NW5 1SU	05/04/2017 20:07:44	INT	
2017/1361/P	Ursula Barnes	23 Dartmouth Park Road London NW5 1SU	05/04/2017 20:04:52	INT	

---